| 1        | The link between behavioural plasticity and aerobic scope                  |
|----------|--|
| 2        | phenotypes in predicting the survival of Chrysoblephus laticeps            |
| 3        | under climate variability  |
| 4        |  |
| 5        |  |
| 6        |  |
| 7        | Dissertation submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of |
| 8        |  |
| 9        | DOCTOR OF PHILISOPHY   |
| 10<br>11 | Of   |
| 12       | OI   |
| 13<br>14 | DEPARTMENT OF ICHTHYOLOGY AND FISHERIES SCIENCE<br>RHODES UNIVERSITY       |
| 15       |  |
| 16       | Ву   |
| 17<br>18 | Lauren Ashleigh Bailey   |
| 19       | Luur en Homergn Duney  |
| 20       | 17/11/2022   |
| 21       |  |
| 22       | Supervisors:   |
| 23       | A.R. Childs, W.M. Potts, N.C. James  |
| 24       |  |
| 25       |  |
| 26       |  |
| 27       |  |
| 28       |  |
| 29       |  |
| 30       |  |
| 21       |  |
| 22       |  |
| 32       |  |
| 33       |  |
| 34       |  |
| 35       |  |
| 36       |  |

| 37<br>38 | Table of Contents     Abstract  | 4             |
|----------|---|---------------|
| 39       | Acknowledgements  |               |
| 40       |   |               |
| 41<br>42 | Chapter 1: What do we know, and where do we need to go to understand the links betwee behaviour and physiology in the Anthropocene?                                   | een fish<br>9 |
| 43       | Introduction  |               |
| 44       | Methods   |               |
| 45       | Results   |               |
| 46       | Discussion  |               |
| 47       | Aims of thesis  |               |
| 48       |   |               |
| 49       | Chapter 2: Study area and study species   |               |
| 50       | Climate change along the South African coast  |               |
| 51       | Study area  |               |
| 52       | Sampling locality   |               |
| 53       | Chrysoblephus laticeps as a model species   |               |
| 54       |   |               |
| 55<br>56 | Chapter 3: The importance of individual variation in physiological phenotype to predict resilience of fished populations in the Anthropocene                          | : the<br>41   |
| 57       | Introduction  |               |
| 58       | Methods   |               |
| 59       | Results   | 51            |
| 60       | Discussion  | 61            |
| 61       | Appendix A  | 66            |
| 62       |   |               |
| 63<br>64 | Chapter 4: Plastic behavioural responses to cope with thermal variability are determine both bold personality and a broad aerobic scope in a temperatate fish species | d by<br>70    |
| 65       | Introduction  |               |
| 66       | Methods   |               |
| 67       | Results   |               |
| 68       | Discussion  |               |
| 69       | Appendix B  |               |
| 70       |   |               |
| 71       |   |               |

| 73<br>74 | Chapter 5: Social dominance across thermally variable conditions is predicted by high metabolic performance | 100  |
|----------|---|------|
| 75       | Introduction  | 100  |
| 76       | Methods   | 104  |
| 77       | Results   | 110  |
| 78       | Discussion  | 116  |
| 79       | Appendix C  | 122  |
| 80       |   |      |
| 81       | Chapter 6: Management of phenotypic diversity and promoting the climate resilience of                       | fish |
| 82       | stocks  | 129  |
| 83       | Main findings   | 129  |
| 84       | Relevance to fisheries policy   | 131  |
| 85       | Limitations to the study  | 137  |
| 80<br>97 | Future research priorities  | 140  |
| 88       |   | 140  |
| 89       | Reference list  | 146  |
| 90       |   |      |
| 01       |   |      |
| 02       |   |      |
| 92       |   |      |
| 93       |   |      |
| 94       |   |      |
| 95       |   |      |
| 96       |   |      |
| 97       |   |      |
| 98       |   |      |
| 99       |   |      |
| 100      |   |      |
| 101      |   |      |
| 102      |   |      |
| 103      |   |      |
| 104      |   |      |
| 105      |   |      |
| 106      |   |      |
| 100      |   |      |
| 107      |   |      |

108 109

#### Abstract

Thermal variability in the marine environment is likely to have a considerable effect on fishes 110 111 as it impacts physiological performance and vital (i.e metabolism, foraging and swimming style) and non-vital (i.e. reproductive fitness) energetic processes. When fish are subjected to 112 thermal stress, they may primarily respond by changing their behaviour. Species that have 113 broad phenotypic behavioural plasticity (i.e. defined as the ability to adjust behavioural activity 114 115 in presiding environmental conditions in order to remain within their optimal thermal range) may have a competitive advantage. Fish behavioural plasticity may take many forms. Some 116 species may seek out thermal refugia by changing their phenology or distribution, while others 117 alter the timing of their seasonal and spawning migrations in response to a changing 118 environment. Although fishes can use behavioural changes to cope with climate change 119 120 impacts, there does appear to be variability in the behavioural responses within species. However, if alterations in behaviour are insufficient to ensure that the individual remains within 121 their optimal thermal range, physiological acclimation (i.e. defined as the process in which an 122 organism adjusts to prevailing conditions by broadening their thermal performance curve so 123 that their performance is maximized in the new thermal environment) may be required. 124 Therefore, there is a critical link between the behaviour and thermal physiology of fishes, 125 particularly in a world where they are facing increasing thermal stress. 126

127

Fishing-induced mortality is aggravated by marine environmental stressors by reducing the genetic potential for adaptation and selecting for particular phenotypes. For example, fishinginduced selection on those individuals with broad aerobic scope, or bold fish deemed to have high fitness potential and increased behavioural plasticity. Although understanding the impact of climate variability and exploitation on the link between behavioural plasticity and aerobic scope phenotypes is critical to predict the survival of angling species, the causal link between physiological phenotypes and behavioural phenotypes is not known.

135

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the impact of climate variability on the link between behavioural plasticity and aerobic scope phenotypes of an important linefish species, *Chrysoblephus laticeps*. This study is the first to examine how hierarchical behavioural plasticity varies with aerobic scope under changing temperatures, in a fished population.

140 To address the susceptibility of exploited species to temperature variability, intermittent-flow respirometry was used to quantify and compare changes in metabolic rate under different 141 temperatures (10 °C, 16 °C and 21 °C) mimicking thermal variations experienced in the home 142 range of the species (Chapter 3). The absolute aerobic scope (AS) for each individual was then 143 calculated by subtracting mass corrected SMR from mass corrected MMR. A total performance 144 score was developed to represent aerobic performance across the range of test temperatures. 145 146 These scores were used to classify individuals according to the percentile method as high performers (i.e. the >75 % percentile with a total score above 9.75, rounded off as 10), 147 intermediate performers (25 – 75 % percentile), and low performers (i.e. the <25 % percentile 148 with a total score below 5.25, rounded off as 5). High and low performance aerobic phenotypes 149 were related to behavioural syndromes (bold vs timid) of individuals through a series of 150 hierarchical behavioural tests (Chapter 4). Chapter 5 examined which combinations of aerobic 151 scope and behavioural phenotypes are likely to be selected for, based on competitive ability 152 and adaptive potential, in a climate variability scenario. Here, individuals of various phenotype 153 combinations (i.e. bold high performer, timid high performer, bold low performer, timid low 154 performer) were paired according to size in competitive dual feeding contests. 155

156

157 The results of this Chapter 3 identified heterogeneity in physiological performance phenotypes amongst individuals of the exploited Noordhoek Chrysoblephus laticeps population. 158 Temperature influenced SMR, MMR and AS. There was significant variation in the aerobic 159 performance of high, intermediate, and low performers at higher temperatures. However, 160 161 differences in performance were not significant at low temperatures, where several intermediate performers maintained high performance. These results indicate that individuals 162 with a broad aerobic scope (i.e. high AS values across a range of temperatures) are likely to be 163 the most resilient to short-term thermal variability caused by the marine heat waves and 164 upwelling events present in temperate coastal environments. Since the shape of thermal 165 performance curves differs between individuals and reflects the range at which individuals can 166 function above specified performance thresholds, individual thermal performance must be 167 measured repeatedly in the same individual over a thermal gradient. An understanding of 168 physiological phenotype diversity and variation of phenotypes amongst individuals is critical 169 to understand the impacts of thermal variability on fished populations. 170

171

For Chapter 4, behavioural tests included assessing aggressive interactions (i.e. mirror test),
boldness (i.e. novel object test) and activity level in isolated individuals to classify individual

174 behavioural phenotype along a continuum (i.e. ranging from bold to timid). To determine different physiological responses amongst behavioural phenotypes, the plasticity of individual 175 176 behavioural responses under temperature variability was assessed. Behavioural phenotypes were linked to metabolic performance using Principal Component Analyses (i.e. PCA) and 177 178 cluster analyses. The separation of bold and timid phenotypes (by composite score) became more apparent when metabolic performance was assigned to each individual and resulted in 179 180 three distinct clusters (i.e. bold individuals with high aerobic performance; timid individuals of primarily intermediate aerobic performance; and bold individuals with intermediate 181 performance). Individuals with both a high aerobic performance phenotype and bold 182 behavioural phenotype had the most plastic behavioural response to thermal variability. The 183 maintenance of bold personality traits (i.e. aggression, exploratory activity, risk behaviour) at 184 a range of temperatures was dependent on the aerobic performance of the individual. This 185 suggests that metabolism may be an important driver of animal personality. Indeed, the 186 inability of individuals with low aerobic performance to maintain bold personality traits at a 187 range of temperatures suggests that behavioural plasticity was constrained by aerobic scope. In 188 this study, bold, high aerobic performers had the capacity to sustain bold personality traits 189 across variable temperatures, and as a result, had the ability to maintain their behavioural traits 190 191 in a thermally variable environment when compared with all other combinations of physiological and behavioural phenotypes. 192

193

Chapter 5 assessed how social competition for resources in a climate change scenario (i.e. 194 195 across thermally variable temperatures mimicking upwelling and heat wave events) is influenced by individual physiological and behavioural phenotype in C. laticeps, and whether 196 197 this will drive the restructuring of hierarchical dominance ranks by phenotype under thermal variability. To do this, high performers, intermediate performers and low performers (identified 198 199 in Chapter 3 and 4), were subjected to sized-matched duels across a thermal gradient. For all behavioural experiments, fish feeding, aggression and activity were classified via a weighted 200 scoring system (where higher scores are equivalent to bolder or dominant behaviour) and 201 assessed via principal component analyses. This study found that both physiological phenotype 202 and behavioural phenotype are important in determining dominance in C. laticeps, with bold 203 204 intermediate performers having the highest probability of winning dual contests closely followed by bold high performers at normal and warming temperatures. Both bold and timid 205 low performers lost duels as temperatures increased, and as such, physiological phenotype may 206 207 ultimately be more important than behavioural phenotype in determining dominance and

competitive access to food resources as temperatures increase. There was less variation in the expression of physiological phenotypes between all individuals at cold temperatures, however, low performers were comparatively more dominant and just as likely to win duels. These findings not only provide insight into the role of physiology and behaviour in the social structure of fishes, but also emphasize the importance of maintaining phenotype diversity in rapidly changing climates.

In summary, individuals with a broad aerobic scope were identified as the physiologically 214 fittest individuals with the greatest resilience to thermal variability. Individuals with a broad 215 aerobic scope had the energetic capacity for adaptive behavioural plasticity to changing 216 temperatures. Individual variation in physiological and behavioural phenotype did determine 217 competitive access to food resources in a climate variability scenario. Social dominance was 218 predicted by aerobic scope, where bold high and intermediate performers occupied upper 219 220 dominance ranks across thermal contexts. Hence, physiological and behavioural traits are crucial to predict the survival of fished populations to thermal variability. The scientific 221 community has the capacity to inform fisheries policy using data collected on the coupled 222 223 effects of exploitation and climate stressors on fish metabolic physiology and behaviour. This emphasises the importance of spatial protection, such as Marine Protected Areas, of the fittest 224 225 individuals with the aerobic capacity to respond to climate variability through behavioural plasticity. For the sustainable management of fished stocks, the offspring of these protected 226 227 individuals can move into nearby fished areas and preserve the phenotypic diversity needed for social groups to respond to climate change. 228

229

Key words: marine; fisheries management; climate adaptation; Climate change; Over-fishing;
Phenotypic plasticity; Metabolic shifts; Stress response

232

Project Funding: This work was supported by the African Coelacanth Ecosystem Programme
[grant number 110762 (WMP)] and the National Research Foundation [grant number 12093
(ARC)].

236

Ethics statement: Research protocol was approved under the Rhodes University Animal
Ethics and Standards Council; approval number 2019-0991-3182.

**Acknowledgements:** 240 241 242 Thank you to my supervisors, Prof. Amber Childs, Prof. Warren Potts and Prof. Nicola James for all your guidance and compassion throughout my PhD. Thank you Nikki for not giving up 243 244 on my efforts to do a PhD and taking me on as a student with Warren. Warren thank you for your logical approach, wisdom and support. Along with many other students and staff, you are 245 246 a Professor who is very respected! Amber, thank you for your constant enthusiasm and interest in the lives of everyone you meet. Thank you for teaching me grace with challenges and to 247 248 always be positive and never give up. Thank you Amber for being there at any time (on holiday or leave) and assisting with all my experiments! Amber and Nikki, thank you for being my 249 250 inspiration as phenomenal women in science. Nikki thank you meeting with me from day 1. Thank you for combining science and creativity, and showing me that women in science have 251 very different strengths and skill sets! Thank you for all your encouragement and allowing me 252 to work at my own pace. 253 Thank you to co-supervisors, Murray Duncan and Alex Winkler. Thank you Murray for 254 designing the physiology lab and paving the way for the physiology experiments. Thank you 255 256 for your training, along with Brett Pringle. Thank you Alex for your lab support, behavioural 257 knowledge and advice. Thank you to the technical support team - Zunaid Suleman, Ndzaliseko Sangongo and David 258 259 Drennan. Thank you especially to Zunaid for being there at all hours with the greatest work enthusiasm! Thank you to Cuen Miller for additional technical support and knowledge! Thank 260 you to Malcolm Bailey for equipment advice, assistance and collection. 261 262 Thank you to the SAFER lab team (Matthew Farthing, Cuen Muller, Kyle Hewitt, Ryan Foster, Michael Pyle, Andrew Meiklejohn, Aidan du Preez and Chris Bova) for field work support and 263 264 otolith removal. 265 Thank you to Alec Maskery, Xolani Nabani and Dante Rossi for laboratory assistance. Dante, thank you for being my strength, my loving husband, and for taking care of me through my 266 project. Thank you for all the massages and carrying all my fish babies. Thank you for coming 267 268 through to Grahamstown at any time to support me. Thank you Glenda Rossi for your matcha supplies and love. Thank you Alison Bailey for being my wedding manager and taking off 269

extra stress. Thank you Carys Bailey for your beautiful graphical design for my manuscript.

# 271 Chapter 1

# What do we know, and where do we need to go to understand the links between fish behaviour and physiology in the Anthropocene?

275 The content of this chapter has been published in Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries (Bailey L,

276 Childs A-R, James NC, Winkler AC, Potts WM. 2022. Links between behaviour and metabolic

277 physiology in fishes in the Anthropocene. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*.

- 278 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-022-09701-2).
- 279 280

# 281 **1.1 Introduction**

The Anthropocene is defined as the current geological Epoch where human activities dominate 282 the climate and environment (Crutzer and Stoermer 2000). Fish populations in this Epoch are 283 subjected to the coupled threats of human-induced climate change and exploitation (Cooke et 284 285 al. 2019). Anthropogenic climate change is driving substantial changes in the marine environment, including increased ocean temperatures (Rhein et. 2013; Holt and Jorgensen 286 2015), localized environmental variability (Rouault et al. 2010), ocean acidification (Collins et 287 al. 2013) and deoxygenation (Hoegh-Guldberg et al. 2014). Generally, fishes first respond to 288 environmental changes by adjusting their behaviour. For example, in the case of altered thermal 289 290 conditions, Claireaux et al. (1995) found that Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) exhibited thermoregulatory behaviour by avoiding the altered thermal conditions and shifting their 291 292 vertical position to the original thermal conditions within an experimentally stratified water column, with no perceptible changes to their heart rate. However, if behavioural adjustments 293 294 are not successful or possible, fishes have to respond to environmental changes by modifying their physiological processes, such as rates of oxygen uptake (Clarke and Johnston 2002), or 295 acid base regulation in the case of ocean acidification (Cattano et al. 2018). These physiological 296 adjustments, in turn, influence their vital metabolic processes, such as foraging efficiency and 297 swimming style (Brownscombe et al. 2014; Johansen et al. 2014; Chabot et al. 2016) and the 298 energy available for reproductive fitness (Pankhurst and Munday 2011). The capacity for 299 physiological plasticity and acclimatization of fish populations in response to environmental 300 shifts will therefore partly determine their resilience to climate change (Wong and Candolin 301 2015; Donelson et al. 2019; Neubauer and Anderson 2019). 302

304 The environmental conditions (i.e. temperature or pH) that ectothermic organisms, such as fishes, can tolerate are typically represented by a bell-shaped performance curve where 305 306 physiological performance rises to an optimum and then declines as conditions exceed tolerable levels (Huey and Stevenson 1979). This mechanism applies to the effects of both altered 307 thermal conditions and ocean acidification, but as temperature can have a greater impact on the 308 physiological performance of ectotherms (Holt and Jorgensen 2015; Cattano et al. 2018; 309 310 Laubenstein et al. 2018; Laubenstein et al. 2019), we provide an example of a thermal performance curve to portray this mechanism (e.g., Figure 1.1). This performance curve is 311 often described by examining temperature (or pH) sensitive physiological process such as 312 aerobic metabolic scope (the potential to increase the rate of oxygen uptake, defined as the 313 difference between standard and maximum metabolic rates; Portner and Knust 2007). If 314 temperatures (or pH) move outside of the optimal range, physiological performance is 315 compromised. If fishes cannot respond by primarily shifting their distribution to more 316 favourable conditions, fishes may acclimatize to prevailing conditions by broadening their 317 thermal performance curves, or genetic adaptation (over several generations) so that their 318 performance curves are maximized in the new thermal environment (Donelson et al. 2012; 319 320 Munday 2014; Chown et al. 2010; Donelson et al. 2019; Neubauer and Anderson 2019). 321 However, since climatic changes can occur rapidly, genetic adaptation may not always be possible, as it may be a relatively slow process, particularly in organisms with long generation 322 times (Somero 2010). Should behavioural adjustments not be possible, physiological 323 acclimatization is thus important in inferring climate resilience in species with slow rates of 324 325 genetic adaptation (Donelson et al. 2012; Munday 2014).



327 Figure 1.1 Conceptual diagram of an animal thermal performance response curve (adapted from

- 328 Schrödinger 1944).
- 329 Aerobic performance rises to an optimum and then declines as conditions exceed tolerable levels.

To compound the challenges posed by a changing climate, exploitation influences fish 331 332 populations around the planet by altering their demographic (Planque et al. 2010), life history (Olsen et al. 2004) and physiological (Hessenauer et al. 2015; Duncan et al 2019) 333 334 characteristics. When combined, climate change and exploitation may interact in their impacts (Planque et al 2010; Clark et al. 2017; Vedor et al. 2021). For example, climate warming can 335 336 select for a decrease in body size as the gill surface area of large fish cannot maintain the elevated oxygen demand required by their three-dimensional bodies under climate warming 337 338 (Pauly and Cheung 2018). This is termed the gill oxygen limitation theory and is tied to fishing pressure, which can similarly select for a decrease in body size and earlier size at maturity 339 340 (Olsen et al. 2004). Fishing pressure may select for certain phenotypes and reduce the genetic potential for adaptation; a process termed fishing-induced evolution (Marty et al. 2015). 341

342

330

343 Fishing pressure may reduce behavioural diversity by selecting against certain behaviour types (i.e, bold fish that are highly aggressive and actively respond to stressors, as opposed to timid 344 345 inactive fish that passively respond to stressors) and this may have implications for how exploited populations respond to environmental change by reducing the potential for 346 347 acclimation and adaptation (Wong and Candolin 2015; Arlinghaus et al. 2017; Beever et al. 2017; Biro et al. 2018; Vedor et al. 2021). There is some empirical information for this. Alos 348 et al. (2012) and Koeck et al. (2019) found that bold, active fish with a larger home range and 349 350 greater dispersal ability are more likely to encounter and take the risk of biting fishing gear (Alos et al. 2012; Koeck et al. 2019). This kind of behavioural selection by a fishery has been 351 shown to reduce the diversity of behavioural phenotypes in largemouth bass Micropterus 352 salmoides (Cooke et al. 2007), and thus populations that are exploited by hook and line fisheries 353 may have a greater proportion of timid phenotypes (Arlinghaus et al. 2017). Short-term 354 phenotypic behavioural changes are often a first response to environmental stressors as fishes 355 seek to maintain performance (Wong and Candolin 2015). Indeed, behavioural plasticity has 356 allowed many taxa to cope with changing environmental signals by altering their phenology 357 (e.g., timing of seasonal or spawning migrations; Sims et al. 2004; Sousa et al. 2016) or 358 distribution (e.g., James et al. 2008; Rijnsdorp et al. 2009; Brander 2010; Freitas et al. 2015; 359 360 Wong and Candolin 2015; Beever et al. 2017). By selecting for a greater proportion of timid behavioural phenotypes in fished populations (Cooke et al. 2017), fishing pressure may reduce 361 362 the proportion of bold exploratory phenotypes that have the potential to colonize new habitats and recover from climate stressors (Biro et al. 2018). 363

The selection of particular phenotypes has implications for the way in which fishes respond to 365 a changing climate as their behavioural and aerobic metabolic trait groups appear to be 366 mechanistically linked. For example, bold personality traits have been shown to covary with 367 aerobic scope (Seebacher et al. 2013; Killen et al. 2014; Rupia et al. 2016). Fisheries selection 368 on behavioural traits could therefore affect the distribution of metabolic physiological traits 369 within a population. Indeed, Duncan et al. (2019) provided evidence that a fished population 370 of red roman, Chrysoblephus laticeps, had fewer high aerobic scope phenotypes relative to a 371 protected population and attributed this to fisheries selection. It is therefore critical to develop 372 an improved understanding of the proximate link between physiological and behavioural trait 373 groups of fish populations to anthropogenic impacts, such as climate change and exploitation, 374 375 in order to develop adaptive plans that will contribute to conserving the potential of their adaptive responses (Figure 1.2). 376



377

364

378 Figure 1.2: Outline of review

Fish can respond to altered environmental conditions by primarily shifting their distribution to
more favourable conditions, or acclimatize to prevailing conditions by broadening their thermal
performance curves, or genetic adaptation (over several generations) so that their performance
curves are maximized in the new thermal environment.

The aim of this meta-analysis is to review the evidence for links between behaviour and aerobic 384 metabolic physiology in freshwater and marine fishes, and relate these to information on the 385 impacts of exploitation and climate change on marine fish populations. This information will 386 387 be used to identify gaps in our knowledge on fisheries-induced evolution on metabolic and 388 behavioural traits, and recommend avenues for future research. To do this, the manuscript has 389 been divided into three sections. The first section will review the current literature on the links between heritable physiological and behavioural phenotypes in freshwater and marine fishes. 390 391 The second section will relate these findings to the effects of climate change and exploitation in the Anthropocene. The last section will focus on future research priorities regarding the 392 393 importance of adaptive links between behaviour and metabolic physiology in the exploited fish populations of the Anthropocene. 394

395

383

# **396 1.2 Methods**

Our aim was to identify peer-reviewed studies for links between behaviour and metabolic 397 physiology in fishes, to ultimately relate this to fisheries-induced evolution on metabolic and 398 399 behavioural traits. We targeted studies that directly measured whole-animal metabolic rate in relation to behavioural traits, as this constitutes a major research gap in terms of fisheries-400 401 induced evolution on metabolic and behavioural traits. We conducted a meta-analysis on the mean effect sizes of published research since 1970 until April 2021 using a Boolean string in 402 403 Google Scholar with the following search terms: ('fish' OR 'teleost') AND ('metabolic rate' OR 'oxygen uptake' OR 'oxygen consumption' OR 'aerobic scope' OR 'MO2') AND 404 ('behaviour' OR 'animal personality' OR 'boldness' OR 'aggression' OR 'social dominance' 405 OR 'exploration' OR 'stress recovery'), where the first 80 search pages were reviewed for 406 407 appropriate papers along with their reference lists (Haddaway et al. 2015).

408

We included studies that either correlated whole-animal metabolic and behavioural trait groups (i.e. bold or timid), or identified a causal relationship between these trait groups through experimental manipulation (i.e. either through introduction to a novel environment or object, or by manipulating abiotic or biotic variables and measuring the effect on the relationship between metabolic and behavioural traits). We focussed on studies done on both adult and juvenile fishes. Studies that were excluded based on title alone were those that were conducted on terrestrial animals or non-fish species, or studies that were unrelated, unavailable or not

peer-reviewed (e.g., research theses). Given the abundance of research addressing fisheries-416 induced evolution on demographic (Planque et al. 2010) and life history traits (Olsen et al. 417 2004), the focus of this literature review did not extend to other physiological aspects such as 418 growth and digestive physiology, or locomotion and movement. We did not include indirect 419 420 estimates of metabolic rates (e.g., using biotelemetry) of mobile fishes in their natural habitats, as these estimates need to be calibrated to MO<sub>2</sub> equivalents to maximize their usefulness 421 422 (Eliason and Farrell 2016). However, we do discuss the usefulness of biotelemetry as a future research avenue (i.e. Skeeles et al. 2020). Furthermore, we did not review the abundance of 423 424 endocrinological studies on the link between stress physiology and behaviour (there are an abundance of such studies in the field of ecotoxicology and they do not constitute a major 425 research gap; Sih et al. 2004, Aubin-Horth et al. 2012), but we do discuss behavioural stress 426 coping styles in the context of Anthropogenic stressors. Additional relevant references that 427 were referred to in the papers of interest were also included in the literature search. 428

429

We extracted the following information from each paper for the meta-analysis: (1) the mean 430 effect size of the measurement of whole animal metabolic rate, (2) the measurement of 431 behavioural trait, (3) species, (4) life-history stage, (5) biogeographic zone and (6) the change 432 in the mean effect size of phenotypic traits following exposure to biotic (eg. stocking density, 433 predation pressure, angling pressure) or abiotic (e.g., temperature, salinity, CO<sub>2</sub>, hypoxia) 434 environmental stressors. We tested for a significant difference in the relationship between 435 metabolic rate and behaviour type by comparing the mean effect sizes of reviewed papers using 436 the R packages 'meta' and 'metamean' (Balduzzi et al. 2019). We tested whether this 437 relationship varied by life-history stage, biogeographic zone and stressor using the 'metafor' 438 package. We identified the studies that quantified links between physiology and behaviour in 439 440 relation to stressors, identified gaps in our knowledge and then described future research priorities for understanding how fisheries may select for linked metabolic and behavioural trait 441 442 groups in fished populations of the Anthropocene.

443

# 444 **1.3 Results from the meta-analysis**

445 Of these 53 manuscripts, the majority (57%) focused on freshwater fishes, followed by 446 anadromous fishes (28%) and tropical reef fishes (7%). Studies that measured whole-animal 447 metabolic rate in relation to behavioural traits were divided between adult (53%) and juvenile fishes (47%). Sixty-eight percent of studies examined how linked physiological and behavioural traits were impacted by abiotic stressors, following by biotic stressors (21%) and fishing (11%). The meta-analysis revealed significant metabolic differences between bold and timid behavioural types (df = 49, p < 0.001) across all reviewed manuscripts, regardless of biogeographic zone or fish family (df = 49, p > 0,05; Table 1.1).

453

| 454 | Table 1.1: The relationship between metabolic and behavioural traits as a function of life- |
|-----|---|
| 455 | history, biogeographic zone, fishery species and stressor                                   |

| Effect                    | Estimate          | SE     | Z-value | P-value |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| Intercept                 | 28.859            | 1.572  | 0.1835  | 0.854   |
| Behavioural metric        | 0.0105            | 0.008  | 1.605   | 0.001   |
| Biogeographic zone        | -13.047           | 11.321 | -1.926  | 0.069   |
| Fishery species           | -2.720            | 7.397  | -0.037  | 0.971   |
| Life history              | 0.0000            | 9.1605 | -0.000  | 0.001   |
| Continent                 | 1.414             | 14.218 | -0.010  | 0.992   |
| Abiotic stressor          | 13.529            | 5.883  | 0.230   | 0.007   |
| Biotic                    | -14.3760          | 11.386 | -0.1263 | 0.470   |
| Angling                   | 21.1498           | 6.839  | 0,3093  | 0.040   |
| Aic                       | 112.19            | -      |         |         |
| Residual<br>heterogeneity | 40.25 % (df = 49) |        |         |         |

456

Studies from our meta-analysis found that individuals with a high standard metabolic rate 457 (SMR; the rate at which an animal oxidizes metabolic substrates to produce the energy required 458 to maintain homeostasis in a post absorptive, inactive state; McNab 2002; Metcalfe et al. 2016) 459 had a suite of bold behavioural traits, including aggression, dominance and competitive 460 foraging behaviour (Figure 1.3a and 1.4). Indeed, we found that behavioural traits of 461 aggression, boldness and activity were positively correlated with each other (df = 49, p < 100462 0.001). Links between standard metabolic rate and aggression have been established in several 463 464 juvenile salmonid species (Metcalfe 1995; Pucket and Dill 1985; Cutts et al. 1998, 1999; Yamamoto et al. 1998; Cutts et al. 2001; McCarthy 2001; Lathi et al. 2002; Sloat and Reeves 465 2014), adult and juvenile spinyhead and roughhead blennies (Acanthemblemaria spinosa and 466 A. aspera; Clark 1992), adult mangrove killifish (Kryptolebias marmoratus; Li et al. 2020) and 467

adult cichlids (Grantner and Taborsky 1998; Ros et al. 2006; Dijkstra et al. 2013, Dijkstra e al.
2016; Figure 1.3a). However, both Seppanen et al. (2009) and Vaz-Serrano et al. (2011) found
no correlation between standard metabolic rate and aggression in juvenile Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*. Whereas, Forstner and Wieser (1990) found no difference in SMR between bold
and timid juvenile common roach, *Rutilus rutilus*. Of the above-mentioned studies comparing

473 SMR to aggressive behaviour, six studies focussed on adults and twelve on juveniles.

474

The comparability of metabolism and behaviour between adult and juvenile fishes may be 475 limited, as the behaviour of adults is most likely determined by different behavioural costs and 476 477 benefits when compared with juveniles (Biro et al. 2005). Indeed, our meta-analysis revealed significant differences in the behavioural responses of adults compared to those of juveniles 478 across all metabolic measures (df = 49, p = 0.001; Table 1.1). However, the proportions of 479 reviewed papers conducted on adults and juveniles differed in the measure of metabolic trait, 480 preventing further post-hoc analysis of behavioural differences between adults and juveniles 481 within metabolic measurement groups (i.e. SMR, MMR or AS). The observed differences may 482 be attributed to the difference in thermal performance curves across life history stages (Portner 483 and Peck 2010). Furthermore, some of these studies (e.g., McCarthy 2001; Croft et al. 2004) 484 have examined aggressive social interactions using paired dual competitions (i.e. where size-485 matched individuals compete for food), which are a reasonable experimental proxy for what 486 likely occurs between juvenile territorial stream dwelling fishes, such as juvenile coho salmon 487 (Oncorhynchus kisutch). However, SMR may relate differently to the behaviour of adult 488 fishes. For example, paired dual competitions most likely do not accurately represent the 489 aggressive interactions naturally occurring amongst members of fishes that have groups with 490 established dominance hierarchies, and thus the behaviour often associated with adult fishes 491 492 (Careau et al. 2008). Hence, the territorial behaviour in juvenile fishes may not represent the behaviour that occurs in the established dominance hierarchies of adult social groups. While 493 studies linking SMR to aggression have focussed on juvenile fishes, several studies focussing 494 on adult fishes have linked SMR to predator avoidance and exploratory behaviour (Ruxton et 495 al. 2000, Dwyer et al. 2014, Holt and Jorgensen 2015, Behrens et al. 2019). 496

#### 498



Figure 1.3(a): Meta-analysis of studies using physiology (SMR) to predict behavioural performance
 parameters. The stressor analysis examines the effect of the stressor on each of these traits and not
 necessarily the link between the traits. The meta-analysis included key papers with proxies for certain

502 metrics (i.e. weight loss for minimum energy requirements; Ruxton et al. 2000).

p = 0.089

-2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 5

503 Several studies have linked SMR to aggression, predator avoidance and foraging behaviour, but few have examined the influence of maximum metabolic rate (MMR; the rate at which an 504 505 animal oxidizes metabolic substrates to produce the energy required to maintain homeostasis in a state of maximum locomotor activity; Portner et al. 2007) on individual behaviour despite 506 507 the general recognition that aggressive behaviour in fishes is related to the capacity for intense exercise (i.e. Jonas et al. 2010; Killen et al. 2014; Binder et al. 2016, Rupia et al. 2016; Figure 508 509 1.3b). We found significant differences in the maximum metabolic rate in bold and timid behavioural types (df=5, p < 0.005), however studies on this topic were sparse (Figure 1.3b). 510 511

| MMR and Activity   |   |   | Abiotic stressor  |   |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Study Total Mean SD P.value  | Mean  | MRAW 95%-Cl Weight  | SE P.value Mean MR  | AW 95%-Cl   |
| Binder et al. 2016 36 0.38 0.0240 0.001  |   | 0.38 [0.37; 0.38] 50.0%<br>7.60 [7.57; 7.63] 50.0%  | 0.25 0.042 7.6  | 0 [7.54; 7.66]  |
| Prediction interval<br>Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 5.108 p = 0.001$ 1 2  | 3 4 5 6 7   | 3.99 [41.91: 49.891] 100<br>p = 0.042   | .0% 4 5 6 7 8 9   | ) 10  |
| MMR and Aggression   | 1.05-04E.   |   | Biotic stressor   |   |
| Study         Total         Mean         SD         P.valu           Metcalfe         1995         70         0.27         0.0200         0.002           Cooke et al.         2007         10         0.39         0.0500         0.049           Redpath et al.         2010         8         2.78         0.1600         0.026           Prediction interval         Heterogeneity: $t^2$ = 2.001, $p$ = 0.005 $-10$ | e Mean MRAW<br>0.27<br>0.39<br>2.78<br>1.15<br>0 10 20                  | 95%-Cl         Weight         SD $[0.27; 0.27]$ $33.4\%$ $0.020$ $[0.36; 0.42]$ $33.3\%$ $0.050$ $[2.67; 2.89]$ $33.3\%$ $0.160$ $-2.37; 4.661$ $100.0\%$ $[-19.61; 21.90]$ $p = 0.002$ | P.value Mean<br>0 0.025<br>0 0.049<br>0 0.026<br>-10 0 10 | MRAW         95%-Cl         Weight           0.39         [0.36; 0.42]         33.4%           0.58         [0.58; 0.58]         33.4%           2.78         [2.67; 2.89]         33.3%           1.25         [-2.05; 4.55]         100.0%           [-18.23; 20.72]         20 |
| MMR and Boldness   |   | Stressor  |   |   |
| Study Total Mean SD P.value Mea  | an MRAW 95  | %-Cl Weight SD P.v  | value Mean  | MRAW 95%-Cl Weight  |
| Binder et al. 2016 36 0.38 0.0240 0.001<br>Rupia et al. 2016 24 1.01 0.4000 0.001<br>Killen et al. 2014 72 4.17 0.5000 0.001   | 0.38 [0.37; 0.3<br>1.01 [0.85; 1.<br>4.17 [4.05; 4.3<br>1.85 [-3.20; 6. | 38] 33.4%<br>17] 33.3% <u>Abiotic</u> 0.5000<br>29] 33.3% <u>Biotic</u> 0.5000<br><b>90] 100.0%</b>   | 0.001<br>0.001 —  | 1.40 [1.20; 1.60] 49.5%<br>   |
| Prediction interval<br>Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 4.130$ , $p = 0.005$<br>-20 -10 0   | [- <b>27.97</b><br>p = 0.0  | <b>;; 31.67]</b><br>049   | 0.6 0.8 1 1.2   | 2 1.4 1.6   |

#### 512 Figure 1.3 (b): Meta-analysis of studies using physiology (MMR) to predict behavioural

513 performance parameters. The stressor analysis examines the effect of the stressor on each of

514 these traits and not necessarily the link between the traits.

515

516 Similarly, there has been little focus on the influence of aerobic scope (the potential to increase 517 the rate of oxygen uptake defined as the difference between SMR and MMR; Halsey et al. 518 2018) on individual behaviour (bold *vs* timid; as classified through a series of multi-trait 519 behavioural tests), despite the positive relationship between aerobic scope and feeding and aggression (Biro et al. 2010; Seebacher et al. 2013; Auer et al. 2014; Killen et al. 2014; Rupia et al. 2016; McLean et al. 2018. See Figure 1.3c). However, dominance has been positively related to aerobic scope, with indirect links to SMR. Our meta-analysis showed that bold fish had a significantly higher aerobic scope (df = 9, p < 0.006; Figure 1.3c), attributed to a high SMR and significantly higher MMR (df = 2, p < 0.005; Figure 1.3b), relative to timid fish.

| Aerobic scope an  | d Act                      | ivity                               |                         |       |                              |   | Stre   | ssor                             |                |                               |  |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Study To  | tal Mea                    | an SD                               | P.value                 | Mean  | MRAW                         | 95%-CI W  | leight SD  | P.value                          | Mean           |                               | MRAW 95%-CI Weight   |
| Binder et al. 2016<br>Hollins et al. 2019<br>Hollins et al. 2019        | 36 0.3<br>36 0.4<br>36 0.4 | 88 0.0200<br>16 0.0800<br>16 0.0800 | 0.001<br>0.001<br>0.001 |       | 0.38<br>0.46<br>0.46         | [0.37; 0.38]<br>[0.43; 0.48]<br>[0.43; 0.48]                  | 16.7%<br>16.7% 0.08<br>16.7% 0.08                | 00 0.012<br>00 0.182             |                | Angling                       | 0.34 [0.32; 0.37] 50.0%<br>0.98 [0.96; 1.01] 50.0%   |
| Laubenstein et al. 2018<br>Laubenstein et al. 2018<br>Norin et al. 2016 | 24 1.2<br>24 1.2<br>50 7.6 | 0 0.6000<br>0 0.4000<br>0 0.1300    | 0.001<br>0.001<br>0.001 |       | 1.20<br>1.20<br>7.60<br>1.88 | [0.96; 1.44]<br>[1.04; 1.36]<br>[7.57; 7.63]<br>[-1.09; 4.85] | 16.6% 0.60<br>16.7% 0.40<br>16.7% 0.25<br>100.0% | 00 0.001<br>00 0.040<br>00 0.042 | 0.4 0.5 0.6 0. | 7 0.8 0.9 1<br><u>Abiotic</u> | 0.66         [-3.42; 4.741]         100.09           0.90         [0.66, 1.14]         33.3%           0.92         [0.76, 1.08]         33.3%           7.60         [7.54, 7.66]         33.3% |
| Prediction interval<br>Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 7.995$ , )                 | p = 0.0                    | 19                                  | .5                      | 0 4   | 5 10                         | <b>[-6.60; 10.36]</b><br><i>p</i> = 0.047                     |  | -                                | 40 -20 0       | 20 40                         | 3.14 [-6.46; 12.74] 100.0%<br>[-53.53; 59.81] p < 0.01   |
| Aerobic scope and   | l Agg                      | ression                             | -                       |       |                              |   | Stresso  | or                               |                |                               |  |
| Study Tota  | I Mean                     | SD P.va                             | lue Me                  | an    | MRAW                         | 95%-CI Wei  | aht  | SD P.valu                        | e Mean         | MRAW                          | 95%-Cl Weight  |
| McLean et al. 2018 123  | 1.86                       | 0.0070 0                            | .001                    |       | 1.86 [1.8                    | 6, 1.86] 20.0   | % Abiotic (                                      |                                  |                | 1 70 [1 57.                   | 1 831 24 9%  |
| Seebacher et al. 2013 20<br>Cooke et al. 2007 10                        | 0.30                       | 0.1000 0.                           | 0.49                    |       | 1.20 [1.1                    | 6 1.24 20.0   | % ADIOLIC  | 0.3000 0.00                      |                | 1.10 [1.57,                   | 0.401 05.00  |
| Louison et al. 2019 119   | 0.79                       | 0.0100 0.                           | 001                     | 1     | 0.79 10.7                    | 9 0 791 20 0  | % Angling  | 0.0100 0.026                     | 6              | 0.39 [0.36]                   | 0.42] 25.0%  |
| Redpath et al. 2010 8   | 2.78                       | 0.1600 0.                           | .001                    |       | 2.78 [2.6                    | 57; 2.89] 19.9  | % (  | 0.1600 0.040                     |                | 278 [2.67]                    | 2 891 25.0%  |
|   |                            |                                     |                         | 1     | 1.40 [ 0.2                   | 4: 2.57] 100.0  | %  |                                  | -              | 1.25 [-2.0                    | 5; 4.55] 100.0%  |
| Prediction interval   |                            | -                                   | -                       |       | [-1.8                        | 7; 4.68]  |  | -                                | r I r          |                               | 18.23; 20.73] p = 0.029  |
| Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.883$ , p  | = 0.045                    |                                     | -1 0 1                  | 2 3   | 3 4                          |   |  |                                  | -2 0 2         | 4 6                           |  |
| Aerobic scope and   | Bold                       | ness                                |                         | -<br> |                              |   | 1.0  | Stresso                          | r              |                               |  |
| Study   | Total                      | Mean                                | SD                      | Mean  | м                            | RAW 95%   | 6-CI Weight                                      | SD P.va                          | alue Me        | an                            | MRAW 95%-CI Weight   |
| Warren & McCormick 2019   | 20                         | 033.0                               | 1500                    | 1     |                              | 0.33 10.26 0  | 401 10.0%  | 0 1500 0.0                       | 01   🔤         | Abiot                         | c 0.37 [0.26; 0.40] 17.2%  |
| Warren & McCormick 2019   | 20                         | 0.33 0.                             | 1500                    |       |                              | 0.33 [0.26; 0   | 40] 10.0%  | 0.1500 0.0                       | 01             |                               | 0.20 [0.26, 0.40] 17.2%  |
| Binder et al. 2016  | 36                         | 0.38 0.                             | 0240                    | -     |                              | 0.38 [0.37;0  | .38] 10.0%                                       | 0.4000 0.0                       | 01             |                               | 140 [0.85, 1.17] 16.6%   |
| Rupia et al. 2016   | 24                         | 1.01 0.                             | 4000                    | 100   |                              | 1.01 [0.85; 1   | 17] 10.0%  | 0.4000 0.0                       | 40             | - <u></u>                     | 0.90 [0.96 1.44] 15.8%   |
| Rupia et al. 2016   | 24                         | 1.01 0.                             | 4000                    | -     |                              | 1.01 [0.85; 1   | 1/ 10.0%   | 0.6000 0.0                       | 01             | <u>i</u>                      | 0.92 [1.04, 1.36] 16.6%  |
| Laubenstein et al. 2018   | 24                         | 1.20 0.                             | 4000                    |       |                              | 120 10.90.1   | 361 10.0%  | 0.4000 0.0                       | 01             |                               | 0.84 [0.41; 1.27] 100.0%   |
| Laubenstein et al. 2010   | 24                         | 1.20 0.                             | 4000                    |       | +                            | 1.20 [ 1.01, 1  | .0.01 10.010                                     |                                  | 0 05           | 1 15                          | 2 [-0.38; 2.06] p < 0.01   |
| Killen et al. 2014  | 72                         | 2.50 0.                             | 5000                    |       |                              | 2.50 [2.38; 2   | 62] 10.0%  | 0.5000 0.03                      | 2 0 0.0        | Bioti                         | 0.10 [-0.02; 0.22] 33.3%   |
| Killen et al. 2014  | 72                         | 2.50 0.                             | 5000                    |       |                              | 2.50 [2.38,2  | 441 10.0%  | 0.0000.00                        | 1              | in <u>biou</u>                | 0.60 [0.48; 0.72] 33.3%  |
| Benrens et al. 2019   | 9                          | 4.31 0.                             | 2000                    | -     |                              | 1 40 10 57- 0   | 301 100 00/                                      | 0.2000 0.00                      |                |                               | 3.73 [ 3.60; 3.86] 33.3%   |
| Prediction interval   |                            |                                     |                         |       |                              | 1.46 [0.57, 2   | 551  |                                  |                |                               |  |
| Heterogeneity: $t^2 = 0.165 n$ :  | = 0.006                    |                                     | 1                       | 1 1   |                              | 1   |  |                                  | -20 -10        | 0 10 20                       | 30 [-27.38; 30.33] p = 0.2   |
|   |                            |                                     | -1 0                    | 1 2   | 3 4                          |   |  |                                  |                |                               |  |

- 526 Figure 1.3(c): Meta-analysis of studies using physiology (Aerobic scope) to predict behavioural
- 527 performance parameters. The stressor analysis which examines the effect of the stressor on each
- 528 of these traits and not necessarily the link between the traits (i.e. Warren and McCormick
- 529 2019). The meta-analysis included key papers with proxies for certain metrics (i.e. swimming
- 530 performance for aerobic scope; Louison et al. 2019).

532 The upper aerobic scope, or MMR, of personality types may differ based on biogeographic zone. Although we found no significant differences in the metabolic rates of bold and timid 533 fish amongst biogeographic zones (df = 49; p = 0.06; Table 1.1), we could not statistically 534 compare the links between metabolic physiology and behaviour in tropical versus temperate 535 536 fishes due to the limited studies available on temperate fishes (n = 3). However, these reviewed studies suggest that there may be differences in the steepness of aerobic scope curves in fishes 537 538 from temperate and tropical biogeographic zones. For example, tropical Ambon damselfish (Pomacentrus amboinensis) individuals with a broad aerobic scope (i.e. a greater difference 539 between MMR and SMR) had a competitive dominance over their conspecifics (Killen et al. 540 2014; Warren and McCormick 2019). The mechanism behind these links are unclear as this 541 coral reef fish did not reach MMR following aggressive encounters (Killen et al. 2014). This 542 is unlike the temperate freshwater eastern mosquito fish (Gambusia holbrooki) where MMR 543 can be reached through aggressive behaviour, potentially occupying the whole aerobic scope 544 (Seebacher et al 2013). It appears that fishes from relatively stable tropical regions may 545 maximize performance (maintaining high rates of activity and seldom reach MMR; Killen et 546 al. 2014) at extreme temperatures through a steeper aerobic scope slope (Clark et al. 2017; 547 Neubauer and Anderson 2019), relative to temperate fishes. However, much of the research 548 549 assessing links between aerobic scope and behaviour has focussed on coral reef fishes (e.g., Biro et al. 2010; Seebacher et al. 2013; Killen et al. 2014). Up until 2020, there was little 550 551 information on linked metabolic physiological and behavioural traits in temperate fishes and temperate coastal reef fishes in particular. Temperate fishes are likely to require a broader 552 553 aerobic performance window to tolerate a greater range of thermal variability (Neubauer and Anderson 2019). It is imperative to compare metabolic and behavioural data on numerous fish 554 species from various biogeographic zones, where fishes may experience differences in the 555 extent of external stressors on metabolic and behavioural traits (Figure 1.4). 556



Figure 1.4: The relationship between metabolic and behavioural traits, with and withoutstressors.

560

557

561

The meta-analysis showed that abiotic, biotic and angling stressors impact the relationship 562 between metabolism and behaviour differently. Biotic stressors did not significantly affect the 563 relationship between physiological and behavioural traits in fishes overall (df = 10, p = 0.47; 564 Table 1.1), but aggression during competitive interactions was linked to a significantly higher 565 SMR (df = 22, p = 0.008; Figure 1.3a) and MMR (df = 2, p = 0.002; Figure 1.3b). Abiotic 566 stressors had a significant influence on linked physiological and behavioural traits (df = 33, p-567 val = 0.007; Table 1.1). For example, bold individuals showed a significant increase in 568 metabolic rate with environmental stress (i.e. temperature, salinity, hypoxia; df = 33, p-val 569 <0.001; Table 1.1), while metabolic rate decreased in timid individuals (*p*-val <0.001). Overall, 570 the use of metabolic physiology to predict behavioural performance parameters has only been 571 applied to 21 fish families. Such information is important as the selective removal of bold 572 individuals through angling (df = 6, p-val = 0.04, Table 1.1; e.g., Suski and Philipp 2004, Alos 573 et al. 2012) could therefore affect the distribution of metabolic physiological traits within a 574 575 population.

- 576
- 577
- 578

#### 579

## 1.4 Discussing the link between physiological and behavioural phenotypes

580

It was evident from the reviewed literature that the causal links between animal behavioural 581 traits (bold vs timid) and metabolic physiology are poorly understood. However, internal 582 physiological mechanisms appear to underpin behavioural responses (as indicated by several 583 seminal studies by von Holst 1936, Hassenstein and Reichardt 1956, Tinbergen 1963). For 584 585 example, electrophysiological impulses from the central nervous system (CNS) control the direct expression of activity, behavioural co-ordination (i.e. impulses from statoliths control 586 behavioural equilibrium in fishes; Von Holst and Mittelstaedt 1950) and rhythmic locomotor 587 patterns of movement; all of which constitute the classification of animal personality (Von 588 Holst 1936, Hassenstein 1956). Modern research has shown that physiological traits, such as 589 energy metabolism, may be key drivers of animal personalities, which, in turn, drives 590 intraspecific variability in stress responses and activity (Schjolden et al. 2005; Careau et al. 591 2008; Biro and Stamps 2010). Furthermore, there are studies that link mitochondrial function 592 593 to whole-animal metabolic rate. For example, Norin and Malte (2012) found that intraspecific 594 variation in both SMR and (to a lesser extent) MMR in brown trout were positively correlated with the activity of two key aerobic mitochondrial enzymes (cytochrome C oxidase and citrate 595 596 synthase). This was suggested to have an impact on aerobic performance, activity and stress recovery. Differences in energetic demands imposed by the metabolic rate of an individual may 597 598 promote aggressive activity that may assist in the acquisition of resources (i.e. food or mates; Cutts et al. 2001); although aggressive activity is itself energetically costly. Heritable energetic 599 600 and behaviour traits have been shown to covary predictably; and this is most likely because 601 energetic demands can constrain behaviour (Careau et al. 2008; Killen et al. 2014).

Individuals differ in the expression of energetic and behavioural traits and this is thought to be 602 partially controlled by the genotype (Coleman and Wilson 1998; Thomas et al. 2001; 603 Scantlebury et al. 2007; Chown et al. 2010, Zou et al. 2021). Several authors have suggested 604 that environmental heterogeneity can act to increase variability in physiological (i.e. high or 605 low performance) and behavioural (bold vs timid) traits (Bolnick et al. 2003; Parmesan 2006; 606 Careau et al. 2008; Williams 2008; Chown et al. 2010; Metcalfe et al. 2016). Variation in 607 minimum metabolic rates (SMR or RMR) differs amongst populations, but this variation is 20-608 609 fold greater between individuals within a population (Meagher and Connor 2001; Thomas et al. 2001; Mathias et al. 2006; Scantlebury et al. 2007; Careau et al. 2008). Furthermore, there 610 611 is also a high degree of variability in behavioural traits, whereas there tends to be less variability

in mechanistic physiological traits; however both physiological and behavioural traits fall
along a continuum of variation (Sih et al.2004, Meagher and Connor 2001; Thomas et al. 2001;
Mathias et al. 2006; Scantlebury et al. 2007; Careau et al. 2008). Although variation in
behaviour and metabolic traits has been established, studies aiming to understand the link
between the two are still scarce, particularly as to how fisheries may select for coupled
behavioural and metabolic trait groups.

618

One of the reasons for the lack of understanding of the link between fish behaviour and 619 metabolic physiology may be the considerable behavioural variability within populations 620 (Neubauer and Anderson 2019). Physiologists often interpreted individuals with unusual 621 behaviour as outliers and excluded them from respirometry trials (e.g., hyperactivity or restless 622 behaviour; as suggested by Metcalfe et al. 2016). This practice has reduced our understanding 623 of the mechanisms linking fish metabolic physiology and behaviour and has reduced our 624 quantification of individual variability in the responses to stressors, which may be linked to 625 personality (or otherwise termed behavioural syndrome - an intrinsic, repeatable individual 626 attribute; Sih et al. 2004; Reale et al. 2007; Careau et al. 2008; Metcalfe et al. 2016; Neubauer 627 and Anderson 2019). 628

629

630 Another potential reason for our lack of understanding of the link between fish behaviour and metabolic physiology is that animal personality influences both daily energy expenditure and 631 biological fitness, which may explain why these two latter measures are weakly corrected to 632 metabolic rate without accounting for animal personality (Careau et al. 2008). Very recently, 633 researchers have begun to qualify animal personality (bold vs timid, i.e. bold with coupled 634 traits of aggression, high exploratory activity and a low stress response; vs timid and passive 635 with a high stress response) and its relationship with energetics and metabolism. Despite the 636 lack of directed research, it has been proposed that behaviours such as aggression and 637 exploration are energetically costly and are expected to be correlated with individual variation 638 in metabolic phenotype (Careau et al. 2008; Killen et al. 2011; Killen et al. 2012; Rupia et al. 639 640 2016; Biro et al. 2018; Neubauer and Anderson 2019). A greater understanding of the links between animal behaviour and metabolic physiology is thus needed to understand the 641 evolutionary importance of individual variation in metabolism as a means for adaptation to 642 climate and exploitation stressors. 643

645 Environmental variation can separate individuals into low and high performance phenotypes. For example, Neubauer and Anderson (2019) showed that high performers in relatively stable 646 647 environments (e.g., the tropics or polar regions) are most likely to maximize performance at extreme stressors through a steep aerobic scope (e.g., a narrow range between SMR and MMR, 648 649 but a higher peak in maximum performance at relevant temperatures; Chown et al. 2010; Neubauer and Anderson 2019). However, in heterogeneous temperate environments, high 650 651 performers may have a broader aerobic performance window (low SMR and high MMR; Portner et al. 2007; Portner et al. 2008; Clark et al 2013). High performers in temperate 652 environments have broader tolerance ranges and are able to quickly recover from external 653 stressors through their low metabolic costs and ability to sustain activity across changing 654 conditions (Auer et al. 2015; Holt and Jorgensen 2015; Norin et al. 2015; Metcalfe et al. 2016; 655 McLean et al. 2018; Pörtner et al. 2018). In contrast, low performance individuals may 656 experience extended periods of physiological stress, which may have lethal and sub-lethal 657 consequences, such as reducing the energy available for reproductive fitness (Portner and 658 Knust 2007). High performance metabolic phenotypes (HPMP) have also been linked to a 659 number of fitness measures, including greater stress recovery (e.g., to a parasite load), 660 competitive ability or social rank (which influence mate choice), and activity (e.g., exploratory 661 662 behaviour, migratory ability and habitat selection; McNab 2002; Careau et al. 2008) (See Table 1.1). Environmental heterogeneity may favour high performance phenotypes with a greater 663 664 energetic capacity for stress tolerance, adaptive behaviour and high fitness potential (McNab 2002; Careau et al. 2008; Pörtner et al. 2018; Neubauer and Anderson 2019). 665

666

In terms of mechanisms, research on fishes suggests that the correlation between energy 667 metabolism and animal personality may be attributed to the shared hormonal control via the 668 669 hypothalamic-pituitary (HPI) axis, which is responsible for regulating both metabolism and stress responses (Archard et al. 2012; Aubin-Horth et al. 2012). Along this axis, the 670 pleiotrophic effect of glucocorticoid receptors may explain the inverse link between cortisol 671 and exploratory activity. For example, in threespine sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus), 672 bolder, exploratory individuals (this suite of behaviours is termed proactive at the population 673 level; Sih et al. 2004) exhibited low HPI hormonal stress responses and high sympathetic 674 activity (Aubin-Horth et al. 2012). Based on this finding, it is possible that bolder individuals 675 in a population are more likely to rapidly recover from a stressor. 676

From an evolutionary perspective, the role that genetics plays in determining the characteristics of this suite of physiological and behavioural phenotypic traits in relation to the HPI axis is important, given that selection for a high metabolic rate may promote selection for exploration and aggression (Tinbergen 1963; Barrett et al. 2013; Careau et al. 2008; Archard et al. 2012). This may have consequences for fish populations as individuals exhibiting bold behaviour and high levels of activity may provide the potential to colonize new habitats and recover from climate stressors (Careau et al. 2008; Archard et al. 2012).

685

# 1.4.1 The relevance of the links between metabolic physiology and behaviour in theAnthropocene

Understanding physiological tolerance and behaviour is important in predicting the response 688 of fishes to human impacts including environmental toxicity (Scott and Sloman 2004), climate 689 change (Killen et al. 2014) and overexploitation (Duncan et al. 2019). Behaviour and 690 physiology also determine the adaptive response to ecological stressors, such as those 691 encountered in novel environments (Romero et al. 2009; Tudorache et al. 2013) or via 692 predation (Bell and Sih 2007; Metcalfe et al. 2016). Individuals with high performance 693 phenotypes and a broad aerobic scope may have a greater energetic capacity to tolerate a 694 broader range of stressors and facilitate rapid recovery. In a study on zebrafish Danio rerio, 695 the dominant individuals had lower cortisol levels in novel environments (Tudorache et al. 696 697 2013). Martins et al. (2011) found that Oreochromus niloticus with lower cortisol levels recovered faster when exposed to novel objects when compared to their subordinates. 698 699 Similarly, dominant or aggressive individuals had greater oxidative stress recovery responses when exposed to heavy metal toxicity (Scott and Sloman 2004; Almeida et al. 2009), as well 700 as faster recovery following intensive exercise, rapidly resuming normal foraging and anti-701 predation behaviour (Killen et al. 2014). Fishes with high performance metabolic phenotypes 702 may also have a greater thermal tolerance capacity (Pörtner et al. 2018; Duncan et al. 2019). 703 Thus, although high performance metabolic phenotypes may have a competitive advantage in 704 705 a changing climate, it is likely that they may, as a consequence of their behavioural attributes, be more susceptible to exploitation (Suski and Philipp 2004; Cooke et al. 2007; Clark et al. 706 2017; Huntingford et al. 2010; Redpath et al. 2010; Duncan et al. 2019; Louison et al. 2019). 707 In the first subsection to follow, we discuss the impact of environmental change on fished 708

populations of the Anthropocene. Secondly, we discuss how exploitation may exacerbate theeffects of environmental stressors on fished populations.

711

#### 712 1.4.1.1 The impact of Anthropogenic environmental change

713

To assess the responses of fishes to impacts associated with the Anthropocene, it is necessary 714 to understand the impact of various stressors on a range of physiological and behavioural 715 phenotypes (Bell and Sih 2007). Environmental stressors have received the main focus in the 716 literature as they may mediate the relationship between behaviour and metabolic physiology 717 718 Killen et al. (2013) suggested that the relationship between behaviour and metabolic physiology may be accentuated under moderate stressors or masked under severe stressors. 719 720 This review revealed that there was a significant positive relationship between SMR and territorial behaviour in populations subjected to biotic stressors (21% of reviewed studies 721 examined such stressors; the effects of stressors on SMR are visible in Figure 1.4), such as high 722 723 conspecific density (Cockrem 2007; Reid 2012). Other biotic stressors, such as food shortages or high rates of predation, have been found to influence the metabolic rate and risk-taking 724 behaviours associated with foraging (Ruxton et al. 2000; Killen et al. 2011). In contrast, 725 stressors such as hypoxia (Killen et al. 2012), or toxicants (i.e. heavy metals or chemical 726 pollutants) have been observed to mask aggressive behaviour (Kolok et al. 2002). Dominance 727 and activity have been correlated with the maximal metabolic rate (MMR) of fishes in 728 thermally variable conditions (Killen et al. 2014). However, the relationship between 729 730 behavioural exploratory activity and MMR decreases at extreme temperatures where even bold and active individuals may not express these traits (Chappel et al. 2004; Killen et al. 2013). 731 Killen et al. (2011, 2012) examined changes to both behaviour and metabolic physiology under 732 the same stressor and then measured these changes under different severities of the stressor 733 (Killen et al. 2013). They found that juvenile European bass *Dicentrarchus labrax* with a high 734 metabolic rate increased risk-taking behaviour as food became scarce or as hypoxic conditions 735 intensified. It appears that environmental change may increase the diversity of aerobic scope 736 and behavioural phenotypes expressed, on which natural selection can act (Killen et al. 2013; 737 see Figure 1.5). 738 739

- /35
- 740
- 741



742

Figure 1.5: The fission or fusion of social groups is expected in the Anthropocene as climate change and angling have coupled effects on the selection for metabolic and behavioural traits. Fission or fusion of social groups is expected to be common under environmental change as metabolic costs are altered, excluding individuals without the aerobic capacity for behavioural change. However, fisheries may act to remove the fittest individuals with metabolic and behavioural traits needed for climate resilience. (This figure was produced in collaboration with graphic artist, Carys C. Bailey).

750 To date, research assessing the relationship between fish behaviour and aerobic scope in the context of environmental change is limited. This is concerning given its relevance in the context 751 752 of climate change and increasing levels of exploitation. For example, high performance individuals with the energetic capacity, likely provided by a broad aerobic scope, may have 753 754 greater tolerance to stressors and potential for adaptive behaviour (Biro et al. 2018; Pörtner et al. 2018) yet appear to be more vulnerable to capture. Differences in the aerobic scope 755 756 responses to environmental change by different behavioural types has not been tested apart from a study by Rupia et al. (2016), who found that fish with bold and timid behavioural 757 758 phenotypes exhibited different metabolic responses across a salinity gradient. Thus, the relationship between fish behaviour and aerobic scope remains a considerable research gap. 759 However, according to the plastic floors and concrete ceilings hypothesis, basal energy 760 requirements (SMR) are thermally plastic, while maximum capacities (MMR) are less flexible 761 (Sandblom et al. 2016). Hence, if we can understand how a stressor affects SMR or MMR, or 762 both, then we can understand the effect of a stressor on aerobic scope and behavioural 763 764 plasticity.

765

Individual behavioural plasticity has been researched and it appears that it is likely determined 766 767 by the intraindividual variation in behaviour over time (Careau et al. 2008; Biro et al. 2018). There is no research linking individual behavioural plasticity to aerobic scope in fishes, 768 769 however, Biro et al. (2018), using a rodent dataset, found that individuals with a greater aerobic scope had broader behavioural plasticity (i.e. the ability to adjust behaviour and sustain activity 770 771 across a range of stressors). With this in mind, the response of individuals to climate stressors, such as thermal variability, will be difficult to predict without an understanding of the 772 773 behavioural plasticity of the individual and this should be tested in both constant and changing 774 energetic conditions (Metcalfe et al. 2012; Biro et al. 2018; Pörtner et al. 2018).

775

The energetic consequence and adaptive value of individual behaviour will determine whether 776 these behavioural traits will be selected for at the population level (Killen et al. 2013). 777 Tinbergen (1963) proposed that metabolic physiology is an important proximate mechanism 778 constraining the evolution of adaptive behaviour. Aerobic metabolic physiology has been 779 780 found to determine the extent of locomotor performance, and it is likely that high performance individuals have the locomotor capacity for behavioural adaptation (Barrett et al. 2013; 781 Seebacher and Krause 2017). These individuals can maintain high swimming speeds and 782 respond rapidly to escape threatening stimuli, while maintaining these high rates of 783

performance across broad environmental tolerance ranges (Killen et al. 2017). Generalists, with
the aerobic capacity to adjust behaviour, are most likely to be selected for under environmental
heterogeneity (Parmesan 2006; Biro et al. 2018; Pörtner et al. 2018). These high performance
individuals will typically dominate leading shoal positions with the greatest access to resources
(i.e. food or mates). Furthermore, their broad aerobic capacity may facilitate adaptive dispersal
or migratory behaviours (Warnock and Rasmussen 2014; Seebacher and Krause 2017; Pörtner
et al. 2018).

791

The maintenance of individuals with broader aerobic capacity will allow fish populations to 792 adjust their behaviour and will determine the adaptive potential of a population (Seebacher and 793 Krause 2017; Pörtner et al. 2018). Should individuals within a population lack the aerobic 794 capacity to alter behaviour (i.e. maintain high rates of performance across broad tolerance 795 ranges), environmental change may cause fission amongst population members (i.e. the 796 separation of social groups; Killen et al. 2017; Seebacher and Krause 2017) (Figure 1.5). For 797 example, in shoaling species, it is likely that individuals with similar aerobic phenotypes will 798 shoal together, enabling members to remain within the shoal by maintaining similar swimming 799 800 speeds and sharing similar metabolic costs within the environment (Nadler et al. 2016, Killen 801 et al. 2017; Seebacher and Krause 2017). Fission or fusion (i.e. regrouping to form new social groups) of social groups is expected to be common under environmental change as metabolic 802 803 costs are altered, excluding individuals without the aerobic capacity for behavioural change (Killen et al. 2017; Seebacher and Krause 2017) (See Figure 1.5). Understanding the link 804 805 between metabolic physiology and behavioural plasticity is crucial given that these are likely the most important adaptive responses to climate variability (Pörtner et al. 2018), and that both 806 807 behavioural and metabolic phenotypes are under selection by fisheries (see Figure 1.5).

808

810

#### 809 1.4.2.2 The impact of exploitation

Fishing-induced mortality is thought to aggravate the impact of marine environmental stressors on targeted species (Harley et al. 2006; Hsieh et al. 2008; Vedor et al. 2021) by selecting for particular phenotypes (e.g., smaller body size and earlier maturity, a process named fisheriesinduced evolution; Marty et al. 2015) and reducing the genetic potential for adaptation. Fisheries research has focussed on the selection for morphological and life history traits, but there is a need to study the selective nature of fisheries on behavioural and metabolic

817 physiological traits (Uusi-Heikkila et al. 2008), which determine the responses of fished populations to environmental stressors. 818

819

820 Vulnerability to fishing has been found to covary with heritable metabolic (Hessenauer et al. 821 2015; Clark et al. 2017; Duncan et al. 2019) and behavioural traits (Suski and Philipp 2004). Duncan et al. (2019) provide the first evidence linking exploitation with thermal metabolic 822 823 effects. In this study, red roman, Chrysoblephus laticeps, that were captured from a wild exploited population exhibited a reduction in physiological performance (i.e. reduced aerobic 824 scope, which was measured using respirometry) and tolerance to temperature variability, in 825 comparison to a wild protected population. Furthermore, the exploited population had lower 826 metabolic phenotype diversity relative to the protected population. This was attributed to the 827 increased likelihood of the capture of the physiologically fittest fish by the commercial and 828 recreational hook and line fishery. Indeed, fish with bold personalities may have a greater 829 reproductive output and migratory potential (see section above), and are known to be more 830 susceptible to passive fishing gears (i.e. stationary gear where capture is dependent on the 831 832 movement of fishes towards the gear), and in particular, hook and line (Figure 1.4) (Biro and 833 Post 2008; Careau et al. 2008; Alos et al. 2012; Sutter et al. 2012). For example, Alos et al. 834 (2012) suggested that bold, active fish may be more susceptible to capture in gillnets. This study used in situ fish behaviour from telemetry data and created an individual-based model to 835 simulate the trajectory of fish as they encounter fishers within their home range. They found 836 that bold fish with high activity phenotypes (attributed to a high metabolic rate) and larger 837 838 home ranges are more likely to encounter and be captured by angling gear; which is in agreement with several other studies (Suski and Philipp 2004; Cooke et al. 2007; Huntingford 839 et al. 2010; Redpath et al. 2010, Wilson et al. 2015, Satterfield and Johnson 2020). The removal 840 of these bold aggressive individuals, which are considered to be more effective in protecting 841 842 their nests and young, is likely to reduce overall population fitness (Suski and Philipp 2004; Cooke et al. 2007). Based on the available research, it appears that passive gears may influence 843 metabolic traits by either direct selection of high-performance metabolic phenotypes or indirect 844 selection of particular metabolic phenotypes most often associated with bold behaviour (Koeck 845 et al. 2019). However, fisheries selection on physiological and behavioural traits may differ 846 depending on fishing gear and environmental conditions (Hollins et al. 2020). 847

848

849 Although passive gears have been demonstrated to influence the metabolism and behaviour of 850 fishes, the impact of active gears (i.e, gear where capture is determined by chasing the target)

851 on fishes has only recently become apparent. Hollins et al. (2019) found that active trawling gear may select for a decrease in shoal cohesion in the common minnow Phoxinus phoxinus 852 853 by removing slower swimmers with a lower aerobic capacity and lower spontaneous activity. This may have consequences for faster swimmers, which are only less vulnerable to trawling 854 when in shoals with familiar conspecifics. Hence, a reduction in shoal cohesion may ultimately 855 change phenotypic selection by the fishery by increasing the vulnerability of high-performance 856 857 phenotypes to trawling. Furthermore, a reduction in shoal cohesion may reduce the efficiency of active gears that rely on tight shoaling. Thus, it appears that, regardless of whether fish are 858 targeted in active or passive fisheries, exploitation may reduce the phenotypic variability of 859 fish populations, either by changing shoal cohesion or by removing bold individuals with a 860 high aerobic capacity (Hollins et al. 2020, McLean et al. 2020). 861

862 While the selection pressures of catch-and-kill fisheries (i.e. whereby fish are harvested for local consumption or commercial use) will not be the same as those that practice catch-and-863 release fishing (C&R; fish are captured for sport and then returned to the aquatic environment), 864 an understanding of how C&R influences fish behaviour and metabolic physiology is 865 fundamental. Several studies have examined the relationship between C&R and the metabolic 866 physiology and behaviour of fishes (Cook et al. 2007; Danylchuk et al. 2007; Arlinghaus et al. 867 2009; Gale et al. 2013; McLean et al 2020). Cooke et al. (2007) found that fish with bold and 868 active behaviour are more likely to be captured, but the sub-lethal effects following release can 869 870 be substantial and these may considerably alter behaviour and metabolic physiology (in the short-term). For example, the intense exercise and prolonged air exposure following an angling 871 872 event can result in high metabolic costs (Cooke et al. 2007; Danylchuk et al. 2007; Arlinghaus et al. 2009; McLean et al. 2020). Recovery may also be delayed in fishes released into waters 873 outside of their thermal optima (Cooke et al. 2005; Gale et al. 2013). These coupled metabolic 874 875 costs can induce reflex impairment, increasing susceptibility to post release predation, or ultimately cause metabolic collapse (Cooke et al. 2007; Arlinghaus et al. 2009; Gale et al. 876 2013). The sub-lethal effects of catch-and-release fishing can induce mortalities that are 877 comparable to catch-and-kill fisheries when coupled with marine environmental change 878 (Arlinghaus et al. 2009; Gale et al. 2013). 879

880

Fishing pressure has been recognised to exacerbate the vulnerability of fish populations to temperature and pH changes in the marine environment, by reducing genetic acclimation potential (Marty et al. 2015) and phenotype diversity (Harley et al. 2006; Pimentel et al. 2016). Exploitation by angling may reduce the phenotypic diversity of populations by removing bold individuals (Alos et al. 2012), with the aerobic capacity for behavioural change or the potential to shift their metabolic scope (Killen et al. 2017; Seebacher and Krause2017; Pörtner et al. 2018). Hence fishing pressure is likely to select for populations with reduced adaptive capacity to climate stressors and reduced potential for dispersal. Fishes may not persist into the future if they are unable to adapt, particularly if there is limited scope for the expansion of their tolerance ranges or behavioural adaptation (Lewin et al. 2006).

891

# 892 1.5 Aims of this thesis

The broad aims of this thesis are to evaluate the link between aerobic scope phenotypes and behavioural plasticity in determining resilience to thermal variability, in the near-threatened linefish species, *Chrysoblephus laticeps*.

896 This aim is addressed in the following chapters:

Chapter 1 examines published literature for evidence of the links between behaviour and aerobic metabolic physiology in freshwater and marine fishes using a meta-analysis, and relates this information to the impacts of exploitation and climate change on marine fish populations. The content of this chapter has been published in Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries.

• Chapter 2 discusses the study site and study species (*Chrysoblephus laticeps*).

- Chapter 3 addresses the susceptibility of exploited species to temperature variability by
   using respirometry to quantify and compare changes in energy expenditure under
   temperatures mimicking variations experienced in the home range of the species, and as a
   result of upwelling or downwelling events.
- In Chapter 4, high and low performance aerobic phenotypes (characterised in Chapter 3)
   are related to behavioural syndromes (bold *vs* timid) of individuals through multi-trait
   personality tests. To determine different physiological responses amongst behaviour types,
   the plasticity of behavioural responses under temperature variability is assessed.
- Chapter 5 examines which combinations of aerobic scope and behavioural phenotypes are
   likely to be selected for, based on competitive ability and adaptive potential, in a climate
   variability scenario. To do this, a scenario of food resource competition likely under
   thermal variability was created. Here, individuals of various phenotype combinations (i.e.

- bold high performer, timid high performer, bold low performer, timid low performer) were
  paired according to size in competitive dual feeding contests.
- Chapter 6 synthesises all data chapters and discusses how a behavioural and physiological
   based-management approach can be incorporated into current South African policy and
- 919 management frameworks. The chapter ends off with directions for future research.

#### **Chapter 2** 920 921 Study area and study species 922 923 2.1 Study area 924 925 926 2.1.1 Climate change along the South African coast 927 928 The 3000 km coast of South Africa has four distinct biogeographic zones, a tropical transition 929 zone, a subtropical zone, a warm-temperate zone and a cool-temperate zone (Schlegel and Smit 930 2016). The oceanography of different regions of South Africa's coastline drives the variability 931 in local thermal regimes (James et al. 2013; Potts et al. 2015; Whitfield et al. 2016; Schlegal et 932 al. 2017) (Figure 2.1). The west coast of South Africa is dominated by the cold Benguela 933 Current, while the south and east coasts are dominated by the Agulhas Current (i.e. a western 934 935 boundary current bringing warmer waters from the Western Indian Ocean; Schlegal et al. 2017). The west coast's wide continental shelf broadens further into the Agulhas bank between 936 Cape Town and Gqeberha, before narrowing near east London (except for the Natal Bight, a 937 projection of the continental shelf) (Potts et al. 2015; Schlegal et al. 2017). 938

939 The warm-temperate south coast of South Africa is a region experiencing increasing thermal variability, and has a larger annual thermal range relative to the cold-temperate west coast and 940 941 the sub-tropical east coast. The upper end of this thermal variability is attributed to both the 942 strengthening and the warming of Agulhas current on the Agulhas Bank (i.e. which is an area of the continental shelf that widens at the southern tip of the continent; Jackson et al. 2012). In 943 contrast, the lower end of this thermal variability is attributed to the occurance of cold 944 upwelling zones, some of which are wind-driven, in near shore areas along prominent coastal 945 cape headlands (Schlegel et al., 2017). As such, marine heat waves and cold spells are likely 946 to have the most intensive biological impact on the south coast (Duncan et al. 2019). Indeed, 947 marine heatwaves and marine cold spell events within this warm temperate region are 948 increasing in duration and intensity, relative to those experienced along the cold west coast and 949 sub-tropical east coast (Schlegel et al., 2017). 950



951

Figure 2.1: The two major currents along South Africa's coast. The warm Agulhas current moves
South-Westwards along the East coast. The cold Benguela current moves Northwards along the
West coast.

955

Anthropogenic global change has driven substantial changes in the marine environment, 956 957 including increased sea surface temperatures (Rhein et al. 2013; Holt and Jorgensen 2015), ocean acidification (Collins et al. 2013), hypoxia (Hoegh-Guldbery et al. 2014) and increased 958 959 thermal variability. Mean sea surface temperatures have increased by 2 °C since the start of the century (IPPC 2014). Increases in sea surface temperatures (SST) from remotely sensed data 960 of up to 0.7 °C have been recorded per decade since the 1980s on the east coast of South Africa 961 (particularly in the Agulhas Current). In contrast, localized areas of inshore cooling have been 962 measured along the west and south coasts of South Africa (up to -0.5 °C per decade) during 963 some parts of the year (Rouault et al. 2009, 2010). 964

Long-term climate change may drive short-term changes in local thermal regimes, particularly around coastal upwelling zones, for example upwelling is increasing in intensity along the temperate south coast of South Africa (Duncan et al. 2018). By adversely altering thermal regimes, global climate change is anticipated to have both broad and localized effects on 969 ecological communities (Bates et al. 2019). Biodiversity is more likely to respond to localized 970 shifts in ocean climate given that these introduce a greater aspect of unpredictability to thermal 971 regimes (Bates et al. 2018; Bates et al. 2019; Duncan et al. 2019). Hence, it is important to 972 understand the effect of localized thermal variability along the coast of South Africa that is 973 ecologically relevant to South Africa's linefish species.

The strengthening of the Agulhas current since the 1980's, attributed to the strengthening of 974 trade winds, has likely intensified dynamic upwelling. An increase in upwelling favourable 975 easterly winds has also been linked to seasonal cooling (of up to -0.5 °C per decade) close 976 inshore along South Africa's west and south coasts (Roualt et al. 2009, 2010). (Figure 2.1). 977 Along the south coast, easterly winds (which are more prevalent in summer than winter) along 978 prominent coastal headlands result in surface Ekman transport offshore, which can cause 979 980 upwelling. Upwelling can cause the temperatures of nearshore areas to rapidly drop as low as 12 °C (Goschen and Schumann 1995; Schumann et al. 1995). 981

Research by Schlegal et al. (2017) shows that anomalously warm marine heat waves and cold 982 spells occur at least once annually along South Africa's coast and can persist for five or more 983 days. Marine heat waves occur with the warming of offshore sea surface waters, where the 984 summer thermocline permits sharp changes in water (Schlegal et al. 2017). Light winds, or the 985 presence of a clockwise cyclonic eddy on the Agulhas bank, may drive a small meander of the 986 warm Agulhus Current towards the coast, resulting in a heatwave in coastal waters (while the 987 tailing end of a cyclonic circulation can cause cold upwelling; Schlegal et al. 2017). Onshore 988 Ekman transport can cause the downwelling of warm surface waters, which can result in 989 990 abnormally high sea temperatures in deeper waters  $(\pm 19 \text{ m})$  for one to two days off the coast of South Africa (Schumann 1999). 991

992

993 Marine heat waves can cause sub-lethal effects in coastal species such as permanent oxidative damage of cardiac tissue (Pichaud et al. 2020), or damage to heat shock proteins at several 994 degrees below lethal temperatures (Iwama et al. 1998). This is concerning as heat waves are 995 expected to occur more frequently off the coast of South Africa with global mean increases in 996 sea surface temperature (Schlegel et al. 2017). Furthermore, it is predicted that ENSO (i.e. El 997 Niño-Southern Oscillation) will increase in strength and drive associated sea temperature 998 999 variability trends (Bates et al. 2019), including easterly wind-driven cold upwelling events (Duncan et al. 2018). The increase in thermal variability in South Africa's coastal zone can act 1000
as a barrier to dispersal for migratory species, or limit the optimal thermal condition of resident
fishes (James et al. 2013; Potts et al. 2015; Whitfield et al. 2016).

1003

1005

### 1004 2.1.2 Sampling locality

The area offshore of Noordhoek Ski-boat Club (33°58'S 25°38'E; See Figure 2.1 and 2.2) in 1006 1007 Algoa Bay, just outside of Ggeberha metropolitan (previously Port Elizabeth, PE), was the sampling locality of this study. Algoa Bay has an average Summer minimum sea surface 1008 1009 temperature (SST) of 17.8 °C and an average summer maximum of 23.5 °C. The region has an average winter minimum SST of 14.6 C and average winter maximum SST of 19.9 °C. 1010 1011 Noordhoek extends along an exposed Cape headland and falls within a dynamic upwelling region (Figure 2.2). This region experiences localized sea temperature variability owing to 1012 wind-driven upwelling along these prominent coastal headlands (Schumann et al. 2005). Here, 1013 the summer thermocline (reaching an average annual summer maximum of of 23.5 °C in Algoa 1014 Bay at 5 m depths; Smit et al. 2013; Figure 2.2) permits sharp changes in temperature when 1015 followed by an upwelling event (see Figure 2.3 in Duncan et al. 2018). For example, an 1016 upwelling event can cause low temperatures of 11 °C in the Gqeberha region for a one to four-1017 day period (Goshen and Schumann 1995, Schumann et al. 1995; Schumann 1999; Schumann 1018 1019 et al. 2005; Duncan 2018) (See the annual thermal regime for Algoa Bay in Figure 2.3.). Duncan et al. (2018) found that these these extreme cold events cause a cold-shock induced 1020 1021 transition to anaerobic metabolism in red roman.



1025 Figure 2.2: Map of the sampling area of Algoa Bay in Gqeberha (previously Port Elizabeth;

PE), South Africa. Colours are MODIS Terra satellite sea surface temperatures depicting an
upwelling event on 04-03-2010 taken from Smit et al. (2013). Black arrow indicates the study
sampling site west of PE.



1029

Figure 2.2: Average daily sea temperature data collected from underwater temperature recorders (14 m) at Noordhoek, Algoa Bay in 2018 (from Skeeles 2019).

1032 1033

# 2.2 Chrysoblephus laticeps as a model species to assess how behavioural and physiological traits influence resilience to thermal variability

1036 The roman seabream *Chrysoblephus laticeps (Valenciennes, 1830)* is endemic to southern 1037 Africa, occurring from False Bay to the Kei River, with a core distribution throughout the 1038 Western and Eastern Cape in South Africa (Smith and Heemstra 1991; Götz and Kerwath 2013) 1039 (Figure 2.3) *Chrysoblephus laticeps* is heavily exploited in the commercial and in the 1040 recreational fishing sectors (Götz and Kerwath 2013). Catch estimates of between 10 000–100 1041 000 kg have been reported from locations to the west of Algoa Bay between the years 1985– 1042 2000 (Kerwath et al. 2013) (Duncan et al. 2018) (Figure 2.3).





Figure 2.3: Spatial distribution of total commercial *C. laticeps* catch from 1985 to present day.
Data was obtained from the national marine linefish system (NMLS) at DAFF (Duncan 2018).

This slow growing Sparid species is particularly vulnerable to selective exploitation owing to 1047 its life-history traits. Chrysoblephus laticeps reaches 50 % maturity as a female between 2.5 to 1048 4.3 years (167 to 190 mm fork length; Buxton 1987, 1990) and undergoes a sex change between 1049 8 to 10.3 years (between 275 to 350 mm fork length; Buxton 1993), living to a maximum age 1050 of 19 years (Götz et al. 2008). These fish form social dominance hierarchies, in which dominant 1051 1052 males will defend their territories during the breeding season (Buxton 1987) and engage in aggressive behaviour during foraging or spawning (spawning occurs in the summer months 1053 between October to January; Buxton 1990, Kerwath et al. 2007). These social behavioural 1054 traits, exploitation and hardiness in captivity (Kerwath et al. 2007, Duncan et al. 2019) make 1055 1056 this a model species to address research questions on how physiological and behavioural traits influence resilience to thermal variability. 1057

This highly resident species (i.e. home range between  $1000 - 3000 \text{ m}^2$ ; Kerwath 2007) is ideal 1058 for spatial protection, which can assist in recruiting nearby fished areas given the genetic 1059 connectivity of the species throughout South Africa (Teske et al. 2010). The sampling locality 1060 of Noordhoek in Algoa Bay lies towards the centre of this distribution. However, C. laticeps 1061 may already reside in areas close to the lower limit of their tolerance range, where the species 1062 1063 has been shown to enter cold shock as temperatures drop to 8 °C (See Figure 2.1 and 2.2.) (Duncan et al. 2019). Additionally, the average annual summer maximum temperature of 23.5 1064 1065 °C at 5 m depths in Algoa Bay (Duncan et al. 2019) is close to maximum cardiac breakpoint temperatures for the species (i.e. 23.92 °C and 25.19 °C; Skeeles et al. 2020). Living in a 1066

climate hotspot on South Africa's South coast, exploited *C. laticeps* stocks may have a limited
scope for the rapid expansion of their tolerance range or physiological adaptation under fishing
pressures (Duncan et al. 2019). Duncan et al (2019) was the first study to link exploitation with
reduced physiological performance where he found that an exploited population of *C. laticeps*had reduced physiological tolerance to thermal variability, relative to a protected population,
and attributed this to the capture of the physiologically fittest fish by the fishery. Overall, *C. laticeps* ' behavioural traits, commercial exploitation and distribution range make it a model

species to address the research questions of this thesis.



1104 A Chrysoblephus laticeps specimen inside a respirometer, used to measure oxygen consumption

Chapter 3

### 1106 **3.1. Introduction**

Living in the Anthropocene, fish populations experience the coupled threats of climate change 1107 and exploitation. These threats can act simultaneously to lower the resilience of fished 1108 1109 populations to marine environmental stressors (Cooke et al. 2019). Global increases in mean sea surface temperatures have altered wind regimes (Rhein et. 2013), driving short-term shifts 1110 1111 in local ocean weather (Bates et al. 2018; Bates et al. 2019). The consequences for fishes in the ocean is driven by their physiological responses to unpredictable shifts in local thermal 1112 regimes. Fish may alter their tolerance range by shifting their physiological performance curves 1113 1114 (either by broadening their tolerance to a range of stressors, or by maximizing their performance at extreme stressors; Portner and Knust 2007; Chown et al. 2010), or alter their 1115 behaviour to seek out refugia from external stressors (Wong and Candolin 2015). 1116

1117 As fish are ectotherms and their body temperatures track ambient thermal conditions (Rijnsdorp et al. 2009; Pauly 2010) the consequences of reduced physiological performance in 1118 1119 fishes are dependent on their tolerance to extreme fluctuations in local thermal regimes (Bates et al. 2019). Temperature determines the rate at which fish will oxidize substrates to produce 1120 1121 energy, impacting both vital metabolic processes (such as foraging and swimming style; 1122 Brownscombe et al. 2014; Johansen et al. 2014) and the energy remaining for reproductive fitness (Pankhurst and Munday 2011). As physiological performance is dependent on 1123 temperature, thermal tolerance determines population demographics and range boundaries 1124 1125 (Rijnsdorp et al. 2009). Extreme changes to local thermal regimes will have consequences for fish that are unable to seek out thermal refugia or for resident species with small home ranges 1126 1127 (Wong and Candolin 2015; Beever et al. 2017). Thermal variability can elevate energetic costs and reduce physiological performance in resident fishes with limited thermal tolerance (Portner 1128 and Knust 2007; Clark et al. 2013; Bates et al. 2019). 1129

1130 Aerobic scope (AS) is one of the more suitable and useful metrics to assess the influence of temperature, amongst other environmental factors, on the metabolic performance of ectotherms 1131 1132 (Pörtner and Knust 2007; Pörtner et al. 2018). As a measure of energetic capacity, aerobic 1133 scope is defined as the difference between standard metabolic rate (SMR; the rate at which an 1134 animal oxidizes metabolic substrates to produce the energy required to maintain homeostasis in a post absorptive, inactive state; McNab 2002; Metcalfe et al. 2016) and maximal metabolic 1135 1136 rate (MMR; maximal locomotor activity; Portner et al. 2007). Metabolic rates are typically determined for a species as the population mean; however, there can be a two to three-fold 1137

variation between individuals in the expression of these partially heritable metabolic traits (i.e.
metabolic phenotypes; Metcalfe et al. 2016; Taboun 2020; Long et al. 2021).

Environmental variation can separate individuals into low and high performance phenotypes 1140 1141 (Neubauer and Anderson 2019). High performers in relatively stable environments (eg. the tropics or polar regions) are most likely to maximize performance at extreme temperatures 1142 through a steep aerobic scope curve (eg. a narrow range between SMR and MMR, but a higher 1143 peak in maximum performance at extreme temperatures; Chown et al. 2010, Neubauer and 1144 Anderson 2019) (Figure 3.1 a, b). However, in heterogeneous environments (such as temperate 1145 regions), high performers require a broader aerobic performance window (low SMR and high 1146 MMR; Portner et al. 2007; Portner 2008; Clark et al 2013) (Figure 3.1c). These individuals 1147 have broader tolerance ranges and are able to quickly recover from external stressors as they 1148 1149 have low metabolic costs and the ability to sustain activity across changing conditions (Auer et al. 2015; Holt and Jorgensen 2015; Norin et al. 2015; Metcalfe et al. 2016; McLean et al. 1150 1151 2018; Pörtner et al. 2018). In contrast, low performance individuals may experience extended periods of physiological stress, which may have lethal and sub-lethal consequences, such as 1152 reducing the energy available for reproductive fitness (Portner and Knust 2007). 1153



1154

1155 Figure 3.1: Thermal performance curves of a hypothetical temperate species (a), a tropical species (b) and 1156 a polar species (c).  $T_{min}$  and  $T_{max}$  are the minimum and maximum temperature within which species can 1157 perform. T<sub>opt</sub> is the optimal temperature for performance. The temperature that the species is exposed to 1158 during the year is depicted as the grey curve beneath the thermal performance curve. Here, the average 1159 annual temperature is indicated.  $\Delta T$  is the distance between the average annual temperature and the 1160 optimal temperature for performance (T<sub>opt</sub>). Temperate species have a broad thermal performance range. 1161 Tropical and polar species are temperature specialists because they have narrower thermal performance curves. Tropical species specialize at high temperatures, whereas polar species specialize at cold 1162 1163 temperatures (adapted from Johansson et al. 2020).

1164

The selection of high performance metabolic phenotypes (HPMPs) can lead to genetic adaption, but this may be a relatively slow process in organisms with long generation times, such as many resident fishes (Somero 2010). These organisms can use other mechanisms, such as acclimation and transgenerational acclimation (Munday 2014, Donelson et al. 2012), to 1169 adapt to external environmental changes through shifts in thermal performance curves (Donelson et al. 2012; Munday 2014; Donelson et al. 2019). However, short-term phenotypic 1170 1171 behavioural changes are more likely to precede physiological adaptation. Temperate HPMPs 1172 may have the energetic capacity provided by a broad aerobic scope curve to make use of 1173 behavioural plasticity (Biro et al. 2018), which has allowed many taxa to adapt to climate change by changing their phenology or distribution (Wong and Candolin 2015; Beever et al. 1174 1175 2017; Biro et al. 2018). Hence, the metabolic phenotype of individuals will likely determine if they can tolerate or behaviourally respond to extreme thermal variability (Ward et al. 2016; 1176 1177 Bates et al. 2019).

1178

An understanding of how thermal variability influences the physiological performance of 1179 individuals is critical to understand the effects of climate change and ensure the persistence of 1180 fished populations in the Anthropocene (Ward et al. 2016). Fishing-induced mortality is 1181 thought to aggravate marine environmental stressors by limiting genetic potential and selecting 1182 for particular phenotypes, such as a smaller body size and earlier maturity (Harley et al. 2006), 1183 a timidity syndrome (Alos et al. 2012) and reduced physiological performance (Duncan et al. 1184 1185 2019). Duncan et al. (2019) provided the first population-level evidence that the removal of 1186 high-performance aerobic phenotypes through fishing may increase the vulnerability of fished resident fish populations to climate variability. However, to understand the likely response of 1187 1188 a population to thermal change, it is necessary to assess the aerobic performance of individuals within a population. This can be done by repeatedly measuring the aerobic performance of the 1189 1190 same individual across a thermal gradient (Killen et al. 2021). This information can be used to classify individual metabolic phenotypes (high vs low performance phenotypes) and will 1191 provide us with an understanding of how resilient the population may be to change. 1192

1193 The aim of this chapter is to assess the metabolic performance of individuals from an exploited population of Chrysoblephus laticeps in order to examine the phenotypic variability within the 1194 population and categorise individuals by their aerobic performance. The information from this 1195 1196 will assist in the prediction of the physiological vulnerability of exploited populations of this species to the extreme and increasing thermal variability experienced in coastal environments. 1197 To do this, aerobic scope phenotypes were quantified in individuals by using respirometry to 1198 1199 compare changes in energy expenditure at ecologically relevant temperatures, mimicking variability experienced in the home range of the species as a result of upwelling and marine 1200 heat wave (MHW) events. 1201

### 1202 **3.2 Methods**

### 1203 **3.2.1** Fish capture from study site:

To measure individual aerobic scope performance under thermal variability, 44 *Chrysoblephus laticeps* individuals were captured from Noordhoek (33°58'S 25°38'E) in Algoa Bay, Gqeberha (see Figure 2.1) in November of 2019 and April and June of 2021. Noordhoek extends along an exposed Cape headland and falls within a dynamic upwelling region. This region experiences localized sea temperature variability owing to intensified wind-driven upwelling along these prominent coastal headlands (Buxton 1993; Goshen and Schumann 1995; Schumann et al. 1995; Rouault et al. 2009, 2010).

1211

Hook and line methods were used to catch fish off a ski-boat from water depths between 12 m 1212 and 25 m. Captured specimens were immediately vented with a hypodermic needle and 1213 transferred to a 1000 L tank containing fresh seawater. On shore, fish were moved to a circular 1214 holding tank (1000 L), which was supplied with fresh seawater at a rate of 225 L/min via a 1215 submersible pump. Following a five-hour holding time, all specimens in the 1000 L tank 1216 1217 received a continuous supply of pure oxygen for transport to the laboratory aquaculture facilities in the NRF-SAIAB Aquatic Ecophysiology Research Platform at the Department of 1218 1219 Ichthyology and Fisheries Science, Rhodes University.

1220

### 1221 **3.2.2 Fish husbandry:**

Fish were placed into two 5900 L cylindrical holding tanks and maintained for a two week 1222 acclimation period at a constant temperatures of 16 °C (controlled through a 1.5 kW titanium 1223 1224 hot rod submerged heating element, wall-mounted air conditioner and STC-1000 temperature controllers) and a light cycle of 9.5 L : 14.5 D. Holding tanks were connected to a filtration 1225 system made up of a 750 L slimline sump, protein skimmer (UltraZap with submerged Jebao 1226 1227 DCP5000 pump), bubble bead filter (BBF-200-COMP, Wilpet Koi Products), fluidised bed biological filter (750 L slimline tank with SuperActiFlo Media) and UV steriliser (UV 55 W, 1228 UltraZap Pro UVS-55). A pool pump (Speck Porpoise 0.75 kW) was used to recirculate water 1229 1230 through the system. Air was supplied to the holding tanks and bed filter via a 2.2 k W blower outside the holding room. The O<sub>2</sub>, pH, ammonia, nitrite and salinity levels were measured daily. 1231 Fish were individually fed a maintenance diet of squid (Loligo reynaudii) every second day. 1232 Fish were tagged using colour combinations of Visible Elastomer Implant (VIE) tags via 1233

- 1234 intramuscular injection below the dorsal fin (Northwest Marine Technology, USA) for the
- 1235 purpose of individual identification (Figure 3.2).
- 1236



### Figure 3.2: Visible Elastomer Implant (VIE) tagging of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* specimens for individual identification

1240

### 1241 **3.2.3 Physiological measurements:**

Physiology experiments were conducted in the experimental room which housed a 2100 L cylindrical tank connected to a filtration system comprised of a protein skimmer, bubble bead filter (BBF-100 COMP), fluidised bed filter and UV sterilizer (UV 55 W, UltraZap Pro UVS-55). Oxygen was maintained at 100 % saturation in the cylindrical tank and fluidized bed filter via air supply from a 2.2 kW blower. Water was pumped from the cylindrical tank into four glass tanks containing respirometers (Figure 3.3).

The respirometry chambers (29.72 litres; 45 cm in length with a 29 cm internal diameter; Figure 1248 3.4 a) were small enough to measure oxygen consumption by individual fish within a limited 1249 time span, but of sufficient size to prevent rapid oxygen depletion. Respirometers were made 1250 from thick-walled Perspex and had a g:ml ratio between 20 and 70 based on fish size, to 1251 comfortably house the specimen while limiting movement within the chamber (Figure 3.4 *b*). 1252 Openings with silicon O-ring seals were bolted closed. An internal pump (880 L/h 15W SOBO 1253 pump) mounted onto the rear of the respirometer, along with an oxygen-impermeable PVC 1254 1255 recirculation loop, was used to continuously mix water within the chambers to distribute oxygen and prevent stratification. Water from the recirculation loop was pumped via a 1256 peristaltic pump (Ismatek IPC-N-24 precision cassette pump with 24 multi-channel pump 1257 head) through a cell with an optical oxygen sensor (OXFTC, Pyro Science GmbH), from which 1258 oxygen concentrations (mg.1<sup>-1</sup>) were taken by a Firesting oxygen reader (FSO2-4, Pyro Science 1259 GmbH; and bare optical fibres SPFIB-BARE, Pyro Science GmbH). Pyro Oxygen Logger 1260

Software (Pyro science GmbH) was used to record oxygen concentrations. One-way check valves on the flush pump and overflow lines prevented oxygen leaks. Following the closed measurement period, the flow of fresh oxygenated seawater into each of the four respirators was controlled by a flush pump (Bubble Magus DC 10000s guaranteeing a minimum four-fold flush volume ratio; controlled with a digital timer Eliro VODDTS), eliminating waste products (Figure 3.4).

Intermittent-flow respirometers (designed by Duncan et al. 2019) were used, as per the protocol 1267 of Clark et al. (2013) and Svendson et al. (2016), to determine the oxygen consumption rate of 1268 the 44 C. laticeps individuals. Fish were fasted for 36 hours prior to respirometry measurements 1269 to evacuate their guts and decrease post-feeding increments in metabolic rate (Cutts et al. 2001, 1270 Clark et al. 2013). The oxygen consumption (O<sub>2</sub> per minute) of each individual was measured 1271 at a low (10 °C), acclimation (16 °C) and high (24 °C) temperatures. However, upon observing 1272 sub-lethal effects (including loss of equilibrium, disease and delayed mortalities) in the first 16 1273 individuals after being exposed to the high temperature, it was decided to decrease the high 1274 temperature treatment to 21 °C to ensure that the remaining 28 individuals were not 1275 1276 compromised for the remainder of these and the subsequent (see Chapter 4 and 5) experiments. 1277 Each individual fish retained the same numerical ID throughout all Chapters in this body of research.

1278



1280

Figure 3.3: Tanks housing respirometers used to measure oxygen consumption (respirometersare pictured during a flush cycle)





1286 Figure 3.4: A respirometer (*a*) containing a *Chrysoblephus laticeps* specimen (*b*)

1287

1288 To measure standard metabolic rate (SMR), each individual was placed into each of the four respirometers for a 12-hour acclimation period maintained at 16 °C. Following this, 1289 1290 temperature was either maintained at 16° C or increased or decreased by one degree every hour using an Aquaheat SF2020P heatpump, to mimic intense upwelling and marine heat wave 1291 events, until the test temperatures of 10, 21 or 24 °C were reached (half of sampled population 1292 was first exposed to 10 °C, while the other half was first exposed to 21 °C to control for 1293 1294 experimental temperature effects). Once the temperature had stabilised, oxygen consumption 1295 was recorded every five minutes, with flushing every 15 minutes for 24 hours. A 24-hour period was considered necessary for the measurement of SMR as it accounted for circadian rhythm 1296 changes in metabolic rate (Clark et al. 2013; Chabot et al. 2016). To account for an increase in 1297 metabolic rate at high test temperatures (21 or 24 °C), measurement periods were adjusted to 1298 1299 three-minutes, followed by seventeen-minute flush periods.

For maximum metabolic measurements (MMR), individuals were subsequently transferred to the 2100 L cylindrical tank where they were chased for 10 minutes until exhausted (or unresponsive to touching of the caudal fin; Clark et al. 2013). This was followed by 30 seconds of air exposure to ensure that the fish was completely exhausted and likely to reach the maximum  $O_2$  consumption rate during the recovery period (Clark et al. 2013). Individuals were then returned to respective respirometers for several hours ( $\pm$  5 hours), until oxygen use stabilized near SMR measurement levels (Clark et al. 2013). After the termination of the experiment, the oxygen concentration in empty respirometers was measured for three hours to record background respiration rates (as per Duncan et al. 2019).

Measurement and flushing periods during respirometry experiments were created into a dataset of several independent rates of oxygen consumption ( $RO_2$ ) for each individual (with a quality threshold of  $R^2 > 0.9$  to filter linear oxygen declines within measurement periods; Duncan 2019). The rate of oxygen consumption (mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>) for each measurement period (where the first minute of measurement were excluded) was calculated using Svendson et al.'s (2016) equation (3.1):

1315

1316 
$$RO_2 = \left( \left( \frac{V_{re} - M}{W} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta[O_{2a}]}{\Delta t} \times 60 \right) \right) - \left( \left( \frac{V_{re} - M}{W} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta[O_{2b}]}{\Delta t} \times 60 \right) \left( \frac{V_{re}}{V_{re} - M} \right) \right)$$

1317 where  $V_{re}$  = total volume of respirometer in Litres, M = mass of individual in kg expressed in 1318 L, W = mass of individual in kg,  $\frac{\Delta[O_{2a}]}{\Delta t}$  = slope of linear decrease in O<sub>2</sub> concentration within 1319 measurement period,  $\frac{\Delta[O_{2b}]}{\Delta t}$  = Slope of linear decrease in O<sub>2</sub> concentration in respirometer 1320 without specimen.

1321

The SMR was calculated from the quantile of the lowest 20 % of the  $RO_2$  data for each test temperature (Chabot et al. 2016). Maximum metabolic rate, which increased after exhaustive exercise until O<sub>2</sub> consumption rates reached a plateau, was recorded as the single highest  $RO_2$ measurement. Prior to accounting for allometric mass effects, the SMR and MMR  $RO_2$  data were corrected for temperature as a function of the Boltzmann factor (Brown et al. 2004; using the average activation energy of ectotherms; Gillooly et al. 2001). Rates of oxygen consumption were temperature corrected ( $RO_{2(temp corrected)}$ ) using the Equation (3.2):

1329 
$$RO_{2(temp \ corrected)} = RO_2 \times e^{\frac{-E}{kT}}$$

1330 where E = average activation energy of ectotherms (0.63 eV; Gillooly et al. 2001), k = 1331 Boltzmann constant  $8.617 \ 333 \times 10^{-5} \ \text{eV.K}^{-1}$ , T = absolute temperature in kelvin.

1333 The allometric exponent ( $\alpha$ ) of mass scaling effects was then estimated through the slope of 1334 the linear regression between the natural logarithm of  $RO_{2(temp corrected)}$  and the natural logarithm 1335 of mass. The allometric exponent ( $\alpha$ ) of mass scaling effects was used to correct the data using 1336 the equation (3.3):

1337

$$MO_2 = \frac{RO_2}{M^a}$$

1338 where  $MO_2$ = Mass corrected SMR or MMR and  $RO_2$ = Oxygen consumption rate for SMR or 1339 MMR. *M*= Mass of individual and *a*= allometric exponent of mass scaling.

1340

The absolute aerobic scope (AS) for each individual was then calculated by subtracting masscorrected SMR from mass corrected MMR as using the equation (3.4):

 $1343 \qquad AS = MMR - SMR$ 

1344

1345

### 1346 **3.2.4 Classification of aerobic performance phenotypes:**

A total performance score was developed to represent aerobic performance across the range of 1347 test temperatures (Table 3.1) (according to the percentile method as per Rousselet et al. 2017). 1348 This score was calculated for each temperature from the lower (25 %), mid (50 %) and upper 1349 (75 %) percentiles of the aerobic scope range available for the species (Duncan et al. 2019). 1350 For each temperature, each individual received a rank score based on the percentile that 1351 included its aerobic scope. Rank scores (ranging from three to 12) were totalled for all 1352 1353 temperatures, where an individual could receive an absolute minimum score of three (i.e. a score of one for each temperature) and maximum score of 12 (i.e. a score of four for each 1354 1355 temperature). These scores were used to classify individuals according to the percentile method as high performers (i.e. the >75 % percentile with a total score above 9.75, rounded off as 10), 1356 intermediate performers (25 – 75 % percentile), and low performers (i.e. the <25 % percentile 1357 with a total score below 5.25, rounded off as 5). Hence, an individual that received a score of 1358 1359 five and below was classified as a low performer, whereas an individual that received a score of 10 and above was classified as a high performer. An individual that was classified as a high 1360 performer exhibited a broad tolerance to a thermally variable environment. An individual that 1361 was classified as a low performer may have performed moderately well at optimal 1362 temperatures, but may be limited by aerobic performance in a thermally variable environment. 1363

**3.2.5 Statistics** 

A linear mixed effects (*lme*) modelling approach was implemented using the *lme4* package 1366 (Bates et al. 2015) in R version 3.3.3 (R Core Team 2017) to account for data homoscedasticity 1367 (model assumptions were also checked using diagnostic plots) and repeated measures (i.e. each 1368 1369 individual was measured repeatedly at each test temperature (Bolker et al. 2009; Zuur 2009; Harrison et al. 2018). The effect of temperature on metabolic rate was tested by modelling a 1370 1371 second order polynomial relationship between metabolic data and temperature, with a random effects structure weighted by fish ID and temperature, to take into account the variation of each 1372 individual. (Note, there was no significant differences in the order of individuals tested first at 1373 10 °C and those tested first at 21 °C or 24 °C, and therefore all fish were pooled for individual 1374 analyses). To account for the varying relationship between metabolic rate and temperature of 1375 1376 each individual, temperature was included as a random slope in the random effects structure with individual as the random intercept (i.e. temp|ID; Harrison et al. 2018). Aerobic 1377 1378 performance group (i.e. as a factor for high performers, intermediate performers and low performers) was added as a fixed effect. Sex, batch and weight class (see Table A1 in Appendix 1379 1380 A) were not significant in predicting individual metabolic data in the initial model (p > 0.05, t = 1.434, df = 77) and these variables were then excluded from further model analyses (i.e. data 1381 1382 was pooled between the sexes, batches and weight classes). The model output was assessed 1383 using the 'Dredge' function with libraries 'mvtnorm' and 'MuMIn' from the 'CRAN' package (R Core Team 2017). 1384

### 1388 Table 3.1: Classification of aerobic performance phenotypes

|  | Low performer:<br><25 % percentile<br>(O <sub>2</sub> .min <sup>-1</sup> . kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | Intermediate<br>performer:<br><50 %<br>percentile<br>(O2.min <sup>-1</sup> . kg <sup>-1</sup> )                                       | Intermediate<br>performer:<br>>50 %<br>percentile<br>(O <sub>2</sub> .min <sup>-1</sup> . kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | High performer<br>> 75 % percentile<br>(O <sub>2</sub> .min <sup>-1</sup> . kg <sup>-1</sup> )              |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Point per<br>temperature<br>treatment  | 1 point   | 2 points  | 3 points   | 4points   |
| 16 °C  | <1.75   | <2.6  | >2.6   | >3.45   |
| 10 °C  | <2.59   | <3.68   | <3.68  | >4.77   |
| 21 °C  | <3.35   | <4.2  | >4.2   | >5.05   |
| Total rank scores<br>(between 3 and<br>12) based on the<br>percentile cut-off,<br>where $\leq 5 = low$<br>performer and $\geq$ Total score $\leq 3 - 5$ :<br>Low performer<br>Low performance across all temperatures<br>(i.e. total score of 3).<br>OR intermediate aerobic performance<br>ONLY at optimal temperature (i.e. score of<br>3 at optimal temperature, and then LP scores |   | Total score 6 - 9:<br>Intermediate performer<br>High aerobic performance at<br>optimal temperature and/ or high<br>OR low temperature |  | Score >10 - 12:<br>High performer<br>High aerobic<br>performance at<br>optimal, low AND<br>high temperature |
| performer)   | of 1 at each of the other two temperatures, resulting in a total score of 5).                 |   |  |   |

## 1394 3.3.1 Mass correcting MO2 data

**3.3 Results** 

| 1395 | Raw SMR data (Figure 3.5 <i>a</i> ) were mass-corrected using a linear mass scaling exponent of 0.64 |
|------|--|
| 1396 | (Figure 3.5 $b$ ), estimated from the natural logarithm of temperature-corrected SMR and the         |
| 1397 | natural logarithm of mass. Mass corrected SMR as a function of temperature is depicted in            |
| 1398 | Figure 3.5c.   |



1400

Figure 3.5: SMR data for an exploited population of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* at temperatures of 1402 10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C and 24 °C. Raw SMR (O2.min<sup>-1</sup>) data (*a*) was mass-corrected using the linear 1403 mass scaling exponent of SMR data, which was determined from the natural logarithm of 1404 temperature-corrected SMR data (ln(SMR.e<sup>E/kT</sup>)) plotted against the natural logarithm of mass 1405 (ln(Mass(kg)) (*b*). Figure 2.5 (*c*) portrays mass-corrected SMR data, which was used in the 1406 analyses.

1410 Raw MMR data (Figure 3.6*a*) was mass corrected with an allometric mass scaling exponent of
1411 0.51 (Figure 3.6*b*). Mass corrected MMR as a function of temperature is depicted in Figure
1412 3.6*c*.



Figure 3.6: Maximum metabolic rate (MMR) data for an exploited population of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* at temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C and 24 °C. Raw MMR (O2.min<sup>-1</sup>) data (*a*) was mass corrected using the linear mass scaling exponent of MMR data, which was determined from the natural logarithm of temperature-corrected MMR data (ln(MMR.e<sup>E/kT</sup>)) plotted against the natural logarithm of mass (ln(Mass(kg)) (*b*). Figure 2.6 (*c*) portrays mass-corrected MMR data, which was used in the analyses.

- 1422 1423
- 1423

## 1426 3.3.2 Effect of temperature on Standard Metabolic and Maximum Metabolic Rates 1427 (SMR and MMR)

Mass corrected SMR ranged from 0.465 to 3.748 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> amongst individuals across the 1428 various temperatures (Figure 3.5c). SMR ranged between 0.840 to 1.146 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> at 10 1429 °C, between 0.533 to 1.841 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> at 16 °C, between 1.207 to 3.748 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> at 1430 21 °C, and between 0.465 to 3.532 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> at 24 °C (Table A2 in Appendix A, Figure 1431 3.5c). Mass corrected MMR ranged from 0.846 to 6.993 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> amongst individuals 1432 across temperatures (Figure 3.6c). MMR ranged between 1.330 to 5.420 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> at 10 1433 °C, between 3.782 to 7.426 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> at 16 °C, between 5.611 to 6.918 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> at 1434 21 °C, and between 0.846 to 6.993 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> at 24 °C (Table A2 in Appendix A; Figure 1435 3.6c). 1436

1437

Mass corrected SMR generally increased with temperature and this effect was found to be 1438 significant (p-value = 0.004, t = 2.958, df = 77; Table 3.3; Figure 3.7a). The relationship 1439 between SMR and temperature was similar across high performers, intermediate performers 1440 1441 and low performers (p > 0.05, t = 0.922, df = 77; Table 3.3). Variation in SMR data was significant at 16 °C (*p*-value = 0.001, t = 8.135, df = 77), as well as high test temperatures of 1442 21 °C and 24 °C (*p*-value = 0.005, t = -2.852, df = 77) (Figure 3.5c, 3.7a; Table 3.3). However, 1443 variation in SMR was not significant at cold temperatures of 10 °C (p > 0.05, t = 7.144, df =1444 77). 1445

1446

In contrast, the relationship between MMR and temperature was significant across all test temperatures (*p*-value< 0.001, t = 4.963, df = 77, Table: 3.4, Figure 3.7b), and individual variation increased with temperature (Figure 3.6*c*). Maximum metabolic rate significantly differed by phenotypic group (i.e. high performers, intermediate performers, and low performers; p < 0.001; t = 6.404; df = 77; Table 3.4).

1455Table 3.3 Linear mixed effects model results for variation in standard metabolic rate (SMR) data1456amongst Chrysoblephus laticeps individuals at temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C and 24 °C.1457Differences in mass-corrected metabolic rate data between individuals were tested by modelling1458a second order polynomial relationship between metabolic data and temperature, with a random1459effects structure weighted by fish ID and temperature. Bold *p-values* depict significant variation1460in SMR data.

\_

| Random effect | SD    |
|---------------|-------|
| Individual    | 0.249 |
| Temperature   | 0.301 |

| Residual | 0.517 |
|----------|-------|

| Fixed effect              | Estimate | SE    | <i>t</i> -value | <i>p</i> -value |
|---------------------------|----------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Intercept                 | 1.075    | 0.530 | 2.026           | 0.046           |
| Temperature               | -0.098   | 0.070 | -1.399          | 0.166           |
| Temperature <sup>2</sup>  | 0.006    | 0.002 | 2.958           | 0.004           |
| Performance group         | 0.078    | 0.085 | 0.922           | 0.361           |
| Low performer             | 1.066    | 0.537 | 1.967           | 0.053           |
| Intermediate<br>performer | 0.038    | 0.137 | 0.279           | 0.782           |
| High performer            | 0.164    | 0.173 | 0.950           | 0.348           |

| AIC         | 224.70                   |
|-------------|--------------------------|
| Residual SE | 0.4721 ( <i>df</i> = 77) |

1465Table 3.4: Linear mixed effects model results for variation in maximum metabolic rate (MMR)1466data amongst *Chrysoblephus laticeps* individuals at temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C and 24 °C.1467Differences in mass-corrected metabolic rate data between individuals were tested by modelling1468a second order polynomial relationship between metabolic data and temperature, with a random1469effects structure weighted by fish ID and temperature. Bold *p-values* depict significant variation1470in MMR data.

| Random effect | SD    |
|---------------|-------|
| Individual    | 0.335 |
| Temperature   | 1.123 |

| Residual | 1.123 |
|----------|-------|
|          | 1.188 |

| Fixed effect             | Estimate | SE    | <i>t</i> -value | <i>p</i> -value |
|--------------------------|----------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Intercept                | -5.019   | 1.328 | -3.779          | 0.007           |
| Temperature              | 0.881    | 0.177 | 4.963           | <0.001          |
| Temperature <sup>2</sup> | 0.021    | 0.005 | 4.060           | <0.001          |
| Performance group        | 1.138    | 0.178 | 6.404           | <0.001          |
| Low performers           | 0.915    | 0.174 | 5.244           | <0.001          |
| Intermediate performers  | 1.645    | 0.287 | 4.060           | <0.001          |
| High performers          | 2.272    | 0.361 | 6.290           | <0.001          |

| AIC         | 425             |
|-------------|-----------------|
| Residual SE | 1.190 (df = 77) |

1475 As a general trend, both SMR and MMR increased with increases in temperature. The model 1476 results indicated that the rate of change in SMR increased with temperature (Table 3.3; Figure 1477 3.7*a*), but the rate of change in MMR decreased with temperature (Table 3.4; Figure 3.7*b*).





1479

Figure 3.7: Linear mixed effects model fits for *Chrysoblephus laticeps* standard metabolic rate data (*a*), maximum metabolic rate data (*b*) and absolute aerobic scope (*c*) across test temperatures (10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C and 24 °C) with shaded areas representing a 95 % confidence interval.

### 1474

### 1484 **3.3.3 Aerobic Scope (AS)** 1485

Aerobic scope ranged from 0.381 to 5.904 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> amongst individuals (Figure 3.7c). Aerobic scope ranged between 0.563 to 3.969 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> at 10 °C, between 2.907 to 5.864 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> at 16 °C, between 0.990 to 5.904 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> at 21 °C, and between 0.381 to 3.746 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> at 24 °C (Table A2 in Appendix A; Figure 3.7c).

1490

Aerobic scope increased with temperature and this effect was found to be significant (*p*-value =0.000, t = 6.538, df = 77; Table 3.5, Figure 3.7c). Aerobic scope curves varied amongst individuals (Figure 3.7c). Variation in aerobic scope was significant at control temperatures of 16 °C (*p*-value = > 0,001, t = 1.315, df = 77) and upper test temperatures of 21 °C and 24 °C (*p*-value = 0.001, t = 2.277, df = 75) (Figure 3.7c.

1496

1497Table 3.5 Linear mixed effects model results for variation in aerobic scope (AS) data amongst1498Chrysoblephus laticeps individuals at temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C and 24 °C. Differences1499in mass-corrected metabolic rate data between individuals were tested by modelling a second1500order polynomial relationship between metabolic data and temperature, with a random effects1501structure weighted by fish ID and temperature. Bold *p-values* depict significant variation in AS1502data.

| Fixed offect  | Fetimata | SF | t voluo | n voluo |
|---------------|----------|----|---------|---------|
| Residual      | 1.004    |    |         |         |
| Residual      | 1 004    |    |         |         |
| Temperature   | 1.181    |    |         |         |
| Individual    | 0.000    |    |         |         |
| Random effect | SD       |    |         |         |

| Fixed effect             | Estimate | SE    | <i>t</i> -value | <i>p</i> -value |
|--------------------------|----------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Intercept                | -6.240   | 1.112 | -5.609          | <0.001          |
| Temperature              | 0.979    | 0.150 | 6.538           | <0.001          |
| Temperature <sup>2</sup> | 0.029    | 0.004 | 6.470           | <0.001          |
| Performance group        | 1.060    | 0.137 | 7.736           | <0.001          |
| Low performer            | 1.041    | 0.146 | 7.105           | <0.001          |
| Intermediate performer   | 1.127    | 0.220 | 5.124           | <0.001          |
| High performer           | 2.111    | 0.276 | 7.648           | <0.001          |

| AIC         | 356                     |
|-------------|-------------------------|
| Residual SE | 0.859 ( <i>df</i> = 77) |

- 1505 **3.3.4.** Classifying performance phenotypes
- 1506

Eight individuals were grouped as high performers, 24 individuals were grouped as 1507 intermediate performers, and 12 individuals were grouped as low performers (Figure 3.8 and 1508 1509 3.9). The performance phenotype classification for each individual with SMR, MMR and AS at each test temperature is given in Table A2 of Appendix A. SMR across all test temperatures 1510 ranged between 0.530 to 3.809 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> in low performers  $(1.021 \pm 0.83; \text{ mean} \pm \text{SD})$ , 1511 SMR ranged between 0.400 to 3.680 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> (1.297  $\pm$  0.76; mean  $\pm$  SD) in intermediate 1512 performers, and SMR ranged between 0.331 to 3.738 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> ( $1.347 \pm 0.66$ ; mean  $\pm$  SD) 1513 in high performers (Appendix A and Figure 3.8). MMR across all test temperatures ranged 1514 between 1.058 to 6.540 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> in low performers (3.441  $\pm$  1.33; mean  $\pm$  SD), MMR of 1515 intermediate performers ranged between 0.770 to 6.990 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> ( $5.093 \pm 2.11$ ; mean  $\pm$ 1516 SD), and MMR of high performers ranged between 3.692 to 7.303 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> ( $5.891 \pm 1.49$ ; 1517 mean  $\pm$  SD) (Appendix A and Figure 3.8). 1518

1519

Aerobic scope across all test temperatures ranged between 0.441 to 3.919 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> in low performers (2.239  $\pm$  0.95; mean  $\pm$  SD), AS ranged between 0.381 to 5.904 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> (3.796  $\pm$  1.68; mean  $\pm$  SD) in intermediate performers, and AS ranged between 2.738 to 5.822 O2.min<sup>-1</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> in high performers (4.544  $\pm$  1.17; mean  $\pm$  SD) (Figure 3.8).

1524

Aerobic scope significantly differed by performance phenotype (p < 0.000; t = 7.736; df = 77; Table 3.5). High performers exhibited the highest aerobic scope across the full range of temperature treatments. In contrast, low performers were limited by low aerobic performance in a thermally variable environment (Figure 3.9). In particular, the variation in the aerobic performance of high, intermediate, and low performers was most significant at the high temperature treatments of 21 °C and 24 °C (p<0.05; Table 3.5; Figure 3.8 and 3.9).

1531

1532 1533

1534

- 1536
- 1537 1538
- 1539



Figure 3.8: The change in standard metabolic rate, maximum metabolic rate and aerobic scope curves for high performers (>75 % percentile), intermediate performers (25 – 75 % percentile), and low performers (<25 %) of an exploited *Chrysoblephus laticeps* population across a temperature gradient (10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C and 24 °C). An individual that was classified as a high performer exhibited a broad tolerance to a thermally variable environment. An individual that was classified as a low performer may have performed well at optimal temperatures, but may be limited by aerobic performance in a thermally variable environment.



Figure 3.9: Overall aerobic scope curves for high, intermediate and low performers for an exploited *Chrysoblephus laticeps* population across a temperature gradient (10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C and 24 °C) with shaded areas representing a 95 % confidence interval. High performers exhibited a broad tolerance to a thermally variable environment. Low performers may have performed well at optimal temperatures, but may be limited by aerobic performance in a thermally variable environment.

1556

1557

## 1558 **3.4 Discussion**

An understanding of physiological phenotype diversity and variation of phenotypes amongst individuals is critical to understand the impacts of thermal variability on fished populations. To accurately assess how individuals differ in environmental sensitivity, there is a need to assess performance repeatedly in the same individual across a range of test temperatures (Gilbert and Miles 2017) as shown in this study. The results of this chapter identified heterogeneity in physiological performance phenotypes amongst individuals of the exploited Noordhoek *C. laticeps* population.

1566

1567 Temperature influenced SMR, MMR and AS. Standard metabolic rate increased at warmer 1568 temperatures due to an increase in oxygen demand (i.e. reduction in oxygen saturation), which 1569 drove variation in SMR amongst individuals at warmer temperatures only (i.e. there was a lack 1570 of significance in SMR at cold temperatures). In contrast, the relationship between MMR and 1571 temperature significantly differed amongst individuals over all test temperatures (i.e. several 1572 individuals maintained elevated MMR at low temperatures), and variation amongst individuals 1573 increased with temperature. Similarly, aerobic scope curves differed significantly amongst 1574 individuals, across all test temperatures, with the greatest variation amongst individuals at 1575 upper test temperatures. There was significant variation in the aerobic performance of high, intermediate, and low performers at higher temperatures. However, differences in performance 1576 were not significant at low temperatures, where several intermediate performers maintained 1577 1578 high performance. The performance of some intermediate performers was only high within a narrow range (i.e. either high or low temperatures where performance was higher than the 75<sup>th</sup> 1579 percentile of the mean performance range) and physiological performance was compromised 1580 (i.e. performance was lower than the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile of mean performance range) at other 1581 temperatures outside of this range. These individuals were not high performers across variable 1582 thermal environments. Alternatively, some intermediate performers maintained moderate 1583 levels of performance across thermal contexts, whereas low performers (i.e. 25<sup>th</sup> percentile) 1584 generally only maintained moderate physiological performance at ambient temperatures 1585

1586

1587 It is likely that the reduction in oxygen saturation appeared to be a tipping point driving 1588 differences in aerobic performance, which allowed for the categorization of individuals. This study found that variability in the shape of performance curves determined the temperature 1589 1590 range at which individuals may function above specified performance thresholds. For example, individuals that had a broad aerobic scope (i.e. a high AS over a wide range of temperatures) 1591 1592 maintained higher than average physiological performance (>75 % of the upper percentile 1593 determined from the aerobic performance range for the species) across a thermal gradient (10 1594 °C to 24 °C). Although it has previously been suggested that generalists may be able to perform over a wider thermal gradient but compromise performance at optimal temperatures (as found 1595 in teleost fished by Nati et al. 2016), the findings of this study do not support this (see Figure 1596 3.8 a and b high performers). Here the high performers have the broad aerobic capacity to 1597 remain active and forage across thermal conditions (in agreement with Killen et al. 2017, 2021 1598 who reviewed these patterns in shoaling fishes). 1599

1600

1601 The shape of performance curves can determine the range of temperatures that an individual 1602 can function above performance thresholds (Killen et al. 2021). "High" performers in temperate environments are defined by a broad aerobic scope (or a broad difference between
SMR and MMR; Portner et al. 2007) to maintain normal physiological functioning across a
wider thermal gradient (Portner 2010; Portner et al. 2018). In contrast, high performers in
relatively stable thermal environments, such as the tropics, may monopolize on very high rates
of maximum performance through a steep aerobic scope (high SMR and high MMR; Chown
et al. 2010; Neubauer and Anderson 2019).

1609

It has been argued that the Oxygen Capacity Limited Thermal Tolerance (OCLTT) should not 1610 be applied to tropical species with steady increases in MMR that are unlikely to reach 1611 maximum performance in natural conditions; Chown et al. 2010; Portner et al. 2018; Neubauer 1612 and Anderson 2019). However, the OCLTT is relevant to species that may reach their oxygen 1613 limits in their ecological reality, such as those living in highly variable temperate coastal 1614 environments with frequent short-term exposure to both extreme high and low temperatures 1615 (Bates et al. 2018, 2019; Portner et al. 2018). In these environments, the OCLTT can be used 1616 to assess short-term tolerance to extreme environmental changes (Portner et al. 2018). Thermal 1617 variability may impose suboptimal energy conditions where it would be disadvantageous for 1618 high performers to be characterized by a high SMR. In agreement, high performers in the 1619 1620 present study had a broad aerobic scope (low SMR and high MMR), which provided the 1621 energetic capacity to sustain activity across changing thermal conditions, in contrast to 1622 energetically-limited low performers that experienced reductions in performance. Future physiological performance phenotypes (i.e. individuals which are likely to be successful in 1623 1624 their own environment in the future) will be selected based on their success in the context of future environmental changes. Hence, future performance phenotypes in temperate 1625 1626 environments can be predicted by identifying individuals that have a broad range of 1627 performance across the thermally variable conditions, which according to Bates et al. (2019) 1628 are predicted to increase in the future.

1629 1630

1631 Thermal variability (upwelling and marine heat waves) has increased in the distributional range 1632 of *C. laticeps* (Schlegel et al. 2017; Duncan et al. 2019 – reviewed in Chapter 2). The present 1633 study detected a decline in performance in *C. laticeps* at higher temperatures and this is 1634 concerning as marine heat waves are expected to occur more frequently (Schlegel et al. 2017). 1635 Duncan et al. (2019) did not identify a statistically significant decline in population-level 1636 performance at acute temperatures of 24 °C, likely due to a low sample size for the exploited 1637 population and different experimental design (i.e. the lack of repeatable measures of performance in the same individual prevented the detection of the full thermal performance 1638 1639 range of each individual; Killen et al. 2021). However, these temperatures are close to 1640 maximum cardiac breakpoint temperatures for C. laticeps (i.e. 23.92 °C and 25.19 °C; Skeeles 1641 et al. 2020). High temperatures several degrees below lethal temperatures not only decrease aerobic performance in stress-compromised low performers, but can cause secondary sub-1642 1643 lethal effects, such as bacterial infections (Lapointe et al. 2014; Watson et al. 2020), and damage to heat shock proteins that can result in permanent oxidative damage (Iwama et al. 1644 1645 1998; Pichaud et al. 2020). It is possible that fished populations, such as the current Noordhoek population, have fewer HPMPs than protected populations – and will therefore be less resilient 1646 to changing thermal regimes (Bates et al. 2019; Duncan et al. 2019). However, this current 1647 study identified eight HPMPs as well as several LPMPs (the latter may be attributed to the 1648 exploited nature of the population, in agreement with several studies that have found that 1649 exploited populations have fewer HPMPs; Redpath et al. 2010; Duncan et al. 2019; Taboun 1650 2020). The reduced genetic variability of metabolic traits (which have been shown to be 1651 heritable; Long et al. 2021) has consequences for physiological acclimation and 1652 1653 transgenerational acclimation to phenotypically adapt to environmental variation (Somero 1654 2010; Munday 2014). This emphasises the importance of the spatial protection of HPMPs to maintain genetic diversity and mitigate fisheries selection against HPMPs (i.e. through spill-1655 1656 over of MPAs into nearby fished areas; Ward et al. 2016; Bates et al. 2018, 2019).

1657 1658

The heterogeneity of physiological phenotypes within the exploited C. laticeps population of 1659 Noordhoek is particularly striking as this population is heavily exploited (i.e. Duncan et al. 1660 2019 found fewer high performance phenotypes in this exploited population, relative to a 1661 protected population, and attributed this to the capture of the physiologically fittest individuals 1662 by the fishery). Although C. laticeps is heavily exploited, their populations are less vulnerable 1663 1664 relative to other slow growing sparid species (e.g., *Chrysoblephus cristiceps*). This may be 1665 influenced by the social behaviour of C. laticeps populations or their high degree of metabolic phenotype diversity. This emphasises the importance of diversity in metabolic phenotypes and 1666 1667 the need to examine metabolic phenotypes at an individual level if we are to fully understand the notion of metabolic phenotypic diversity (i.e. the HPMP and LPMP). Additionally, there is 1668 1669 a need to compare the physiological phenotypes of an exploited population (i.e. through repeated measures in the same individual) with that of an unexploited population to see how 1670

the distribution of phenotypes differs. This will provide greater insight into the impact of exploitation on the physiology of fish populations. Fisheries selection of metabolic traits is an important research area, given that inter-individual variation in metabolic phenotypes will determine differences in how individuals cope with environmental variation (Ward et al. 2016).

In conclusion, individuals with a broad aerobic scope (i.e. high AS values across a range of temperatures) are likely to be the most resilient to short-term thermal variability caused by the marine heat waves and upwelling events present in temperate coastal environments. Since the shape of thermal performance curves differs among individuals and reflects the range at which individuals can function above specified performance thresholds, individual thermal performance must be measured repeatedly in the same individual over a thermal gradient (Killen et al. 2021).

1683

Performance curves serve as physiological biomarkers that are directly linked to survival and 1684 fitness. These physiological biomarkers can detect responses to environmental change long 1685 before changes to population demographics are evident (the latter are typically used in long-1686 1687 term fisheries datasets; Killen et al. 2021). It is important to maintain physiological phenotype 1688 diversity to allow fish populations to respond to environmental variability. Future performance phenotypes in temperate environments can be identified by matching the predicted thermal 1689 1690 variability with individuals that have a broad range of performance across thermally variable conditions. For example, high performers likely have the aerobic capacity to cope with thermal 1691 1692 variability through phenotypic behavioural responses (e.g. exploratory behaviour and dispersal; Wong and Candolin 2015; Beever et al. 2017; Biro et al. 2018). Given the importance 1693 1694 of individual physiological traits in determining climate resilience, it is critical to incorporate 1695 fisheries-selection on physiological traits as part of an evolutionary-based fisheries 1696 management approach (Uusi-Heikkila et al. 2008; Ward et al. 2016).

1697

Although there may be a link between physiology and behaviour, based on the findings in Chapter 1 (with bold personality traits have been linked to a broad aerobic scope, both of which are important to explore novel areas for dispersal; (Chapter 1, Bailey et al. 2022), this has not yet been assessed in C. laticeps. Given the importance of C. laticeps in the commercial fishery, understanding the link between a broad aerobic scope and behavioural syndromes is critical to understand and predict the consequences of fisheries-induced evolution in a changing environment, and will be addressed in the following chapter (Chapter 4).

## 1705 Appendix A

## 1706 Table A1: Individual fish weight (mass in kg), length (mm) and sex.

| Fish     | Mass (kg) | Fork length | Sex      |
|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|
| 1        | 1 452     | (mm)<br>264 | Mala     |
| 1        | 1.433     | 304<br>242  | Traie    |
| 2        | 0.498     | 242         | Female   |
| 3        | 0.606     | 270         | Female   |
| 4        | 1.49      | 358         | Male     |
| 5        | 0.7       | 290         | Female   |
| 6        | 0.566     | 253         | Intersex |
| 7        | 1.37      | 348         | Male     |
| 8        | 0.767     | 292         | Female   |
| 9        | 0.592     | 249         | Intersex |
| 10       | 1.2       | 329         | Male     |
| 11       | 0.692     | 280         | Female   |
| 12       | 1.46      | 350         | Male     |
| 13       | 1.672     | 370         | Male     |
| 14       | 0.846     | 280         | Female   |
| 15       | 0.389     | 225         | Male     |
| 16       | 1.321     | 338         | Male     |
| 17       | 1.151     | 325         | Intersex |
| 18       | 0.691     | 270         | Female   |
| 19       | 1.561     | 346         | Male     |
| 20       | 1.035     | 329         | Female   |
| 21       | 0.693     | 260         | Female   |
| 22       | 1.414     | 340         | Male     |
| 23       | 1.162     | 325         | Female   |
| 24       | 0.380     | 215         | Female   |
| 25       | 0.821     | 260         | Female   |
| 26       | 1.365     | 340         | Male     |
| 27       | 0 384     | 230         | Female   |
| 28       | 0.443     | 249         | Intersex |
| 29       | 1 265     | -           | Male     |
| 30       | 0.534     | 250         | Female   |
| 31       | 0.365     | 238         | Intersev |
| 32       | 1 456     | 350         | Male     |
| 32       | 1 332     | 348         | Male     |
| 33       | 1.552     | 272         | Intersor |
| 34       | 1.008     | 270         | Famala   |
| 35       | 0.099     | 270         | Female   |
| 30<br>27 | 0.70      | 275         | Female   |
| 3/       | 0.558     | 215         | Female   |
| 38       | 0.062     | 265         | Female   |
| 39       | 0.436     | 308         | Female   |
| 40       | 0.48      | 252         | Intersex |
| 41       | 0.407     | 216         | Female   |
| 42       | 0.642     | 265         | Intersex |
| 43       | 1.483     | 340         | Male     |
| - 44     | 0.685     | 260         | Female   |

Table A2: Standard metabolic rate, maximum metabolic rate and aerobic scope for each individual at 10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C and 24 °C. Individuals were grouped into high performers (>75 % percentile) ('HP'), intermediate performers (25 – 75 % percentile) ('IP'), and low performers (<25 %) ('LP') based on the aerobic scope range available for the species.

| Fish | Performance category | Temperature (°C) | $\frac{\text{SMR}}{(\text{O}_2.\text{min}^{-1}\text{kg}^{-1})}$ | $\begin{array}{c} \text{MMR} \\ (\text{O}_2 \text{.min}^{-1} \text{kg}^{-1}) \end{array}$ | Aerobic scope<br>(O <sub>2</sub> .min <sup>-1</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|------|----------------------|------------------|---|---|--|
| 1    | HP                   | 16               | 1.0533  | 6.918   | 5.864  |
|      |                      | 10               | 1.127   | 4.186   | 3.059  |
|      |                      | 21               | 1.626   | 6.918   | 5.292  |
| 2    | IP                   | 16               | 0.811   | 4.915   | 4.104  |
|      |                      | 10               | 0.527   | 4.156   | 3.629  |
|      |                      | 21               | 1.810   | 5.611   | 3.807  |
| 3    | HP                   | 16               | 1.681   | 7.152   | 5.471  |
|      |                      | 10               | 0.830   | 3.782   | 2.952  |
|      |                      | 21               | 2.299   | 8.175   | 5.885  |
| 4    | HP                   | 16               | 0.892   | 6.133   | 5.241  |
|      |                      | 10               | 0.981   | 3.999   | 3.018  |
|      |                      | 21               | 1.248   | 6.338   | 5.090  |
| 5    | IP                   | 16               | 0.996   | 5.105   | 4.109  |
| -    |                      | 10               | 0.762   | 3.959   | 3.197  |
|      |                      | 21               | 2.241   | 6.620   | 4.379  |
| 6    | IP                   | 16               | 0.830   | 4.949   | 4.119  |
| -    |                      | 21               | 1.439   | 6.358   | 4.919  |
| 7    | LP                   | 16               | 0.845   | 3.349   | 2.503  |
| 8    | HP                   | 16               | 1.104   | 5.220   | 4.116  |
| -    |                      | 10               | 0.337   | 4.267   | 3.930  |
|      |                      | 21               | 1.819   | 6.710   | 4.891  |
| 9    | HP                   | 16               | 1.152   | 6.478   | 5.326  |
| -    |                      | 10               | 0.851   | 4.821   | 3.969  |
|      |                      | 21               | 1.853   | 6.024   | 4.172  |
| 10   | IP                   | 16               | 1.129   | 5.463   | 4.334  |
| - •  |                      | 10               | 0.796   | 3.993   | 3.197  |
|      |                      | 21               | 1.753   | 6.435   | 4.681  |
| 11   | IP                   | 16               | 1.171   | 4.363   | 3.192  |
|      |                      | 10               | 0.937   | 2.597   | 1.660  |
|      |                      | 21               | 1.628   | 7.532   | 5.904  |
| 12   | LP                   | 16               | 0.906   | 3.813   | 2.907  |
|      |                      | 10               | 0.774   | 3.819   | 3.044  |
| 13   | IP                   | 16               | 0.689   | 3.421   | 2.732  |
|      |                      | 10               | 0.526   | 2.798   | 2.272  |
|      |                      | 21               | 1.207   | 7.363   | 6.163  |
| 14   | IP                   | 16               | 1.259   | 5.395   | 4.136  |
|      |                      | 10               | 0.989   | 3.247   | 2.258  |
|      |                      | 21               | 3.361   | 7.644   | 4.283  |
| 15   | IP                   | 16               | 1.027   | 4.816   | 3.789  |
|      |                      | 10               | 0.523   | 2.642   | 2.119  |
|      |                      | 21               | 1.870   | 6.248   | 4.378  |
| 16   | IP                   | 16               | 1.238   | 5.705   | 4.466  |
|      |                      | 10               | 0.594   | 3.309   | 2.714  |
|      |                      | 21               | 2.844   | 7.646   | 4.802  |
| 17   | IP                   | 16               | 1.806   | 5.413   | 3.608  |
|      |                      | 10               | 1.087   | 3.716   | 2.628  |
|      |                      | 21               | 2.556   | 7.020   | 4.464  |

| 18         | IP  | 16                   | 1.278 | 5.454 | 4.176  |
|------------|-----|----------------------|-------|-------|--------|
|            |     | 10                   | 0.767 | 1.330 | 0.563  |
|            |     | 21                   | 2.251 | 8.124 | 5.873  |
| 19         | ΓP  | 16                   | 0.932 | 2 904 | 1 972  |
| 17         | LI  | 10                   | 0.522 | 2.201 | 1.693  |
|            |     | 21                   | 3 7/8 | 6 734 | 2 986  |
| 20         | ID  | 21                   | 5.748 | 1 091 | 2.980  |
| 20         | IP  | 10                   | 0.991 | 1.981 | 0.990  |
|            |     | 10                   | 0.536 | 2.160 | 1.624  |
|            |     | 21                   | 2.012 | 6.082 | 4.070  |
| 21         | HP  | 16                   | 1.211 | 5.476 | 4.266  |
|            |     | 10                   | 0.714 | 3.452 | 2.738  |
|            |     | 21                   | 1.490 | 7.312 | 5.822  |
| 22         | IP  | 16                   | 1.339 | 5.465 | 4.127  |
|            |     | 10                   | 0.770 | 2.910 | 2.140  |
|            |     | 21                   | 1.952 | 8.857 | 6.901  |
| 23         | HP  | 16                   | 1.841 | 7.426 | 5.585  |
|            |     | 10                   | 1.475 | 5.420 | 3.945  |
|            |     | 21                   | 3.718 | 7.971 | 4.253  |
| 24         | IP  | 16                   | 1.146 | 6.212 | 5.066  |
|            |     | 10                   | 0 598 | 3 388 | 2 790  |
| 25         | IÞ  | 16                   | 0.320 | 3 835 | 3 103  |
| 25         | 11  | 10                   | 0.403 | 3 569 | 3 167  |
|            |     | 21                   | 1 828 | 6 754 | 1 016  |
| 26         | IID | 21                   | 1.030 | 0.734 | 4.910  |
| 20         | ΠP  | 10                   | 1.102 | 4./19 | 5.018  |
|            |     | 10                   | 0.591 | 4.116 | 3.525  |
| ~-         | ID. | 21                   | 1.453 | 6.723 | 5.270  |
| 27         | IP  | 16                   | 1.695 | 4.574 | 2.879  |
|            |     | 10                   | 0.831 | 3.464 | 2.633  |
|            |     | 21                   | 2.410 | 5.462 | 3.052  |
| 28         | IP  | 16                   | 1.057 | 4.534 | 3.478  |
|            |     | 10                   | 0.673 | 2.463 | 1.789  |
|            |     | 21                   | 2.115 | 7.756 | 5.641  |
| 29         | LP  | 16                   | 1.170 | 4.918 | 3.748  |
|            |     | 10                   | 0.641 | 2.130 | 1.489  |
|            |     | 24                   | 2.365 | 4.387 | 2.022  |
| 30         | LP  | 16                   | 1.470 | 3.573 | 2.103  |
| 20         |     | 10                   | 0.688 | 3 214 | 2 526  |
|            |     | 24                   | 3 532 | 5 630 | 2.028  |
| 31         | IÞ  | 16                   | 1 038 | 4 662 | 3 624  |
| 51         | 11  | 10                   | 0.864 | 2.846 | 1 082  |
|            |     | 10                   | 0.804 | 2.040 | 2 526  |
| 22         | τD  | 2 <del>4</del><br>16 | 5.407 | 0.995 | 3.320  |
| 32         | LP  | 10                   | 0.930 | 2.970 | 2.040  |
|            |     | 10                   | 0.741 | 1.997 | 1.256  |
|            |     | 24                   | 1.982 | 3.712 | 1.730  |
| 33         | IP  | 16                   | 0.939 | 4.087 | 3.148  |
|            |     | 10                   | 0.714 | 3.340 | 2.626  |
|            |     | 24                   | 2.219 | 4.366 | 2.147  |
| 34         | IP  | 16                   | 0.796 | 5.060 | 4.264  |
|            |     | 10                   | 0.549 | 3.043 | 2.494  |
| 35         | LP  | 16                   | 0.928 | 3.433 | 2.505  |
|            |     | 10                   | 0.729 | 2.469 | 1.740  |
|            |     | 24                   | 2.019 | 3.651 | 1.632  |
| 36         | IP  | 16                   | 0.879 | 4.522 | 3.643  |
|            |     | 10                   | 0.698 | 2.679 | 1.981  |
| 37         | IP  | 16                   | 1 085 | 2 827 | 1 742  |
| <i>.</i> , | 11  | 10                   | 1.000 | 2.021 | 1.1/14 |

|      |    |    | 10 | 0.699 | 2.621  | 1.922 |
|------|----|----|----|-------|--------|-------|
|      |    |    | 24 | 3.053 | 6.799  | 3.746 |
|      | 38 | LP | 16 | 0.716 | 4.295  | 3.579 |
|      |    |    | 10 | 0.840 | 2.261  | 1.421 |
|      |    |    | 24 | 0.518 | 0.959  | 0.441 |
|      | 39 | IP | 16 | 0.909 | 4.421  | 3.512 |
|      |    |    | 10 | 0.705 | 3.055  | 2.350 |
|      |    |    | 24 | 3.019 | 5.048  | 2.029 |
|      | 40 | LP | 16 | 1.071 | 4.990  | 3.919 |
|      |    |    | 10 | 1.210 | 2.165  | 0.955 |
|      |    |    | 24 | 2.236 | 3.397  | 1.161 |
|      | 41 | LP | 16 | 0.533 | 2.616  | 2.083 |
|      |    |    | 10 | 1.015 | 1.9100 | 0.895 |
|      | 42 | LP | 16 | 0.865 | 3.245  | 2.380 |
|      |    |    | 10 | 0.809 | 2.312  | 1.503 |
|      |    |    | 24 | 0.580 | 1.270  | 0.690 |
|      | 43 | IP | 16 | 0.671 | 4.113  | 3.442 |
|      |    |    | 10 | 0.864 | 2.621  | 1.757 |
|      |    |    | 24 | 0.465 | 0.846  | 0.381 |
|      | 44 | LP | 16 | 1.038 | 4.597  | 3.559 |
|      |    |    | 10 | 0.843 | 2.294  | 1.451 |
| 1714 |    |    |    |       |        |       |

## 1716 Chapter 4

# Plastic behavioural responses to cope with thermal variability are determined by both bold personality and a broad aerobic scope in

- 1719 a temperate fish species, *Chrysoblephus laticeps*
- 1720

### 1721 **4.1 Introduction**

1722 Global anthropogenic climate change has driven frequent short-term changes in local climate 1723 conditions within the distributional ranges of coastal fish populations (Bates et al. 2018; Bates 1724 et al. 2019; Cooke et al. 2019). Of the climate change drivers, temperature is considered to have the greatest impact on fishes (Holt and Jorgensen 2015; Cattano et al. 2018; Laubenstein 1725 1726 et al. 2018; Laubenstein et al. 2019). While warming is expected to drive change in the tropics and cold temperate regions, it appears that variability in thermal regimes may be a greater 1727 1728 driver of change in sub-tropical, warm-temperate and cool-temperate regions (Bates et al. 2018, Bates et al. 2019). Thermal variability is likely to have a considerable effect on fishes as it 1729 impacts physiological performance and vital (i.e metabolism, foraging and swimming style; 1730 Brownscombe et al. 2014; Johansen et al. 2014; D'Agostina et al. 2019) and non-vital (i.e. 1731 reproductive fitness; Sims et al. 2004) energetic processes. 1732

1733 When fish are subjected to thermal stress, they may respond with a behaviour response such as 1734 behavioural thermoregulation. Species that have broad phenotypic behavioural plasticity (i.e. defined as the ability to adjust behavioural activity in presiding environmental conditions in 1735 order to remain within their optimal thermal range; Biro and Stamps 2010; Biro et al. 2018; 1736 1737 Wong and Candolin 2015; Beever et al. 2017) may have a competitive advantage. However, if alterations in behaviour are insufficient to ensure that the individual remains within their 1738 1739 optimal thermal range, physiological acclimation (i.e. defined as the process in which an organism adjusts to prevailing conditions by broadening their thermal performance curve so 1740 1741 that their performance is maximized in the new thermal environment, Fry 1958; Brett 1964; 1742 Chown et al. 2010; Donelson et al. 2012; Donelson et al. 2019; Neubauer and Anderson 2019) 1743 may be required. Therefore, there is a critical link between the behaviour and thermal physiology of fishes (Tinbergen 1963), particularly in a world where they are facing increasing 1744 1745 thermal stress.

1747 Fish behavioural plasticity may take many forms. Some species may seek out thermal refugia by changing their phenology or distribution (e.g., James et al. 2008; Freitas et al. 2015; Wong 1748 1749 and Candolin 2015; Beever et al. 2017), while others alter the timing of their seasonal (Sousa 1750 et al. 2016) and spawning migrations (Sims et al. 2004) in response to a changing environment. 1751 Although fishes can use behavioural changes to cope with climate change impacts, there does 1752 appear to be variability in the behavioural responses within species (Gosling 2001; Dingemanse 1753 et al. 2007; Sih et al. 2004; Neubauer and Anderson 2019). Here, the behavioural response may be related to animal personality types, which can be divided into syndromes, such as bold (i.e. 1754 coupled traits of boldness, aggression and high activity; Sih et al. 2004) vs timid (i.e. timid, 1755 1756 passive and more likely to hide; Sih et al. 2004) behaviour. In many cases, the variability in the behavioural response appears to be associated with physiology. For example, the expression of 1757 behavioural personality traits (e.g. bold 'proactive' stress recovery vs timid and highly 1758 'reactive' to stressors through a 'freeze-hide' response; Sih et al. 2004; Reale et al. 2007) and 1759 their energetic drivers (e.g. high performance metabolic phenotypes vs low performance 1760 metabolic phenotypes; Chapter 3) will determine differences in individual performance across 1761 changing environmental conditions (Neubauer and Anderson 2019). 1762

Bold personality traits, described as the ability to explore and colonize new environments (Sih 1763 1764 et al. 2004; McGaugh et al. 2020) are characterized by rapid stress recovery and high 'fightflight' sympathetic activity (Almeida et al. 2009; Aubin-Horth et al. 2012; Tudorache et al. 1765 1766 2013; Li et al. 2020). However, boldness may be intricately linked to an individual's physiology. Consequently, only some individuals may be able to sustain high rates of 1767 1768 performance across changing environmental conditions (i.e. behavioural plasticity) with the energetic capacity provided by a broad aerobic scope (low SMR and high MMR; Portner and 1769 1770 Knust 2007; Portner et al. 2008; Clark et al. 2017; Biro et al. 2018; Neubauer and Anderson 1771 2019).

1772

Generally, individuals with high performance metabolic phenotypes (HPMPs) that are characterized by a broad aerobic scope should exhibit behavioural plasticity across a range of temperatures, and be able to quickly recover from external stressors because of their reduced energetic requirements for basic metabolism and their ability to sustain high rates of activity across changing conditions (McLean et al. 2018; Jutfelt et al. 2018; Pörtner et al. 2018; Neubauer and Anderson 2019). These individuals often have a broader thermal tolerance and are likely to be selected for in heterogeneous environments, as individuals with a narrower
aerobic scope (ie. low performance metabolic phenotypes; LMPM) may exhibit reduced
performance (Neubauer and Anderson 2019). High performers may have the energetic capacity
to facilitate behavioural plasticity (Biro et al. 2018). Indeed, behavioural plasticity has allowed
many taxa to respond to climate variability by changing their phenology or distribution (Wong
and Candolin 2015; Beever et al. 2017; Biro et al. 2018).

1785 There are few studies that have used aerobic scope to predict behavioural performance parameters in fishes (see Chapter 1, published as Bailey et al. 2022). The focus of these studies 1786 has been on freshwater species (e.g., Seebacher et al. 2013; Auer et al. 2015; Gomez et al. 1787 2020) or in stable tropical environments, where individuals with a narrow aerobic scope may 1788 still maximize their performance at extreme temperatures (e.g., Biro et al. 2010; Killen et al. 1789 2014; Warren and McCormick 2019). However, there is limited research on temperate coastal 1790 species, which likely require a broader aerobic performance window (Neubauer and Anderson 1791 1792 2019) to tolerate the intense thermal variability attributed to frequent upwelling and marine heat wave events (Goshen and Schumann 1995; Bates et al. 2018; Bates et al. 2019) (see 1793 1794 Chapter 2). Up to now, a single study on a temperate species (Paralichthys olivaceus) (Rupia 1795 et al. 2016) tested whether individuals of different behavioural types differ in their absolute aerobic scope, however this was not done in the context of changing temperature. They found 1796 1797 that although fish with bold and timid behavioural phenotypes exhibited different metabolic responses across a salinity gradient, the relationship between personality and metabolic 1798 1799 performance did not alter across salinity gradients. To date, the relationship between aerobic 1800 scope and the capacity for behavioural plasticity in individuals has yet to be examined in fishes 1801 (Bailey et al. 2022). This lack of understanding will make it difficult to predict how fish populations will respond to climate change, particularly since behavioural plasticity is 1802 important for many long-lived, resident temperate coastal reef species, given their limited 1803 1804 dispersal ability and slow rates of genetic adaptation to rapidly changing thermal regimes (Somero 2010; Beever et al. 2017). 1805

The aim of this chapter is to understand the behavioural responses of a temperate, coastal fish species, *Chrysoblephus laticeps* to thermal variability, as a function of individual physiological and behavioural phenotypes. This is the first study on a fish species to link individual aerobic scope phenotype (i.e. high *vs* low performer) to individual behavioural plasticity across a thermal gradient.

- 1811
- 1812

- 1813 The objectives of the chapter were to:
- 1814 1) Determine behavioural types (bold *vs* timid) of individuals in the sampled population 1815 through a series of multi-trait behaviour tests at temperatures representing optimal thermal 1816 energetic conditions.
- 1817 2) Determine the plasticity of individual behavioural responses to the range of thermal1818 variability associated with the species in its native distribution.
- 1819 3) Assess individual behavioural plasticity in relation to the energetic capacity provided by1820 aerobic scope phenotypes identified in Chapter 3 (across the same thermal gradient).
- 1821

1822 It is hypothesised that bold personality individuals will be most active at ambient temperatures 1823 (16 °C; where timid individuals are likely to hide or to exhibit lower activity rates), while only 1824 those with a broader aerobic scope curves will be able to sustain bold behaviour traits across 1825 temperatures. In addition, it is hypothesised that bold fish and timid fish with a narrow aerobic 1826 scope curves will exhibit reductions in performance across a range of temperatures.

1827

#### 1828 **4.2 Methods**

#### 1829 4.2.1 Experimental set-up:

A laboratory was designed and built for behavioural experiments at the Aquatic Ecophysiology 1830 Research Platform (AERP; South African Institute for Aquatic Biodiversity) situated at the 1831 Department of Ichthyology and Fisheries Science of Rhodes University. The laboratory housed 1832 12 x 1000 L circular isolation tanks (1050 mm diameter x 1200 mm height) for individual 1833 behavioural experiments. These tanks were painted an opaque blue colour to reduce external 1834 stimuli and potential anxiety-like behaviour during experiments (de Abreu et al. 2020). 1835 1836 Experimental tanks were connected to a filtration system made up of a 750 L slimline sump, protein skimmer (UltraZap 250 MM with submerged PT 12 000 pump), bubble bead filter 1837 1838 (BBF-200-COMP, Wilpet Koi Products), fluidised bed biological filter (750 L slimline tank with SuperActiFlo Media) and UV steriliser (UV 55 W, UltraZap Pro UVS 55). Two pool 1839 pumps (Speck Porpoise 0.75 kW) were used to recirculate water through the system. Air was 1840 1841 supplied to the experimental tanks and bed filter via a 2.2 kW blower outside the laboratory. A 1842 heatpump (Aquaheat SF2020P) was used to maintain control temperatures of 16 °C and manipulate experimental temperatures of 10 °C and 21 °C in the experimental isolation tanks. 1843

- 1844 4.2.2 Individual multi-trait behavioural experiments:
- 1845

1846 Multi-trait behavioural tests (see description below) were used to categorize the personality of 28 individuals (for which physiology information was available; see Chapter 3), along the bold-1847 1848 timid continuum (i.e. high-activity bold vs low-activity timid fish; Carter et al. 2013, Rupia et al. 2016) at mean environmental temperature (16 °C) and extreme temperatures (10 °C and 22 1849 1850 °C). Prior to the start of behavioural experiments, fish were kept in their housing tanks for a period of two weeks following the physiology experiments (Chapter 3). Following this, fish 1851 1852 were fasted for 48 hours (Bell and Stamps 2004, Carmona-Catot et al. 2013) before being moved to a 1000 L circular isolation tank (1050 mm diameter x 1200 mm height). A video 1853 1854 camera (Sports Cam 1080 Pixel) was mounted above each tank and the rate at which the individual explored the "novel" (tank) area was recorded for 20-minutes (as per the methods 1855 of Colleter and Brown 2011, Carmona-Catot et al. 2013). TOXTRAC software (Rodriguez et 1856 al. 2017, 2018) was used to create a digitized transect overlay of the experimental arena. This 1857 software calculates exploration rate as a percentage by comparing the pixelated transect area 1858 explored during the observation period as a function of the total tank area (Kane et al. 2004, 1859 Rodriguez et al. 2017, 2018) (Figure 4.1*a*). 1860

After recording the exploration rate, the propensity to feed in the novel environment was 1861 recorded. Propensity to feed is often used to differentiate between behaviour types as bold fish 1862 1863 are likely to engage in risky behaviour sooner in a novel area (Cutts et al. 2001, Sloman et al. 2001, Overli et al. 2006, Castanheira et al. 2013, Rupia et al. 2016). The time taken to feed 1864 1865 (latency) and the number of food items eaten was recorded during a 10-minute observation period. A new food item was added every 2.5 minutes, and a maximum of four food items were 1866 1867 prepared per individual based on a mass-based growth feeding regime (of 3 % of body mass per day for a 300 g to >1000 g fish; FAO 2012, Torfi Mozanzadeh et al. 2017). Fish received 1868 a risk score of four points for hand feeding (one point for each hand-fed piece), three points for 1869 1870 eating within one minute, and one point for first feeding within two minutes. Fish received an additional point for each food item consumed during the observation period. Fish that did not 1871 1872 feed received no points. An individual could receive a maximum of ten points and this was 1873 converted to a percentage score (points received divided by maximum points possible).

After testing the propensity to feed, fish were acclimated for a period of one week to "normalize" behaviour at the mean environmental temperature (16 °C) prior to behavioural experiments. Fish were exposed to three behavioural experiments: an activity test, a novel object test and a mirror test (as per Adriaenssens and Johnsson 2013). An activity test (see description below) was done in the morning and the afternoon, and again on the morning of the following day. A novel object test (see description below) was conducted immediately after
the activity test on the first morning, and a mirror test (see description below) immediately after
the activity test in the afternoon. These experiments were conducted according to the repeated
measures design outlined by Rupia et al. (2016).

#### 1883 Activity test

For the activity test, the procedure for recording individual exploration of the isolation tanks 1884 for a 30-minute observation period was repeated (Figure 4.1a). Activity recordings were 1885 repeated in the morning and the afternoon, as well as the following day. This provided the 1886 average diurnal activity level of each individual in a familiar environment where individuals 1887 displayed "natural" behaviour patterns (Cutts et al. 2001, Colleter and Brown 2011, Poulos and 1888 McCormick 2014). TOXTRAC software was used (Rodriguez et al. 2017, 2018) to create a 1889 1890 digitized transect overlay of the experimental arena. This software calculates exploration rate as a percentage by comparing the pixelated transect area explored during the observation period 1891 as a function of the total tank area (Kane et al. 2004, Rodriguez et al. 2017, 2018) (Figure 4.1a). 1892

1893



- Figure 4.1: Graphical depiction of the calculation of exploration rate by comparing the pixelated transect area explored to the total tank area, using TOXTRAC software (*a*). Graphical depiction of tank zones used to calculate the proportion of time that an individual spent in each zone in proximity to the novel object (*b*).
- 1899
- 1900
- 1901

#### 1902 Boldness test with a novel object

Boldness was quantified through a 10-minute novel object test (Carter et al. 2013, Colleter and 1903 1904 Brown 2011, Rupia et al. 2016). The test began immediately after introducing a coloured crate (140 x 190 x 390 mm upscaled from Frost et al. 2007) to each tank. For each of the three 1905 1906 thermal treatments, a different colour crate (orange, pink or green) was used. TOXTRAC 1907 software (Rodriguez et al. 2017, 2018) was used to create a digitized transect overlay of the 1908 experimental arena, with the tank divided into three distinct zones (Figure 4.1*b*). Zone one was a 30 cm radius directly surrounding the novel object, zone two was a 60 cm radius around the 1909 novel object, and zone three comprised the outer surrounding area to the tank wall (Castanheira 1910 et al. 2013) (Figure 4.1b). The latency time (in seconds) to enter the inner radius directly 1911 surrounding the novel object was measured. TOXTRAC was used to calculate the proportion 1912 1913 of time in each of the three zones. The time spent in each zone was multiplied by a weighted score and added together to produce a final percentage score (see Table 4.1). 1914

# 1915 Table 4.1: Calculation of the proportion of time that an individual spent in proximity to the1916 novel object

| Zone   | Description                              | Weighted score   |  |
|--------|--|------------------|--|
| 1      | 30 cm radius around novel object         | 100 % *          |  |
| 2      | 60 cm radius around novel object         | 85 %             |  |
| 3      | Outer zone to tank wall                  | 15 %             |  |
| * An a | dditional 10 % was given for immediately | entering zone 1. |  |

\* An additional 5 % was given for entering zone 1 within a minute.

- 1917
- 1918

1919 *Mirror test* 

To measure individual aggression, a 60 cm x 40 cm mirror was placed into each tank (Figure 4.2). The mirror was large enough for the individual to see throughout the 10-minute recording period. An individual received points for each aggressive behaviour, ranked from the most to least aggressive response, towards its mirrored reflection (Ros et al. 2006, Colleter and Brown 2011) (see Table 4.2). Only aggressive behaviours typical of the species (as defined in Table 4.2) were accounted for. An individual could receive a maximum of 100 points for the observation period and this was converted to a percentage.



- Figure 4.2: A *Chrysoblephus laticeps* male examining (left) and attacking (note head display;
  right) its mirrored image.
- 1939 Table 4.2: Points given for each aggressive behaviour, ranked from the most to the least1940 aggressive response

| Aggressive behaviour                  | Points |
|---------------------------------------|--------|
| Attack or bite                        | 3      |
| Head display                          | 2      |
| Charge (swims in direction of mirror) | 1      |
| Lateral display                       | 1      |
| Hide or flee                          | 0      |

1941 On completion of the initial round of behavioural experiments at 16 °C, fish remained at control temperatures for three days. Following this, the temperature in the tanks was decreased by  $\pm 1$ 1942 °C per hour (to reduce thermal stress, Duncan 2019) to 10 °C. Fish were acclimated for two 1943 1944 days before the activity, novel object (a different colour crate, viz. orange, pink or green, was used) and mirror test was repeated. Immediately after the completion of the behavioural 1945 experiments at 10 °C, the temperature in the tanks was increased by  $\pm 1$  °C per hour to 16 °C, 1946 1947 where fish remained at control temperatures for three days. Following this, the temperature in the tanks was increased by  $\pm 1$  °C per hour to 21 °C. Fish were then acclimated for two days 1948 before repeating the same three tests. 1949

1950

#### 1951 **4.2.3 Data analysis:**

#### 1952 4.2.3.1. Classifying behavioural phenotypes of individuals

Behavioural assays scores for each test (i.e. exploration, propensity to feed, activity, boldness, and aggression scores) at each temperature for each fish were calculated and analysed in a correlation matrix using the Spearman rank's correlation coefficient (all behavioural assay scores were converted to a percentage score to create same unit). Since behavioural assay scores were positively correlated (i.e. positive correlations occurred between bold traits such as high activity, boldness and high aggression; *vs* timid traits such as low activity, low boldness and low aggression; see the change of each trait with temperature plotted in the results below),
the behavioural assays were collapsed into a single composite behavioural score for each
temperature treatment using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). A PCA with the package
'Vegan' in R version 3.3.3 (R Core Team 2017) was used to generate a single principal
component reflecting the degree of boldness for each individual. Hence, the composite
behavioural score generated from the PCA (see the composite scores in Table B1 of Appendix
B) was a combination of the scores for the suite of bold or timid behavioural traits.

The individual composite behavioural scores for each individual at each temperature treatment 1966 were interpreted as a reflection of variation along the bold-timid continuum. To separate 1967 1968 individuals into groups along the bold-timid continuum, a Ward's linkage hierarchical cluster analysis was used on the principal components (Rupia et al. 2016). The silhouette function in 1969 1970 the R package 'cluster' was then used to measure an individual's degree of membership to a cluster. Individuals were assigned to a cluster based on the average distance from cluster 1971 1972 members, and the distance from the next adjacent cluster (Borcard et al. 2011). Silhouette widths ranged from -1 to 1, where positive values indicated a tighter cluster. Behavioural types 1973 1974 were divided into two groups (see Figure 3.3*a*) where PCA scores > 0 were classified as bold, while those < 0 were classified as timid. 1975

1976

1978

#### 1977 4.2.3.2 Determining individual behavioural plasticity with temperature

1979 *Activity* 

1980 To examine the effect of temperature on each of the behavioural traits (i.e. activity, aggression and boldness), mixed effects models were used to account for repeated measures in individuals 1981 1982 (i.e. each individual was measured repeatedly at each test temperature) (Bolker et al. 2009; 1983 Zuur 2009; Harrison et al. 2018). The model assumptions (i.e. for the normality of residuals) were checked using diagnostic plots (Bolker et al. 2009; Zuur 2009). To account for the 1984 bounded distribution of percentage data (i.e. percentage scores are bounded from 0 to 100, 1985 1986 which suggests that the data does not follow a normal gaussian distribution), a mixed effects modelling approach was implemented using the *glmmTMB* package with the "beta" family and 1987 1988 link "logit" (Brookes et aal. 2017) in R version 3.3.3 (R Core Team 2017). The effect of 1989 temperature on activity was tested by modelling a second order polynomial relationship 1990 between percentage scores for activity and temperature, with a random effects structure 1991 weighted by fish ID and temperature. Hence, the variation of each individual was taken into

account by including it as a random effect. The model also takes into account that each individual has a different relationship between activity levels and temperature, and this temperature effect is included as a random slope in the random effects structure with individual as the random intercept (i.e. temp|ID; Harrison et al. 2018). Fish size and sex were not significant in predicting activity data in the initial model (p > 0.05, t = 2.693, df = 26) and these variables were then excluded from further model analyses (i.e. data was pooled between the sexes and weight classes) (R Core Team 2017).

1999

#### 2000 Aggression

To examine the effect of temperature on aggression percentage scores (i.e. which do not follow 2001 2002 a normal distribution), a mixed effects modelling approach was implemented using the glmmTMB package with the "beta" family and link "logit" (Brookes et aal. 2017) in R version 2003 3.3.3 (R Core Team 2017). Differences in the percentage scores for aggression amongst 2004 individuals were tested by modelling a second order polynomial relationship between 2005 aggression percentage score data and temperature, with a random effects structure weighted by 2006 fish ID and temperature. Hence, the variation of each individual was accounted for by including 2007 2008 it as a random effect. Furthermore, the model also takes into account that each individual has 2009 a different relationship between aggressive behaviour and temperature, and this temperature 2010 effect is included as a random slope in the random effects structure with individual as the random intercept (i.e. temp|ID; Harrison et al. 2018). Fish mass (kg) was included in the model 2011 2012 as a fixed effect. Sex was not significant in predicting aggression data in the initial model (p > p)0.05, t = -0.807, df = 26) and was then excluded from further model analyses (i.e. data was 2013 2014 pooled between the sexes) (R Core Team 2017).

2015

#### 2016 Boldness

As with aggression, a mixed effects modelling approach was implemented using the *glmmTMB* package with the "beta" family and link "logit" (Brookes et aal. 2017) in R version 3.3.3 (R Core Team 2017) to examine the effect of temperature on boldness percentage scores (i.e. percentage scores bounded between 0 and 100 do not follow a normal distribution). The effect of temperature on boldness was tested by modelling a second order polynomial relationship between boldness percentage score data and temperature, with a random effects structure weighted by fish ID and temperature (i.e. variation of each individual was accounted for by including it as a random effect). Similar to the model above, the model takes into account that each individual has a different relationship between temperature and boldness, and this temperature effect is included as a random slope in the random effects structure with individual as the random intercept (i.e. temp|ID; Harrison et al. 2018). Fish mass (kg) was included in the model as a fixed effect. Sex was not a significant prediction factor in the initial model (p >0.05, t = -1.512, df = 26) and was then excluded from further model analyses (R Core Team 2017).

2031 2032

#### 2033 Composite behavioural score

To interrogate overall behavioural plasticity across temperature, the above behavioural assays 2034 were combined into a single composite behavioural score for each temperature treatment (see 2035 2036 section 4.3.2.1; Table B1 Appendix B). The model assumptions were checked using diagnostic plots (Bolker et al. 2009; Zuur 2009). Based on the diagnostic plots, a non-linear mixed effects 2037 modelling approach was implemented using the *nlme* package (Pinheiro et al. 2017) to account 2038 for the heteroscedasticity of composite behavioural scores. Inter-individual differences in 2039 behavioural plasticity were examined by modelling a second order polynomial relationship 2040 between log-transformed composite behavioural scores and temperature, and a random effects 2041 structure was fitted by random slopes weighted by fish ID and temperature (Harrison et al. 2042 2018). Individual slopes of the change in behavioural composite scores with temperature is a 2043 measure of individual behavioural plasticity (i.e. a stronger behavioural response to changing 2044 temperature; Biro et al. 2010). Sex (p = 0.48, t = -0.710, df = 26) and size were not significant 2045 variables (p = 0.532, t = 0.634, df = 26) in the initial model and were removed from the analyses 2046 (i.e. data was pooled over sexes and size classes). 2047

2048

#### 2049 4.2.3.3. Linking behaviour traits with metabolic performance

Behavioural phenotypes were linked to metabolic performance using Principal Component Analyses (i.e. PCA) and cluster analyses. Individual composite behavioural scores for each temperature treatment were classified as a reflection of variation along the bold-timid continuum, and a Ward's linkage hierarchical cluster analysis was used on the principal components. Aerobic performance information (high performers (>75 % percentile), intermediate performers (25 – 75 % percentile), or low performers (<25 %) from each individual (see Chapter 3) was incorporated into the PCA. The incorporation of aerobic 2057 performance into the PCA resulted in three distinct clusters. The silhouette function in the R package 'cluster' was then used to measure an individual's degree of membership to a cluster 2058 2059 (average silhouette width of 0.81). Individuals were assigned to a cluster based on the average distance from cluster members, and the distance from the next adjacent cluster (Borcard et al. 2060 2061 2011). Silhouette widths ranged from -1 to 1, where positive values indicated a tighter cluster.

2062

# 2063

# 4.2.3.4. Determining individual behavioural plasticity in relation to metabolic phenotype 2064

2065 A general linear model was used (i.e. model assumptions were checked using diagnostic plots (Bolker et al. 2009; Zuur 2009) to assess how individuals differ in their behavioural plasticity 2066 2067 and predictability with temperature as a function of aerobic scope phenotype. Behavioural plasticity, taken by the linear slope of regression modelling the change in behaviour (using the 2068 2069 overall behavioural composite score) with temperature (see section 4.2.3.2. above), was the response variable. Covariance was fitted separately for each aerobic phenotype category (i.e. 2070 2071 high, intermediate vs low performer; see Chapter 3) as an interaction term with personality type (i.e. bold vs timid). The intercept of the regression was taken as a measure of behavioural 2072 2073 predictability (i.e. whether individuals that had higher behavioural composite scores than others 2074 at one temperature also tended to maintain these high behavioural scores across other temperatures; Biro et al. 2010, 2018) (Section 4.2.3.2.). Hence, the variation of each individual 2075 was accounted for by including it as a random effect. The model output was assessed using the 2076 2077 Dredge function with the libraries mytnorm and MuMIn from the 'CRAN' R package. Weight class and sex were removed as fixed effect factors with no significant effect on the model (p >2078 0.05; t = -0.954, df = 26). 2079

2080

2081

#### 4.3 Results: 2082 2083

#### 4.3.1 Classifying the behavioural phenotypes of individuals 2084

The percentage scores for the activity assay ranged between 7.00 % and 71.50 % at 10 °C, 2085 between 5.0 % and 94.0% at 16 °C, and between 8.0 % and 97.5 % at 21 °C. Boldness 2086 percentage scores ranged between at 15.0 % and 77.3 % at 10 °C, between 15.0 % and 90.0 % 2087 at 16 °C, and between 15.0 % and 89.9 % at 21 °C (Table B1 in Appendix B). The percentage 2088 scores for the aggression behavioural assay ranged between 0.1 % and 100 % at each test 2089 2090 temperature. High percentage scores for activity, boldness and aggression were positively correlated (p = 0.013, r = 0.79, df = 26; Spearman's rank correlation) and hence these 2091

behavioural assays were combined into a composite score for each individual in each thermalcontext.

2094

2095 When suites of bold and timid behavioural traits were collapsed into principal component 2096 scores, principal component 1 (i.e. PC1) explained 79.6 % of the variation (eigenvalue = 3114.03) in behaviour (Table 4.3), while PC2 explained 10.9 % (eigenvalue = 427.04) of the 2097 2098 variation in behaviour, at optimal temperatures. High scores for aggression, activity and boldness loaded positively onto PC1, while low scores loaded positively onto PC2. In other 2099 words, the spread of timid individuals (blue) across the PC2 axis is indicating that some fish 2100 with negative PC2 values were particularly low scoring in the exploratory trait and propensity 2101 to feed. While those with positive PC2 were particularly low scoring in terms of aggression 2102 and boldness. These behavioural traits constitute a suite of bold behavioural traits where bold 2103 individuals exhibit higher levels of activity and aggression, in comparison to timid individuals. 2104 2105 Hence, high scores on PC1 were interpreted as high levels of boldness (Figure 4.3).

Composite scores along PC1 were grouped into two distinct behavioural types, where scores above zero were interpreted as bold, and scores below zero were interpreted as timid (Figure 4.3). Clustering within the bold and timid groups was different, with the timid group more tightly clustered around the mean (silhouette width = 62, df = 16) when compared with bold individuals (silhouette width = 55, df = 11) (Figure 4.3). Individual behavioural scores (i.e. these ranged along a continuum from -82.49 to 73.74; Table B1 in Appendix B) varied across thermal treatments.

2113

Table 4.3: Results of the principal component analyses used to classify individual behavioural
traits of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* measured across a thermal gradient (i.e. temperatures of 10 °C,
16 °C, 21 °C). Correlation loadings are between PC1 (for each temperature trial) and between
behavioural variables: 1) activity, 2) aggression, 3) boldness, 4) exploration of the novel tank area,
5) feeding in a novel environment.

| 2 | 1 | 1 | n |
|---|---|---|---|
| Z | т | т | 9 |
|   |   |   |   |

| Principal | %     |               | Activity | Aggression | Boldness | Exploration | Feeding |
|-----------|-------|---------------|----------|------------|----------|-------------|---------|
| component | varia | ance          |          |            |          |             |         |
| PC1 16 °C | 79.5  | 6 Loadings    | 1.717    | 9.146      | 2.060    | 0.953       | 0.994   |
|           |       | Correlation   | 0.880    | 0.994      | 0.953    | 0.631       | 0.769   |
| PC1 10 °C | 85.09 | 9 Loadings    | -0.038   | 0.044      | -0.127   | -           | -       |
|           |       | Correlation   | 0.846    | 0.937      | 0.986    |             |         |
|           |       | Loadings      | -0.078   | 0.107      | 0.097    | -           | -       |
| PC1 21 °C | 91.00 | 0 Correlation | 0.906    | 0.953      | 0.993    |             |         |
|           |       |               |          |            |          |             |         |





Figure 4.3: Classification of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* individuals into bold *vs* timid behavioural groups (*a*) (i.e. based on behavioural tests for boldness, aggression activity and exploration) via principal component analysis of individual behavioural traits (*b*). Note: High scores for aggression and activity, boldness loaded positively onto PC1, while low scores loaded positively onto PC2. Composite scores along PC1 were grouped into two distinct behavioural types, bold (cluster 1 highlighted in green) and timid (cluster 2 highlighted in blue) (*a*), where scores above 0 were interpreted as bold, and scores below zero were interpreted as timid (*b*).

#### 2131 **4.3.2 Behavioural plasticity with temperature**

Activity levels were lowest at 10 °C for all individuals (Figure 4.4*a*). However, individuals consistently differed in activity levels at low temperatures of 10 °C, where some individuals maintained higher activity levels relative to others, as indicated by the random intercept effect (random intercept effect: p < 0.021; t = 2.377; df = 26). On average, individual activity rates increased by 1.75 fold from 10 °C to 21 °C and this trend was significant (p < 0.001, t = 6.273, df = 26, r = 0.89; Figure 4.4 and Figure 4.5a; Table 4.4).

- Average aggression also increased significantly (by 2.32 fold) from 10 °C to 21 °C (p = 0.004,
- 2139 t = 3.013, df = 26, r = 0.61; Figure 4.4, Figure 4.5a, Table 4.5). Size was a significant
- 2140 determinant of aggression, with larger individuals exhibiting the highest aggression scores (*p*
- 2141 = 0.018, t = 2.521, df = 26, Table 4.5). Some individuals maintained similar aggressive

behaviour across thermal contexts (eg. individual three, five and 19; Figure 4.5*b*). Others
exhibited a steeper increase in aggression with temperature (eg. individual one, four, seven and
26; Figure 4.5*b*) and hence higher plasticity in aggressive behaviour.

Although boldness increased by 1.03 fold with a temperature increase from 10 °C to 21 °C, this increase was not significant (p > 0.05, t = 0.127, df = 26, r = 0.59; Figure 4.4; Figure 4.5b; Table 4.6). Size was however a significant determinant of boldness (p = <0.001, t = 4.277, df=26; Table 4.6), with larger fish receiving higher scores in the novel objects test.

- Table 4.4: Mixed effects model (*glmmTMB*) results for variation in percentage scores for activity
  data amongst individuals of an exploited population of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* at temperatures of
  10 °C, 16 °C and 21 °C. The correlation coefficient 'r' depicts the relationship between activity
  and temperature. Bold *p*-values depict significant variation in activity data.
- 2153

| Random effect            | Variance  | SD   |                 |                 |
|--------------------------|-----------|------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Individual               | 0.003     | 0.01 |                 |                 |
| Temperature              | 0.002     | 0.01 |                 |                 |
| Dispersion parameter     | 11.20     |      |                 |                 |
| for beta family          |           |      |                 |                 |
|                          |           |      |                 |                 |
| Fixed effect             | Estimate  | SE   | <i>t</i> -value | <i>p</i> -value |
| Intercept                | -0.561    | 0.01 | 2.377           | 0.021           |
| Temperature              | -0.065    | 0.39 | 6.273           | <0.001          |
| Temperature <sup>2</sup> | 0.006     | 0.01 | 6.579           | <0.001          |
|                          |           |      |                 |                 |
| R                        | 0.89      |      |                 |                 |
| AIC                      | 55.04     |      |                 |                 |
| Residual SE              | 14.190    |      |                 |                 |
|                          | (df = 26) |      |                 |                 |
|                          |           |      |                 |                 |

Table 4.5: Mixed effects model (*glmmTMB*) results for variation in percentage scores for the aggression test (mirror test) amongst individuals of an exploited population of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* at temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C and 21 °C. The correlation coefficient 'r' depicts the relationship between aggression and temperature. Bold *p-values* depict significant variation in aggression data.

2161

| Random effect | Variance | SD   |
|---------------|----------|------|
| Individual    | 0.005    | 0.01 |
| Temperature   | 0.007    | 0.01 |

Dispersion parameter 1.10

for beta family

| Fixed effect             | Estimate         | SE   | <i>t</i> -value | <i>p</i> -value |
|--------------------------|------------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Intercept                | -4.008           | 0.15 | -1.414          | 0.163           |
| Temperature              | 0.398            | 0.01 | 3.013           | 0.004           |
| Temperature <sup>2</sup> | -0.010           | 0.03 | 8.551           | <0.001          |
| Size                     | 0.191            | 0.01 | 2.521           | 0.018           |
| R                        | 0.61             | _    |                 |                 |
| AIC                      | 105.72           |      |                 |                 |
| Residual SE              | 0.463            |      |                 |                 |
|                          | ( <i>df</i> =26) |      |                 |                 |
|                          |                  |      |                 |                 |

2162

Table 4.6: Mixed effects model (glmmTMB) results for variation in percentage scores for a boldness test (novel object) amongst individuals of an exploited population of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* at temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C and 21 °C. The correlation coefficient 'r' depicts the relationship between aggression and temperature. Bold *p*-values depict significant variation in boldness scores.

| Random effect | Variance | SD   |
|---------------|----------|------|
| Individual    | 0.003    | 0.06 |
| Temperature   | 0.003    | 0.01 |

Dispersion parameter 11.7

for beta family

| Fixed effect             | Estimate  | SE    | <i>t</i> -value | <i>p</i> -value |  |
|--------------------------|-----------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Intercept                | 1.616     | 1.00  | 1.017           | 0.313           |  |
| Temperature              | 0.049     | 0.388 | 0.127           | 0.899           |  |
| Temperature <sup>2</sup> | 0.117     | 0.110 | 1.064           | 0.292           |  |
| Size                     | 11.118    | 2.599 | 4.277           | <0.001          |  |
|                          |           |       |                 |                 |  |
| R                        | 0.59      |       |                 |                 |  |
| AIC                      | 46.06     |       |                 |                 |  |
| Residual SE              | 14.08     |       |                 |                 |  |
|                          | (df = 26) |       |                 |                 |  |
|                          |           |       |                 |                 |  |

2170



2172

Figure 4.4: The effect of temperature (10 °C, 16 °C and 21 °C) on the aggression, activity and

boldness of individual *Chrysoblephus laticeps* from an exploited population, Noordhoek coastal
zone, Eastern Cape, South Africa in 2021. The curve represents the model of best fit for the

2176 population with shaded areas representing a 95 % confidence interval.



Figure 4.5*a*: Individual and mean (thick black line) activity (expressed as % of the tank area



- 2180 16 °C and 21 °C) in an exploited population of *Chrysoblephus laticeps*.



Figure 4.5b: Relationship between individual boldness (i.e. exploration of a novel object) and
temperature for an exploited population of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* from Noordhoek coastal zone,
Eastern Cape, South Africa. The thick black line is the population mean.



Figure 4.6: Results of the mixed effects model representing the change in boldness (i.e, high composite behavioural scores for activity, aggression and boldness in approaching novel objects) across temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C and 21 °C in bold and timid individuals of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* sampled from Noordhoek coastal zone, Eastern Cape, South Africa in 2021.

Individuals consistently differed in their behavioural composite scores (i.e. combined scores for aggression, activity and boldness in approaching a novel object) at low temperatures (random intercept effect: p < 0.001; t = 6.569; df = 26; Table 4.7), and the difference in this composite score between individuals increased significantly with increasing temperature (p0.020; t = 2.388; df = 26; Table 4.7).

Table 4.7: Non-linear mixed effects (*nlme*) model results for variation in percentage scores for composite behavioural scores (i.e. combined scores for aggression, activity and boldness in approaching a novel object) amongst individuals of an exploited population of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* at temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C and 21 °C. The correlation coefficient 'r' depicts the relationship between composite behaviour scores and temperature. Bold *p-values* depict significant variation in composite behaviour scores.

2207

| Random effect | SD   |
|---------------|------|
| Individual    | 0.39 |
| Temperature   | 0.00 |

Residual

| Fixed effect             | Estimate    | SE     | <i>t</i> -value | <i>p</i> -value |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Intercept                | 1.194       | 0.1817 | 6.569           | <0.001          |
| Temperature              | 0.020       | 0.008  | 2.388           | 0.020           |
| Temperature <sup>2</sup> | 0.001       | 0.001  | 0.684           | 0.497           |
| r                        | 0.808       |        |                 |                 |
| AIC                      | 75.75535    |        |                 |                 |
| Residual SE              | 0.249 (df = |        |                 |                 |
|                          | 26)         |        |                 |                 |

2208

2209

#### 2210 *3.2.3. Linking behaviour traits with metabolic performance*

0.249

The separation of bold and timid phenotypes (by composite score) became more apparent when 2211 metabolic performance was assigned to each individual and resulted in three distinct clusters 2212 (average silhouette width of 0.81) (Figure 4.7). Cluster one comprised bold individuals with 2213 2214 high aerobic performance (silhouette width = 0.81), cluster two comprised timid individuals of primarily intermediate aerobic performance (silhouette width = 0.82), although it had two small 2215 subgroups of high and low performance respectively, while cluster three comprised bold 2216 2217 individuals with intermediate performance (silhouette width = 0.82), and a single individual of low performance (Figure 4.7b). 2218



2219

Figure 4.7 The clustering of behavioural phenotypes as a function of aerobic scope in an exploited population of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* collected from Noordhoek coastal zone, Eastern Cape, South Africa in 2021. Note: Cluster one (red) is comprised of bold high performers. Cluster two (blue) is comprised of timid intermediate performance, as well as two small subgroups of high and low performance respectively. Cluster three (green) is comprised of bold intermediate performers with a single low performer.

#### 4.3.3 Determining individual behavioural plasticity in relation to metabolic phenotype

Individual differences in behavioural plasticity (i.e. slope variance) were constrained by 2228 aerobic scope (p < 0.05, t = 2.076, df = 24; Figure 4.8 and Figure 4.9; Table 4.8). High aerobic 2229 performers had significantly higher behavioural plasticity (i.e. a steeper slope; p = 0.048; t =2230 2.076; Table 4.8) than intermediate aerobic performers (p>0.05, t = -0.744; Table 4.8). While 2231 the composite score for boldness did not influence behavioural plasticity alone (p = 0.127, t =2232 1.538, df = 24; Table 4.8), boldness (i.e. composite score) as an interaction term with high 2233 aerobic performance resulted in the highest behavioural plasticity (p=0.004, t=3.185, df=24; 2234 2235 Table 4.8), relative to any other phenotype combination. Fish with a timid phenotype and high aerobic scope did not have significant behavioural responses to changing temperatures (p>0.05, 2236 2237 t = 1.314, df = 24) (Table 4.8, Figure 4.8 and 4.9).

2238 Intermediate performers did not exhibit significant behavioural plasticity (p > 0.05, t = 0.744,

2239 df = 24; Table 4.8). Individuals that were on the the high end of intermediate performers had a 2240 high intercept where high rates of boldness were predictably maintained across temperature 2241 contexts, resulting in low plasticity (i.e. a high and flat trend line). Alternatively, the scores associated with bold behaviour decreased and altered their rank order and personality with
increases in temperature. Other intermediate performers, particularly individuals on the low
end of intermediate aerobic performance exhibited low activity and low boldness (i.e. in
approaching the novel object) across temperature contexts (i.e. a low and flat trend line; Table
4.8, Figure 4.8 and 4.9).

Table 4.8: Generalized linear model results for inter-individual differences in behavioural
plasticity (i.e. slope variance of behavioural change with temperature) by aerobic scope phenotype
in an exploited population of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* at temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C and 21 °C.
Bold *p*-values depict significant variation in composite behaviour scores with temperature (i.e.
behavioural plasticity).

| Effect                | Estimate    | SE    | <i>t</i> -value | <i>p</i> -value |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Intercept             | -20.070     | 1.567 | -1.281          | 0.212           |
| Int. Performer        | -12.94      | 1.740 | -0.744          | 0.464           |
| High performer        | 39.540      | 19.05 | 2.076           | 0.048           |
| Boldness              | 20.320      | 1.284 | 1.583           | 0.127           |
| Low/int. Performer:   | 0.353       | 2.049 | 0.017           | 0.986           |
| timid                 |             |       |                 |                 |
| Int. Performer: bold  | 0.6585      | 2.403 | 0.027           | 0.979           |
| High performer: timid | 33.764      | 2.569 | 1.314           | 0.202           |
| High performer: bold  | 69.172      | 2.171 | 3.185           | 0.004           |
| AIC                   | 283.74      | _     |                 |                 |
| <b>Residual SE</b>    | 3.068 (df = |       |                 |                 |
|                       | 24)         |       |                 |                 |



2255 Figure 4.8: Results of the mixed effects model representing the change in behavioural plasticity

(i.e, overall composite behavioural scores for activity, aggression and boldness in approaching
novel objects) across temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C and 21 °C in bold high performers (HP; Cluster
1), bold intermediate and low performers (IP and LP; Cluster 2), and timid individuals (Cluster
3) of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* sampled from Noordhoek coastal zone, Eastern cape, South Africa in
2021.



2262

Figure 4.9: Inter-individual differences in behavioural plasticity (i.e. slope variance of behavioural change with temperature) by aerobic scope phenotype in an exploited population of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* exposed to test temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C and 21 °C.

## 2267 **4.4 Discussion**

The ability to respond to climate change through plastic behavioural responses is thought to be 2268 dependent on physiological and behavioural phenotypic traits. Indeed, Chrysoblephus laticeps 2269 individuals with both a high aerobic performance phenotype and bold behavioural phenotype 2270 had the most plastic behavioural response to thermal variability, highlighting the importance 2271 2272 of understanding the link between behaviour and physiology at both a population and individual level. Bold individuals had higher levels of aggression, exploratory activity, risk 2273 2274 behaviour and quicker propensity to feed in a novel environment. The maintenance of these 2275 bold personality traits at a range of temperatures (as found by Biro et al. 2010 and Warren and McCormick 2019) were however dependent on the aerobic performance of the individual. This 2276 2277 suggests that metabolism may be an important driver of animal personality. Indeed, the 2278 inability of individuals with low aerobic performance to maintain bold personality traits at a 2279 range of temperatures suggests that behavioural plasticity was constrained by aerobic scope. 2280 This finding concurs with that of Biro et al (2018) who found that aerobic scope provided scope for behavioural plasticity in rodents (Mus musculus). In this study, bold, high aerobic 2281 2282 performers had the capacity to sustain bold personality traits across variable temperatures, and as a result, had the ability to maintain their behavioural traits in a thermally variable 2283 2284 environment when compared with all other combinations of physiological and behavioural 2285 phenotypes.

2286

Bold individuals have been shown to survive better in response to abiotic (Long et al. 2021) 2287 and biotic stressors (Behrens et al. 2019), however this study suggests that metabolic traits 2288 2289 influence the plastic expression of behaviour across an environmental gradient. Similarly, Enders et al. (2019 study on Notropis percobromus), found that thermal preferences were not 2290 2291 related to bold and timid phenotypes, but were dependent on the oxygen limitations of aerobic metabolism. In the case of C. laticeps, individuals with reduced aerobic capacity for 2292 2293 behavioural plasticity initially appeared bold at ambient temperature but were unable to maintain bold behaviours at extreme temperatures, which caused a shift to a timid personality. 2294 2295 Similarly, Ruiz Gomez (2008) found that dominance behaviours in feeding Oncorhynchys 2296 mykiss offspring were only maintained in individuals that exhibited behavioural plasticity, while those that could not, lost the initial expression of dominance following repeated 2297 measures. Therefore, in the context of a changing climate, it is likely that bold individuals with 2298 low aerobic capacity may become timid. 2299

Changes in temperature may induce the physiological stress and increase oxygen demand in 2300 individuals with low aerobic capacity, causing the suppression of energetically expensive bold 2301 behavioural traits (i.e. aggression; Seth et al. 2013; Killen et al. 2013, or activity; D'Agostina 2302 et al. 2019). In this study, all individuals with a low aerobic scope exhibited lower rates of 2303 2304 activity, boldness or aggression when thermal conditions moved away from optimal. In 2305 contrast, individuals with high aerobic capacity maintained their bold behavioural traits in a variable thermal environment (in agreement with Biro et al. 2010). This suggests that the ability 2306 2307 to maintain bold behavioural traits and exhibit behavioural plasticity is linked to a greater 2308 aerobic capacity to sustain optimal rates of activity and rapidly recover from O<sub>2</sub> debt. A broad aerobic scope curve has previously been linked to higher rates of activity (Rupia et al. 2016), 2309 dominance and aggressive or bold behaviour (Seebacher et al. 2013; Killen et al. 2014). 2310

However, this is the fist study to find a link between the maintenance of activity and highaerobic capacity across a thermal range in fishes.

2313

Killen et al. (2021) found that individuals may vary in the expression of behavioural plasticity 2314 depending on the severity of the environmental stressor. In the present study on C. laticeps, the 2315 relationship between metabolic performance and behavioural plasticity was apparent under 2316 cold (10 °C) and warm (21 °C) thermal stress. This is within their normal thermal range. 2317 However, colder and warmer extremes may mediate this relationship. Interestingly, timid 2318 individuals had the highest dominance scores at 10 °C, in cases surpassing those of bold 2319 2320 individuals (Figure 4.9). This suggests that foraging success for these individuals may be greatest at cold temperatures. However, it is possible that this pattern may be masked at lower 2321 2322 temperatures, particularly since Duncan et al. (2019) found that C. laticeps populations entered cold shock at 8 °C. Similarly, extreme warm temperatures (24 °C) reduced the physiological 2323 2324 performance in this species (see Chapter 3). Therefore, since extreme temperatures may impact the performance of individuals regardless of phenotype (Killen et al. 2013, 2014), it is critical 2325 2326 to conserve high performers that are more likely to have the capacity to respond to the predicted increase in the frequency and intensity of upwelling events and heat waves in temperate coastal 2327 zones (Bates et al. 2019). 2328

This study provides empirical evidence that high performers are linked to bold phenotypes, 2329 supporting the previous findings that bold, fitter individuals are more vulnerable to capture 2330 2331 (Alos et al. 2012; Koeck et al. 2018). Indeed, fishing may reduce the resilience of fished populations to environmental variation by selecting for the removal of bold high performers 2332 that are likely to encounter and bite fishing gear (Alos et al. 2012; Koeck et al. 2018; Duncan 2333 et al. 2019). These are the same individuals that are likely to exhibit behavioural plasticity, as 2334 was observed in this study, and were indeed associated with exploratory risk behaviour in 2335 approaching and biting novel objects. Fishing may reduce the diversity of behavioural 2336 2337 phenotypes (Cooke et al. 2007) by selecting for a timidity syndrome in fished populations and 2338 this may be linked to high stress reactivity and reduced exploratory behaviour (Arlinghaus et al. 2017; Moynes et al. 2019; Alioravainen 2020; Satterfield et al. 2020). However, for fishing-2339 induced evolution to occur on physiological (eg. Duncan et al. 2019) and behavioural traits 2340 (Cooke et al. 2007; Arlinghaus et al. 2017; Moynes et al. 2019), these traits would need to be 2341 heritable. Researchers have recently isolated genes linked to the expression of metabolic traits 2342 associated with heat stress (Beemelmanns et al. 2020; Taboun 2020) and hypoxia (Zou et al. 2343

2344 2022), as well as behavioural traits (i.e. mirror elicited aggression; Baran and Streelman 2020, amongst others; Ariyomo et al. 2013; Edenbrow and Croft 2013; Laine et al. 2014). For 2345 2346 example, Zou et al. (2021) found that bold and timid Paralichthys olivaceus differed in the expression of 144 genes related to personality and metabolism. Long et al. (2021) found that 2347 2348 offspring of the same species contained a mix of bold and timid phenotypes irrespective of maternal line, however behavioural traits were linked to metabolic traits, which were partly 2349 2350 heritable due to maternal effects. Both bold and timid offspring from the bold maternal line had the advantage of a higher metabolic rate and the ability to respond to environmental 2351 stressors (Long et al. 2021). Muller (2022) provided evidence for the heritability of metabolic 2352 traits in C. laticeps. Therefore, the selection of heritable physiological and behavioural traits 2353 that are related to behavioural plasticity by fishery suggests that evolutionary-based 2354 management approaches are necessary (Ward et al. 2016). For example, marine protected areas 2355 can prioritize the protection of the fittest individuals with the aerobic capacity to respond to 2356 climate variability through behavioural plasticity, allowing these individuals to reproduce and 2357 supply nearby fished areas (Ward et al. 2016; Moynes et al. 2019). 2358

2359

In conclusion, this study provided evidence that high performance metabolic traits provide the 2360 2361 scope for plasticity in bold behavioural responses to thermal variability. This emphasises the importance of protecting the fittest individuals with the aerobic capacity to respond to climate 2362 2363 variability through behavioural plasticity. The expression of individual metabolic and 2364 behavioural phenotypes consistently determined performance across contexts, suggesting that individual traits can be used to predict performance in social groups (Castenheira et al. 2013; 2365 Hansen et al. 2020). However, previous research has shown that a social context may modulate 2366 the links between foraging activity and behaviour (Hansen et al. 2020). Hence, competitive 2367 foraging behaviour should be measured within a social hierarchy in relation to individual 2368 physiological and behavioural phenotype. Additionally, the validation of laboratory studies in 2369 2370 the field is necessary and can be achieved using acoustic telemetry studies (Metcalfe et al. 2012; Killen et al. 2013). These kinds of studies will assist in assessing the coupled impacts of 2371 2372 multiple climate stressors, biotic stressors and overexploitation on phenotypic traits.

- 2374
- 2375
- 2376
- 2377

#### **Appendix B** 2378

2379 Table B1: Behavioural assay scores for each fish at each temperature treatment. Final

2380 composite scores for each individual at each temperature treatment were created by combining

2381 behavioural assay scores in a principal component analysis.

2382 Note: Aggression scores were cut off at a maximum of 100 points (to note, exceptionally aggressive individuals that received more than double these points are highlighted in bold).

<sup>2383</sup> 2384

| Fish<br>ID | Temp<br>(°C) | Propensity<br>to feed (%<br>score) | Exploration<br>(% score) | Activity<br>(% score) | Aggression (% score)       | Boldness<br>(% score) | Composite<br>score from<br>PCA |
|------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1          | 16           | 80.00                              | 74.00                    | 79.00                 | 63.00                      | 60.40                 | 45.18                          |
| 1          | 10           | 00.00                              | , 1100                   | 67.00                 | 21.00                      | 48 30                 | 14 86                          |
|            | 21           |                                    |                          | 96.00                 | 100.00                     | 70.25                 | 55 42                          |
| 2          | 16           | 0.10                               | 3.00                     | 54.00                 | 42 00                      | 31.00                 | -49 75                         |
| L          | 10           | 0.10                               | 5.00                     | 21.00                 | 10.00                      | 23.68                 | 29.04                          |
|            | 21           |                                    |                          | 69.00                 | 16.00                      | 26.00                 | -42 09                         |
| 3          | 16           | 40.00                              | 65.00                    | 63.00                 | 0.10                       | 20.00                 | -32.05                         |
|            | 10           | 40.00                              | 05.00                    | 62.00                 | 0.10                       | 61 38                 | 33.87                          |
|            | 21           |                                    |                          | 74 00                 | 0.10                       | 16 51                 | -59 40                         |
| 1          | 16           | 60.00                              | 35.00                    | 59.00                 | 85.00                      | 44.80                 | 20 40                          |
| 4          | 10           | 00.00                              | 55.00                    | 50.00                 | 58.00                      | 50.62                 | -22.12                         |
|            | 21           |                                    |                          | 94.00                 | 100 00 (269  points)       | 50.02<br>48 77        | 47 17                          |
| 5          | 16           | 0.10                               | 3 00                     | /3 00                 | 0.10                       | 15 57                 | 82 /0                          |
| 3          | 10           | 0.10                               | 5.00                     | 43.00                 | 0.10                       | 15.37                 | -02.49<br>30 0/                |
|            | 21           |                                    |                          | 23.00                 | 0.10                       | 15.25                 | 59.94                          |
| (          | 21<br>16     | 30.00                              | 7.00                     | 7 00                  | 14.00                      | 15.00                 | -39.09                         |
| U          | 10           | 30.00                              | /.00                     | 7.00                  | 0.10                       | 15.00                 | -38.87                         |
|            | 10<br>21     |                                    |                          | 3.00<br>8.00          | 0.10                       | 15.00                 | 40.00                          |
| 7          | 21<br>16     | 70.00                              | 26.00                    | 8.00<br>36.00         | 67.00                      | 13.00                 | -/3.44                         |
| 1          | 10           | /0.00                              | 30.00                    | 30.00                 | 07.00                      | 00.82                 | 22.84                          |
|            | 10           |                                    |                          | 23.00                 | 20.00                      | 47.84                 | 10.00                          |
| 0          | 21<br>16     | 40.00                              | 75.00                    | 37.00<br>76.00        | 100.00                     | /0.43                 | 45.51                          |
| 0          | 10           | 40.00                              | /3.00                    | 70.00                 | 90.00                      | 09.90<br>77.20        | 40.08                          |
|            | 10           |                                    |                          | 39.00<br>78.00        | 97.00                      | //.29                 | -04.20                         |
| 0          | 21           | 0.10                               | 2 00                     | 78.00                 | 50.00                      | 89.90<br>25.00        | 39.11                          |
| 9          | 10           | 0.10                               | 5.00                     | 78.00                 | 30.00                      | 55.00                 | -39.92                         |
|            | 10           |                                    |                          | 71.00                 | 38.00                      | 30.33                 | -2.31                          |
| 10         | 21           | (0.00                              | 10.00                    | /4.00                 | 0.10                       | 15.60                 | -59.60                         |
| 10         | 10           | 60.00                              | 18.00                    | 59.00                 | 33.00                      | 65.29                 | -9.36                          |
|            | 10           |                                    |                          | 58.00                 | 30.00                      | /3.26                 | 2.65                           |
| 11         | 21           | (0.00                              | 22.00                    | /9.00                 | 93.50                      | 54.20                 | 40.33                          |
| 11         | 10           | 60.00                              | 22.00                    | 40.00                 | 5.00                       | 15.00                 | -3/.60                         |
|            | 10           |                                    |                          | 28.00                 | 53.00                      | 65.05                 | -19.04                         |
|            | 21           | 40.00                              | 10.00                    | 66.00                 | 25.00                      | 25.03                 | -35.15                         |
| 12         | 16           | 40.00                              | 42.00                    | 45.00                 | 5.00                       | 24.35                 | -39.38                         |
|            | 10           |                                    |                          | 49.00                 | 5.00                       | 27.86                 | 33.36                          |
|            | 21           |                                    |                          | 73.00                 | 21.50                      | 39.17                 | -31.66                         |
| 13         | 16           | 0.10                               | 17.00                    | 16.50                 | 41.00                      | 78.21                 | -41.89                         |
|            | 10           |                                    |                          | 19.50                 | <b>100.00</b> (289 points) | 67.94                 | -53.39                         |
|            | 21           |                                    |                          | 80.00                 | <b>100.00</b> (215 points) | 58.42                 | 48.05                          |
| 14         | 16           | 0.10                               | 43.00                    | 55.30                 | 42.00                      | 20.75                 | -35.30                         |
|            | 10           |                                    |                          | 59.50                 | 0.10                       | 15.00                 | 39.87                          |
|            | 21           |                                    |                          | 82.00                 | 50.00                      | 39.27                 | -3.90                          |

| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 15 | 16 | 0.10   | 76.33 | 49.33 | 33.00                      | 15.00 | -30.64 |
|--|----|----|--------|-------|-------|----------------------------|-------|--------|
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  |    | 10 |        |       | 25.00 | 12.00                      | 15.00 | 28.12  |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  |    | 21 |        |       | 46.00 | 0.10                       | 15.00 | -65.43 |
| 10         32.50         0.10         57.28         34.39           21         89.50         100.00         72.63         55.30           10         45.50         10.00         53.34         25.06           21         83.50         20.00         76.23         -17.12           18         16         5.00         66.00         37.30         60.00         15.00         -14.30           10         32.00         0.10         55.91         34.56         21         78.00         100.00         24.45         35.25           10         52.17         40.33         9.00         50.92         -51.63         10         27.89           21         47.50         14.00         52.96         -38.46         28.82         21         83.50         3.00         10.30         28.82         21         83.50         3.00         23.04         20.88         22.42         20.88         22.44         20.88         22.44         20.88         22.44         20.88         22.41         20.88         20.01         100.00         28.30         56.76         21.4         20.88         59.26         23.77         20         53.03         73.74         20.4         2 | 16 | 16 | 65.00  | 89.00 | 51.66 | 78.00                      | 23.17 | 44.29  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |    | 10 |        |       | 32.50 | 0.10                       | 57.28 | 34.39  |
| 17       16       70.00       71.00       37.67       74.00       41.31       38.72         10       45.50       10.00       53.34       25.06         21       83.50       20.00       76.23       -17.12         18       16       5.00       66.00       37.30       60.00       15.00       -14.30         10       32.00       0.10       55.91       34.56         21       78.00       100.00       24.45       35.25         19       16       0.10       52.17       40.33       9.00       50.92       -51.63         20       16       0.10       80.00       81.67       19.00       50.92       -28.77         10       42.50       4.00       70.03       28.82         21       83.50       3.00       53.03       -41.00         21       83.60       17.00       32.04       20.88         21       82.00       100.00       28.30       50.76         10       27.00       53.00       73.08       -20.14         21       80.00       100.00       24.9 points)       59.78       49.14         21       86.00       100.00   |    | 21 |        |       | 89.50 | 100.00                     | 72.63 | 55.30  |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 17 | 16 | 70.00  | 71.00 | 37.67 | 74.00                      | 41.31 | 38.72  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |    | 10 |        |       | 45.50 | 10.00                      | 53.34 | 25.06  |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  |    | 21 |        |       | 83.50 | 20.00                      | 76.23 | -17.12 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 18 | 16 | 5.00   | 66.00 | 37.30 | 60.00                      | 15.00 | -14.30 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |    | 10 |        |       | 32.00 | 0.10                       | 55.91 | 34.56  |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  |    | 21 |        |       | 78.00 | 100.00                     | 24.45 | 35.25  |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 19 | 16 | 0.10   | 52.17 | 40.33 | 9.00                       | 50.92 | -51.63 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |    | 10 |        |       | 33.00 | 10.00                      | 31.69 | 27.89  |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  |    | 21 |        |       | 47.50 | 14.00                      | 52.96 | -38.46 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 20 | 16 | 0.10   | 80.00 | 81.67 | 19.00                      | 50.92 | -28.77 |
| 21         83.50         3.00         53.03         -41.00           21         16         80.00         58.00         44.33         100.00         28.30         56.76           10         46.50         17.00         32.04         20.88           21         82.00         100.00 (214 points)         59.78         49.14           22         16         100.00         59.00         44.25         100.00         60.59         73.74           10         27.00         53.00         73.08         -20.14           21         86.00         100.00         84.98         59.26           23         16         70.00         80.00         94.00         100.00         84.98         59.26           24         16         40.00         67.00         74.00         13.00         15.00         -21.25           10         34.50         2.00         15.00         37.97           21         58.00         14.00         15.00         -50.16           25         16         90.00         73.33         46.33         100.00         28.27         35.89           26         16         60.00         65.67         58.00   |    | 10 |        |       | 42.50 | 4.00                       | 70.03 | 28.82  |
| 21       16       80.00       58.00       44.33       100.00       28.30       56.76         10       46.50       17.00       32.04       20.88         21       82.00       100.00 (214 points)       59.78       49.14         22       16       100.00       59.00       44.25       100.00       60.59       73.74         10       27.00       53.00       73.08       -20.14         21       86.00       100.00       (249 points)       46.73       68.79         23       16       70.00       80.00       94.00       100.00       (249 points)       70.93       59.26         24       16       40.00       67.00       74.00       13.00       15.00       -21.25         10       71.50       100.00       (289 points)       70.93       59.26         24       16       40.00       67.00       74.00       13.00       15.00       -21.25         10       34.50       2.00       15.00       37.97       21       58.00       14.00       15.00       -50.16         25       16       90.00       73.33       46.33       100.00       28.27       35.89  |    | 21 |        |       | 83.50 | 3.00                       | 53.03 | -41.00 |
| 10         46.50         17.00         32.04         20.88           21         82.00         100.00 (214 points)         59.78         49.14           22         16         100.00         59.00         44.25         100.00         60.59         73.74           10         27.00         53.00         73.08         -20.14           21         86.00         100.00         84.98         59.26           23         16         70.00         80.00         94.00         100.00         (249 points)         46.73         68.79           10         71.50         100.00         (289 points)         70.93         59.26           24         16         40.00         67.00         74.00         13.00         15.00         -21.25           10         34.50         2.00         15.00         37.97           21         58.00         14.00         15.00         -50.16           25         16         90.00         73.33         46.33         100.00         28.27         35.89           26         16         60.00         65.67         58.00         89.00         36.52         43.95           10         38.50  | 21 | 16 | 80.00  | 58.00 | 44.33 | 100.00                     | 28.30 | 56.76  |
| 21         82.00         100.00 (214 points)         59.78         49.14           22         16         100.00         59.00         44.25         100.00         60.59         73.74           10         27.00         53.00         73.08         -20.14           21         86.00         100.00         84.98         59.26           23         16         70.00         80.00         94.00         100.00 (249 points)         46.73         68.79           10         71.50         100.00         289 points)         70.93         59.26           24         16         40.00         67.00         74.00         13.00         15.00         -21.25           10         34.50         2.00         15.00         37.97           21         58.00         14.00         15.00         -50.16           25         16         90.00         73.33         46.33         100.00         28.27         35.89           26         16         60.00         65.67         58.00         89.00         36.52         43.95           10         38.50         47.00         26.34         -8.14           21         77.50         100.00  |    | 10 |        |       | 46.50 | 17.00                      | 32.04 | 20.88  |
| 22         16         100.00         59.00         44.25         100.00         60.59         73.74           10         27.00         53.00         73.08         -20.14           21         86.00         100.00         84.98         59.26           23         16         70.00         80.00         94.00         100.00         (249 points)         46.73         68.79           10         71.50         100.00         (289 points)         70.93         59.26           24         16         40.00         67.00         74.00         13.00         15.00         -21.25           10         34.50         2.00         15.00         -50.16         55.00         -50.16           25         16         90.00         73.33         46.33         100.00         28.27         35.89           26         16         60.00         65.67         58.00         89.00         36.52         43.95           10         73.50         100.00         28.27         35.89         26         16         60.00         65.67         58.00         89.00         36.52         43.95           10         38.50         47.00         26.34                                  |    | 21 |        |       | 82.00 | <b>100.00</b> (214 points) | 59.78 | 49.14  |
| 10         27.00         53.00         73.08         -20.14           21         86.00         100.00         84.98         59.26           23         16         70.00         80.00         94.00         100.00 (249 points)         46.73         68.79           10         71.50         100.00         (249 points)         46.45         -56.65           21         97.50         100.00         (289 points)         70.93         59.26           24         16         40.00         67.00         74.00         13.00         15.00         -21.25           10         34.50         2.00         15.00         37.97           21         58.00         14.00         15.00         -50.16           25         16         90.00         73.33         46.33         100.00         28.27         35.89           26         16         60.00         65.67         58.00         89.00         36.52         43.95           10         73.50         100.00         24.64         35.40           27         16         40.00         77.33         67.00         94.00         18.27         38.70           21         55.5         <                                     | 22 | 16 | 100.00 | 59.00 | 44.25 | 100.00                     | 60.59 | 73.74  |
| 21         86.00         100.00         84.98         59.26           23         16         70.00         80.00         94.00         100.00 (249 points)         46.73         68.79           10         71.50         100.00         (249 points)         46.45         -56.65           21         97.50         100.00 (289 points)         70.93         59.26           24         16         40.00         67.00         74.00         13.00         15.00         -21.25           10         34.50         2.00         15.00         37.97           21         58.00         14.00         15.00         -50.16           25         16         90.00         73.33         46.33         100.00         28.27         35.89           10         38.00         12.00         56.04         22.70         21.3         73.50         100.00         28.27         35.89           10         78.50         100.00         28.27         35.89         36.52         43.95           10         75.50         100.00         26.34         -8.14         21         75.50         100.00         24.64         35.40           27         16         40.00                        |    | 10 |        |       | 27.00 | 53.00                      | 73.08 | -20.14 |
| 23       16       70.00       80.00       94.00       100.00 (249 points)       46.73       68.79         10       71.50       100.00       46.45       -56.65         21       97.50       100.00 (289 points)       70.93       59.26         24       16       40.00       67.00       74.00       13.00       15.00       -21.25         10       34.50       2.00       15.00       37.97         21       58.00       14.00       15.00       -50.16         25       16       90.00       73.33       46.33       100.00       28.27       35.89         26       16       60.00       65.67       58.00       89.00       36.52       43.95         10       38.50       47.00       26.34       -8.14         21       77.50       100.00       24.64       35.40         27       16       40.00       77.33       67.00       94.00       18.27       38.70         10       26.00       48.00       16.28       -7.80       21       35.5       0.10       19.67       -61.49         28       16       70       80.33       42.00       11.00       17.27   |    | 21 |        |       | 86.00 | 100.00                     | 84.98 | 59.26  |
| 10       71.50       100.00       46.45       -56.65         21       97.50       100.00 (289 points)       70.93       59.26         24       16       40.00       67.00       74.00       13.00       15.00       -21.25         10       34.50       2.00       15.00       37.97         21       58.00       14.00       15.00       -50.16         25       16       90.00       73.33       46.33       100.00       32.60       69.49         10       38.00       12.00       56.04       22.70         21       73.50       100.00       28.27       35.89         26       16       60.00       65.67       58.00       89.00       36.52       43.95         10       38.50       47.00       26.34       -8.14         21       77.50       100.00       24.64       35.40         27       16       40.00       77.33       67.00       94.00       18.27       38.70         10       26.00       48.00       16.28       -7.80       21       55.5       0.10       19.67       -61.49         28       16       70       80.33       42.00<   | 23 | 16 | 70.00  | 80.00 | 94.00 | <b>100.00</b> (249 points) | 46.73 | 68.79  |
| 21       97.50       100.00 (289 points)       70.93       59.26         24       16       40.00       67.00       74.00       13.00       15.00       -21.25         10       34.50       2.00       15.00       37.97         21       58.00       14.00       15.00       -50.16         25       16       90.00       73.33       46.33       100.00       32.60       69.49         10       38.00       12.00       56.04       22.70         21       73.50       100.00       28.27       35.89         26       16       60.00       65.67       58.00       89.00       36.52       43.95         10       38.50       47.00       26.34       -8.14         21       77.50       100.00       24.64       35.40         27       16       40.00       77.33       67.00       94.00       18.27       38.70         20       21       55.5       0.10       19.67       -61.49         28       16       70       80.33       42.00       11.00       17.27       -3.93         10       27.00       72.00       72.00       73.62       17.71 <th></th> <th>10</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>71.50</th> <th>100.00</th> <th>46.45</th> <th>-56.65</th>                            |    | 10 |        |       | 71.50 | 100.00                     | 46.45 | -56.65 |
| 24       16       40.00       67.00       74.00       13.00       15.00       -21.25         10       34.50       2.00       15.00       37.97         21       58.00       14.00       15.00       -50.16         25       16       90.00       73.33       46.33       100.00       32.60       69.49         10       38.00       12.00       56.04       22.70         21       73.50       100.00       28.27       35.89         26       16       60.00       65.67       58.00       89.00       36.52       43.95         10       38.50       47.00       26.34       -8.14         21       77.50       100.00       24.64       35.40         27       16       40.00       77.33       67.00       94.00       18.27       38.70         10       26.00       48.00       16.28       -7.80         21       55.5       0.10       19.67       -61.49         28       16       70       80.33       42.00       11.00       17.27       -3.93         10       27.00       72.00       72.00       73.62       17.71   |    | 21 |        |       | 97.50 | 100.00 (289 points)        | 70.93 | 59.26  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 24 | 16 | 40.00  | 67.00 | 74.00 | 13.00                      | 15.00 | -21.25 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |    | 10 |        |       | 34.50 | 2.00                       | 15.00 | 37.97  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |    | 21 |        |       | 58.00 | 14.00                      | 15.00 | -50.16 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 25 | 16 | 90.00  | 73.33 | 46.33 | 100.00                     | 32.60 | 69.49  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |    | 10 |        |       | 38.00 | 12.00                      | 56.04 | 22.70  |
| 26       16       60.00       65.67       58.00       89.00       36.52       43.95         10       38.50       47.00       26.34       -8.14         21       77.50       100.00       24.64       35.40         27       16       40.00       77.33       67.00       94.00       18.27       38.70         10       26.00       48.00       16.28       -7.80         21       55.5       0.10       19.67       -61.49         28       16       70       80.33       42.00       11.00       17.27       -3.93         10       47.50       0.10       29.88       37.88         21       27.00       72.00       73.62       17.71  |    | 21 |        |       | 73.50 | 100.00                     | 28.27 | 35.89  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 26 | 16 | 60.00  | 65.67 | 58.00 | 89.00                      | 36.52 | 43.95  |
| 21       77.50       100.00       24.64       35.40         27       16       40.00       77.33       67.00       94.00       18.27       38.70         10       26.00       48.00       16.28       -7.80         21       55.5       0.10       19.67       -61.49         28       16       70       80.33       42.00       11.00       17.27       -3.93         10       47.50       0.10       29.88       37.88         21       27.00       72.00       73.62       17.71   |    | 10 |        |       | 38.50 | 47.00                      | 26.34 | -8.14  |
| 27       16       40.00       77.33       67.00       94.00       18.27       38.70         10       26.00       48.00       16.28       -7.80         21       55.5       0.10       19.67       -61.49         28       16       70       80.33       42.00       11.00       17.27       -3.93         10       47.50       0.10       29.88       37.88         21       27.00       72.00       73.62       17.71   |    | 21 |        |       | 77.50 | 100.00                     | 24.64 | 35.40  |
| 10       26.00       48.00       16.28       -7.80         21       55.5       0.10       19.67       -61.49         28       16       70       80.33       42.00       11.00       17.27       -3.93         10       47.50       0.10       29.88       37.88         21       27.00       72.00       73.62       17.71   | 27 | 16 | 40.00  | 77.33 | 67.00 | 94.00                      | 18.27 | 38.70  |
| 21       55.5       0.10       19.67       -61.49         28       16       70       80.33       42.00       11.00       17.27       -3.93         10       47.50       0.10       29.88       37.88         21       27.00       72.00       73.62       17.71  |    | 10 |        |       | 26.00 | 48.00                      | 16.28 | -7.80  |
| 28         16         70         80.33         42.00         11.00         17.27         -3.93           10         47.50         0.10         29.88         37.88           21         27.00         72.00         73.62         17.71  |    | 21 |        |       | 55.5  | 0.10                       | 19.67 | -61.49 |
| 1047.500.1029.8837.882127.0072.0073.6217.71  | 28 | 16 | 70     | 80.33 | 42.00 | 11.00                      | 17.27 | -3.93  |
| 21 27.00 72.00 73.62 17.71   |    | 10 |        |       | 47.50 | 0.10                       | 29.88 | 37.88  |
|  |    | 21 |        |       | 27.00 | 72.00                      | 73.62 | 17.71  |

## 2392 Chapter 5

# Social dominance across thermally variable conditions is predicted by high metabolic performance in a bidirectional hermaphroditic fish

2396

#### 2397

2399

## 2398 **5.1 Introduction**

The coupled effects of climate change and unsustainable fishing practices act to degrade marine 2400 habitats and alter the competitive interactions for finite resources within reef assemblages and 2401 amongst members of fish social groups (Munday et al. 2008; Biro et al. 2009, Biro et al. 2010; 2402 Seebacher et al. 2013; Wong & Buston 2013; Wong & Candolin et al. 2015; Warren et al. 2403 2016; Killen et al. 2017; Warren and McCormick 2019). The ability of fishes to capture and 2404 compete for resources in an increasingly variable thermal climate will be dependent on the 2405 plasticity of their metabolic and social behavioural traits, such as activity, aggression and 2406 boldness (Biro et al. 2010; Wong & Buston 2013; Wong & Candolin et al. 2015; Warren et al. 2407 2016; Pruitt et al. 2018). For example, moderate increases in temperature can raise metabolic 2408 2409 rate and increase aggressive competition for food or mates amongst conspecifics by two to six-2410 fold (Biro et al. 2009; Biro et al. 2010, Warren et al. 2016, Warren and McCormick 2019). This may have a considerable impact on competitive dominance hierarchies of fishes. 2411

2412

2413 Dominance hierarchies are thought to have evolved due to resource competition between individuals with asymmetrical physiological (i.e. high vs low performer) and behavioural traits 2414 2415 (i.e. bold aggressive, proactive stress recovery vs timid and reactive to stressors) (Härdling et al. 2001; Warren and McCormick 2019). The reason that phenotypic variation is maintained 2416 amongst shoal mates is due to the social benefits that individuals with consistent differences in 2417 physiological and behavioural traits provide (Nadler et al. 2016; Killen et al. 2017; Krause and 2418 Seebacher 2018). Individuals with the capability of seeking out preferable environments and 2419 resources often occupy leadership positions in shoals. High performance individuals leading a 2420 2421 shoal may provide hydrodynamic benefits (i.e. using fewer tail beats to maintain speed) for fish with low aerobic capacity at the rear of the shoal. These leaders ultimately reduce group 2422 2423 metabolic locomotory costs for exploration and dispersal (Seebacher et al. 2013; Marras et al. 2015; Nadler et al. 2016; Killen et al. 2017; Huang et al. 2020; Rodriguez Santiago 2020). In 2424 contrast, subordinates at the rear of the shoal maintain group consensus behaviour and provide 2425

a buffer against predators (Day et al. 2001; Nadler et al. 2016). Group movement plays an
important role in leadership and consensus behaviour, however these social aspects may be
sensitive to environmental change (Jolles et al. 2017; Killen et al. 2021).

2429

2430 The sentivity of social groups to environmental variability depends on the type of social hierarchy (Barrett et al. 2019; Hasenjager et al. 2020; Piefke et al. 2021). Linear dominance 2431 2432 hierarchies are the most common and are established as a large individual aggressively dominates over subordinates through competitive interactions for resources (Gurnery and 2433 2434 Nisbet 1979; Bessa et al. 2021). Here, the mechanism driving dominance can be caused by the greater energetic requirements of a large body size or sex-related, where dominance would 2435 2436 allow access to mates in the case of a harem hierarchical system (Day et al. 2001; Nadler et al. 2016). The ability to compete for resources is dependent on physiological sensory perception 2437 of the resource and the aerobic performance to engage in energetically-costly aggressive 2438 interactions (Seebacher et al. 2013; Killen et al. 2017, 2021). However, changing 2439 environmental conditions may amplify differences in metabolic costs amongst shoal mates, 2440 where differences in aerobic performance may determine when certain individuals no longer 2441 2442 benefit from remaining in the social group (Killen et al. 2017, 2021).

2443

Thermal variability can exacerbate the energetic cost of activity unevenly amongst individuals 2444 2445 (Chapter 3). Physiological traits are thermally sensitive and the extra metabolic costs imposed by changing environmental conditions can influence the capacity to express competitive 2446 2447 behaviours (Härdling et al. 2001; Nadler et al. 2016, Killen et al. 2017, 2021). This may impact the competitive encounters and dominant positions of individuals within the social hierarchy 2448 2449 of fishes, as individuals with physiological and behavioural phenotypes that are competitive 2450 across thermally variable conditions will be dominant (Fausch 1984; Härdling et al. 2001; Biro 2451 et al. 2009, Biro et al. 2010; Warren et al. 2016; Neubauer and Anderson 2019; Warren and McCormick 2019). These differences in individual physiological performance can affect social 2452 group behaviour by altering the rank order of dominance hierarchies under thermal variability 2453 (as reviewed by Killen et al. 2021). For example, although individuals with low physiological 2454 2455 performance may dominate a social hierarchy under optimal environmental conditions, they may be physiologically constrained from occupying dominance positions across changing 2456 conditions, which may induce a change in the rank order of the social hierarchy (Killen et al. 2457 2017, 2021). Shifts in the rank order of the dominant fish in the social hierarchy may influence 2458 group level success if predator avoidance by the group is influenced by a leader (i.e. a leader 2459

with a broad aerobic scope has rapid swimming speeds and the stamina to lead the group away
from predators; Killen et al. 2017, 2021). In a highly variable environment, group leaders that
ascend to dominance ranks will require the metabolic capacity to sustain high rates of
locomotor performance and risk behaviour at shoal frontal positions, to influence group
movement and foraging benefits (Jolles et al. 2017; Seebacher and Krause 2017; Killen et al.
2017).

2466

Rapid rates of anthropogenic environmental change and exploitation are predicted to cause 2467 2468 instability in social structures and the splitting of social groups (Jolles et al. 2017; Killen et al. 2021). Under these conditions, dominant fish may move to a new optimal environment (or be 2469 replaced by a high performer that can relocate), and subordinates follow the group leader or 2470 2471 split from the group. For example, subordinates with a narrow range of aerobic performance may be physiologically constrained from remaining in the group (i.e. these individuals may not 2472 be able to match the average swimming speeds of the group or may be unable to cross thermal 2473 barriers outside of their optimum range). This lack of consensus behaviour in the social group 2474 may result in the formation of homogeneous groups with individuals of similar physiological 2475 and behavioural phenotypic traits (Killen et al. 2017, 2021). 2476

2477 The transfer of individuals between social groups may enhance the spread of diseases in homogenous stress-compromised low performance groups, which lack the energetic capacity 2478 2479 to match their behaviour to rapidly changing thermal conditions without the presence of high 2480 performers (Krause and Seebacher 2018; Sosna et al. 2019; Warren and McCormick 2019). 2481 However, if high-performers conform to the physiological and environmental niche requirements of low performance group members to keep the social group intact, then the entire 2482 social group will be limited in their response to environmental change (Killen et al. 2017, 2483 2484 2021).

Dominance hierarchies are an important factor for population stability (i.e. influencing rates of predation and the transfer of information amongst individuals; Barrett et al. 2019; Hasenjager et al. 2020; Piefke et al. 2021) and the maintenance of the social hierarchy is critical for the survival of social species. This is particularly important in the anthropocene where fishing pressure may alter social dominance by changing the proportions of physiological (Duncan et al. 2019) and behavioural (Alos et al. 2012) phenotypes within fished populations.

2492 Thus, understanding the effect of thermal variability on individual performance will provide insight as to how the hierarchical structure of dominance hierarchies may change under thermal 2493 2494 variability, and how this will affect the vulnerability of fishes with dominance hierarchies in a 2495 changing climate (Warren and McCormick 2019). Thermally-induced changes to social 2496 competitive interactions have been measured in freshwater (De Staso and Rahel 1994; Taniguchi et al. 1998; Reese and Harvey 2002) and tropical marine systems (Pruitt et al. 2018; 2497 2498 Warren and McCormick 2019). These studies found that competitive interactions increased with increases in temperature. However, this is the first study to assess how changes to social 2499 2500 structure may be related to individual aerobic scope and behavioural phenotype in a temperate 2501 species experiencing extreme short-term thermal variability.

2502

2503 Chrysoblephus laticeps is the ideal model species to measure changes in competitive and 2504 hierarchical structure under climate change, owing to their highly resident nature, life history 2505 traits, behavioural traits and complex hierarchical social structure (Kerwath et al. 2007; Götz et al. 2008). C. laticeps has a small home range (Kerwath et al. 2007) and lives in hierarchically 2506 2507 structured social groups in temperate coastal waters that experience frequent thermal variability (Brander 2010). Here, the species resides in hierarchically structured social groups, where the 2508 2509 largest dominant male will change sex (when between 27-30cm in length) and dominate over a harem of females (Götz et al. 2008). This bidirectional hermaphroditic nature can make the 2510 2511 species particularly susceptible to changes in social structure. For example, upon removal of 2512 the dominant male, aggressive interactions will increase amongst the largest remaining females to vie for the dominant position (Kerwath et al. 2007). Such a scenario is likely as fishing 2513 pressures and thermal variability can exert synergistic pressures on the hierarchical social 2514 structure of this highly resident and socially-dependent species. 2515

2516

The aim of this chapter is to assess how social competition for resources is influenced by individual physiological and behavioural phenotype in *C. laticeps*, and whether this will drive the restructuring of hierarchical dominance ranks by phenotype under thermal variability. To do this, high performers, intermediate performers and low performers (identified in Chapter 3 and 4), were subjected to sized-matched duels across a thermal gradient. It is hypothesised that bold individuals are likely to outcompete timid individuals, and that only the bold high aerobic performers will sustain their competitive dominance across changing thermal conditions.

#### 2525 **5.2 Methods**

#### 2526 5.2.1 Pre-contest isolation and duel allocation

Prior to duel contests, 24 individuals (eight high performers, 12 intermediate performers and four low performers) were each housed in their own 1000 L circular tank (1050 mm diameter x 1200 mm height) to reduce the possibility of pre-established hierarchy effects (Killen et al. 2014; Warren and McCormick 2019). After one week of acclimation at 16 °C, individuals were fasted for a 24-hour period before two individuals were simultaneously relocated to an empty 1000 L circular tank for the duel.

To determine how behavioural and physiological phenotypes may influence competitive 2533 interactions across variable temperatures, similar-sized individuals, previously categorised by 2534 2535 physiological and behavioural phenotype (i.e. bold with high aerobic performance (HP), bold 2536 with intermediate (IP) to low (LP) aerobic performance, timid with intermediate-low aerobic performance, timid with high aerobic performance; see Chapter 4) were selected for each duel. 2537 The order of duels was randomized and contests between a pair of individuals were staged 2538 consecutively (Table C1 Appendix C). Between 28 and 33 paired duels (five bold HP vs bold 2539 IP, two bold HP vs timid LP, eight bold IP vs timid IP, two bold IP vs timid LP, two timid HP 2540 vs timid IP, seven timid IP vs timid IP, one timid IP vs timid LP, one bold IP vs bold IP) were 2541 2542 conducted for each temperature treatment (see Table C1 in Appendix C).

2543

#### 2544 5.2.2 Competitive feeding duels

All duels were staged in daylight hours between 07:00 and 17:00. For each duel, each 2545 2546 competitor was placed on either side of a removable opaque plastic divider within an opaque 1000 L circular duel tank (1050 mm diameter x 1200 mm height) mounted with a video camera 2547 to record the duel (Sports Cam 1080 Pixel). Following removal of the barrier, competitive 2548 interactions were recorded for a 10-minute period and later analysed. Fish were habituated to 2549 the duel arena for 2-5 minutes prior to removing the divider with minimal disturbance. The 2550 2551 tank divider was kept on standby so that the experimenter could intervene in the case of severe aggression (Warren and McCormick 2019). One food item (i.e. a 1 cm by 1cm piece of squid, 2552 2553 *Loligo* spp.) was placed at the centre of the tank to stimulate aggressive competition for food and space and this was replaced once eaten (see the tank setup in Figure 5.1). 2554

Duels were staged at optimal temperatures for the species (16 °C). After duels at optimal temperatures, fish were removed from the duel tank, placed in their holding tank and the

- temperature was adjusted by 1 °C per hour to either 10 °C or 21 °C (1 day). Fish were then acclimated at the new test temperature for two days before the duel experiments were repeated at 10 °C and 21 °C. The three-day period between duels was to reduce possible winner-loser effects (as per the methods of Colleter and Brown 2011; Poulos and McCormick 2014; Warren and McCormick 2019). Individuals were euthanized at the end of the duel experiments for a full biological examination (i.e. otolith removal, sexing and gonad staging).
- 2563
- 2564



- 2566 Figure 5.1: Paired duel competitive contest for dominance in two male red roman,
- 2567 Chrysoblephus laticeps

#### 2568 5.2.3 Scoring of feeding duels

#### 2569 5.2.3.1 Aggressive interactions

Aggressive behaviours are a good indicator of a duel outcome and hence were used as a proxy 2570 for competitive performance (as per the methods of Colleter and Brown 2011; McCormick and 2571 Weaver 2012). The duel initiator was given a bonus of ten points, and each competitor received 2572 points for each consecutive aggressive behaviour towards its opponent. Four aggressive 2573 2574 behaviours typical of the species were quantified (based on observations and the literature; 2575 Götz et al. 2008), and the points given for each behaviour were ranked from the most to least aggressive response, namely; (i) attacks, defined as a chase and biting of the opponent (ii) head 2576 2577 flare, defined as flaring of the gill operculum, with or without displaying of teeth, (*iii*) charging in the direction of the opponent, and (iv) body or lateral fin flare in the direction of the opponent 2578 2579 (Table 5.1). For each duel, each competitor was scored based on aggressive behaviours as well 2580 as feeding, which was used to stimulate aggressive encounters, and each fish's proximity to the 2581 food source.

#### 2582

#### 2583 5.2.3.2 Feeding and tank position in proximity to the food source

The first competitor to feed in each duel was given a bonus of five points. Each competitor 2584 2585 received a point for each consecutive food item eaten, and points were given to each competitor 2586 based on proximity to the food source for the duration of the duel (as per the methods of Sloman et al. 2001). To measure proximity to the food source, TOXTRAC video analysis (Rodriguez 2587 et al. 2017, 2018) was used to create a digitized transect overlay of the duel arena and to divide 2588 the tank into three distinct zones. Zone one was a 30 cm radius directly around the food source 2589 2590 in the tank centre, zone two was a 60 cm radius around the food source, and zone three comprised the outer surrounding area to the tank wall (Castanheira et al. 2013). The latency 2591 2592 time (seconds) for each competitor to enter the inner radius directly surrounding the food 2593 source was measured. TOXTRAC was used to calculate the proportion of time that each competitor spent in each of the three zones. The time spent in each zone was multiplied by a 2594 2595 weighted score (i.e. where the individual received higher scores for time spent in zones of close 2596 proximity to the novel object, relative to the zones furthest from the novel object) and added together to produce a percentage score of tank dominance (see Table 5.1 for calculation of the 2597 weighted score). 2598

Table 5.1: Calculation of individual dominance scores for the winner and loser of each competitive feeding duel, where aggression, feeding and proximity to the food source were used to measure the extent that each competitor participated in the feeding duel. Duels were repeated in size-matched pairs of competitors across a thermal gradient (i.e. temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C and 21 °C).

2604

| Behaviour                    | Description   | Point |
|------------------------------|---|-------|
| Aggressive interaction       |   |       |
| Attack or bite               | In contact with body of competitor  |       |
| Head display                 | Fish displays gill  |       |
|                              | flare towards   |       |
|                              | competitor  |       |
| Charge                       | Swims in direction of competitor  | 3     |
| Head or lateral display      | Fish swims laterally alongside competitor with fins extended  | 2     |
| Hide or flee                 | Fish avoids competitor  | 1     |
| Initiator of duel            | * Additional 10 % given to duel initiator, additional 5 % given for initiating the duel within 30 seconds | 1     |
|                              | TOTAL converted to percentage /100  | 0     |
| Feeding                      |   |       |
| First to eat first food item |   | 5     |
| Piece eaten                  | Additional point for each piece eaten   | 1     |
|                              | TOTAL (x6) converted to percentage /100   |       |

| <u>Tank dominance</u> (i.e. time spent<br>in proximity to food source) | The time spent in each zone was multiplied by a weighted score and added together to produce a percentage score of tank dominance |                |  |  |
|--|---|----------------|--|--|
| Zone 1   | 30 cm radius around food source (centre)  |                |  |  |
| Zone 2   | 60 cm radius around food source   | Weighted score |  |  |
| Zone 3   | Outer zone to tank wall   | 100 % *        |  |  |
|  | * An additional 10 % was given for immediately entering zone 1.   | 85 %           |  |  |
|  | * An additional 5 % was given for entering zone 1 within a minute.  | 15 %           |  |  |
|  | TOTAL maximum /100  |                |  |  |

#### 2605 5.2.5 Statistical analysis

# 5.2.5.1 PCA generated composite behavioural score to determine the winner and loser of each duel

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to generate a single principal component score (from each of the behavioural assay scores - aggressive behaviour, tank position and feeding)

2610 reflecting the degree of dominance in which an individual was willing to engage in the
competitive feeding duel. Each individual received a maximum of 100 points for aggressive behaviour (note that a few outliers received aggression scores greater than 100, however these points were cut off at 100 to prevent the data set from being skewed by aggression scores), 100 points for tank position in proximity to the food source and 100 points for feeding (see how points were given in Table 5.1). These scores were converted to percentages. High scores for aggression, feeding and tank dominance (i.e. in proximity to the food source) were positively correlated (via Spearman's rank correlation) and interpreted as dominance of the feeding duel.

2619 For each temperature treatment, each individual received a dominance score by combining the total scores for food intake, tank position and aggressive interactions in each duel as variables 2620 using a PCA (as per the methods of Sloman et al. 2000) with the package 'Vegan' in R version 2621 3.3.3 (R Core Team 2017). Each behavioural assay percentage score was arcsine transformed 2622 for input into the PCA. High scores for aggression, feeding and tank position loaded positively 2623 onto PC1, while low scores loaded positively onto PC2. Hence, high composite scores (see the 2624 composite scores in Table C1 of Appendix C) generated from PC1 were interpreted as high 2625 levels of dominance. For each treatment, the individual with the higher dominance score was 2626 2627 deemed dominant and the contest winner for that pair, while the individual with the lower 2628 composite dominance score was deemed the loser of the contest.

- 2629
- 2630

#### **5.2.5.2**. *Dominance scores across temperatures*

2631

2632 To assess how dual scores for each behavioural metric (i.e. aggression, feeding and tank 2633 dominance) varied among phenotype groups (i.e. bold high performers, bold intermediate 2634 performer, timid high and intermediate performers and timid and bold low performers) and 2635 temperature, the percentage behaviour score calculated for each fish belonging to each phenotype group was averaged for each temperature and presented graphically in bar plots. To 2636 quantitively assess how dominance scores varied across a thermal gradient, the PCA generated 2637 single composite behavioural score (see section 5.2.5.1), calculated for each fish (Table C1 2638 Appendix C) was modelled against each temperature treatment (10, 16 and 21 °C). A non-2639 linear mixed effects modelling approach was implemented using the *nlme* package (Pinheiro 2640 et al. 2017) to account for heteroscedasticity in the composite dominance scores. Model 2641 assumptions were checked using diagnostic plots (Bolker et al. 2009; Zuur 2009). Log-2642 transformed dominance scores were modelled against phenotype group (i.e. bold high 2643 performer, bold intermediate performer, timid high and intermediate performers and timid and 2644

2645 bold low performers) as an interaction term with temperature. Fish sex (i.e. as a factor for the group; male, female or intersex) and mass (i.e. as a factor for the group; >1000 grams, or <1000 2646 2647 g to 700 g, or <700g) were also included in the model as fixed effects. Phenotypic groups with 2648 only one individual were pooled with the closest phenotypic group (e.g., the single bold LP 2649 individual was pooled with bold intermediate performers for comparison with timid low performers). The model included fish ID as a random effect to account for repeated measures 2650 2651 in each fish (Harrison et al. 2018). Since sex (p = 0.0.808, t = -0.243, df = 31) and mass (p = 0.0.808, t = -0.243, df = 31)0.446, t = 0.787, df = 31) were not significant variables in the initial full model, they were 2652 removed from the analyses. Post-hoc analyses were conducted using the R package, Ismeans 2653 (Lenth et al. 2017). All modelling was conducted in R version 3.3.3 (R Core Team 2017) 2654

2655

# 5.2.5.3 Assessing the probability of wins by each phenotype groups with changes in temperature

2658

2659 To examine the probability of the different phenotype groups winning across temperatures, a binomial mixed effects modelling approach was implemented using the glmmTMB package 2660 with the "binomial" family and link "logit" (Brookes et aal. 2017) in R version 3.3.3 (R Core 2661 2662 Team 2017). The model was checked using diagnostic plots (Bolker et al. 2009; Zuur 2009). The probability of the different phenotype groups winning across temperatures was tested by 2663 modelling a second order polynomial relationship of temperature as a predictor of win 2664 probability (i.e. a binomial response variable, where; 1 = win, 0 = lose). Here, the behavioural 2665 and physiological phenotype combination (i.e. bold high performer, bold intermediate 2666 performer, timid high and intermediate performers and timid and bold low performers) was 2667 added as a fixed effect. Phenotypic groups with only one individual were pooled with the 2668 closest phenotypic group (e.g., the single bold LP individual was pooled with bold intermediate 2669 2670 performers for comparison with timid low performers). Sex (i.e. as a factor for the group; male, female or intersex) and mass (i.e. as a factor for the group; >1000 grams, or <1000 g to 700 g, 2671 2672 or <700g) were also included as fixed effects in the model. Fish ID (i.e. individual one to 24) was included as a random effect to account for repeated measures in each fish (Harrison et al. 2673 2674 2018).

- 2675
- 2676
- 2677 **5.3 Results**
- 2678

2679 5.3.1 Dominance scores

2680

The percentage scores for aggression and feeding ranged between 0.1 % and 100.0 % at each test temperature. However, the percentage scores for tank position in proximity to the food source ranged between 15.0 % and 100.0 % at each test temperature (scores for individuals are provided in Table C1 of Appendix C).

2685

High percentage scores for aggression, feeding and tank position in proximity to the food 2686 source were positively correlated (r 0.7; p <0.05, t = 2.277, df = 24; Spearman's rank 2687 correlation) and hence these behavioural assays were combined into a composite dominance 2688 score for each individual in each thermal context. Principal component 1 (i.e. PC1) explained 2689 90.21 % of the variation (eigenvalue = 2301.72) in behaviour, while PC2 explained 13.04 % 2690 (eigenvalue = 863.05) of the variation in behaviour (Table 5.2). High dominance scores for 2691 aggression, feeding and tank dominance loaded positively onto PC1, while low scores loaded 2692 positively onto PC2 (Figure 5.2). 2693

2694

Table 5.2: Results of the principal component analyses used to determine individual dominance scores of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* participating in competitive feeding duels across a thermal gradient (i.e. temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C). Correlation loadings are between PC1 (for each temperature trial) and between behavioural variables: 1) aggression, 2) tank position in proximity to the food source and 3) feeding.

| Principal | %        |             | Aggression | Tank position | Feeding |
|-----------|----------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------|
| component | variance |             |            |               |         |
| PC1 16 °C | 90.21    | Loadings    | 0.370      | 0.442         | -0.021  |
|           |          | Correlation | 0.485      | 0.902         | 0.485   |
| PC1 10 °C | 87.96    | Loadings    | 0.555      | 0.277         | 0.155   |
|           |          | Correlation | 0.640      | 0.881         | 0.241   |
| PC1 21 °C | 92.81    | Loadings    | 0.554      | 0.277         | 0.155   |
|           |          | Correlation | 0.723      | 0.930         | 0.205   |



2702

Figure 5.2: Results of the principal component analyses used to determine individual dominance scores of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* participating in paired competitive feeding duels across a thermal gradient (i.e. temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C). Correlation loadings are between PC1 (for each temperature trial) and between behavioural variables: 1) aggression, 2) tank position in proximity to the food source and 3) feeding. High scores for aggression, tank postion in proximity to the food source and feeding were positively correlated.

2710 2711

### 2712 **5.3.2 Dominance scores across temperature**

Both bold high performers (Bold HP) and bold intermediate performers (Bold IP) had the 2713 highest levels of aggression, feeding and tank dominance (Figure 5.3). High performers and 2714 intermediate performers were generally able to maintain these traits associated with dominance 2715 at all temperatures, although there were differences between high performers and intermediate 2716 performers in the expression of these traits (Figure 5.3). Bold high performers appeared to 2717 reduce aggressive behaviours at the high temperature of 21 °C, whereas bold intermediate 2718 performers increased aggression at this temperature (Figure 5.3). In contrast, both bold and 2719 timid low performers (LP) exhibited the lowest levels of these traits associated with dominance 2720 (i.e. aggression, feeding and tank dominance) at 21 °C (Figure 5.3). At cold temperatures (10 2721 °C), however, the results indicated that low performers had the greatest dominance when 2722 compared to the high-performance bold fish, which were not as active and were less dominant 2723 (Figure 5.3). 2724





Figure 5.3: Mean±SD aggression, feeding and tank dominance scores among phenotype groups
(bold high performers, bold intermediate performers, timid high and intermediate performers,
and timid and bold low performers) of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* participating in competitive feeding
duels across a thermal gradient (i.e. temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C).

Model results (Table 5.3) showed that Bold HP and Bold IP outperformed timid and bold low performers at optimal and high temperatures (p = 0.005, t = -2.871, df = 24). Low performers exhibited a significantly lower dominance score at both ambient (16 °C; p = 0.003, t = -1.250, df = 4) and elevated temperatures (21 °C; p = 0.021, t = -2.023, df = 4) when compared to other phenotypic groups (Figure 5.4). However, it was at low temperatures of 10 °C that bold HPs had similar performance to low performers (i.e. dominance scores did not statistically differ between these groups; p > 0.05; t = -1.258, df = 16).

2739

2740

2741

2742

2743

### Table 5.3: Mixed effects model results for the difference in dominance scores between phenotype group combinations (i.e. behavioural phenotype: bold *vs* timid; and physiological phenotype: high performer, intermediate performer, or low performer) of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* participating in paired competitive feeding duels across a thermal gradient (i.e. temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C).

2750

| Random effect        | Variance  | SD    |                 |                 |
|----------------------|-----------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Individual           | 2.130     | 0.54  |                 |                 |
|                      |           |       |                 |                 |
| Fixed effect         | Estimate  | SE    | <i>t</i> -value | <i>p</i> -value |
| Intercept            | 1.639     | 4.868 | 3.367           | 0.001           |
| Bold HP              | 2.179     | 2.628 | -2.221          | 0.043           |
| Bold IP              | 2.153     | 5.421 | -2.736          | 0.016           |
| Timid HP, IP         | 2.154     | 3.966 | -2.242          | 0.042           |
| Bold, Timid LP       | -1.139    | 4.516 | -3.977          | 0.001           |
| Bold HP: temp        | 10.899    | 3.657 | 2.503           | 0.013           |
| Bold IP: temp        | 14.994    | 3.121 | 3.522           | 0.001           |
| Timid HP, IP: temp   | 9.154     | 5.665 | 2.629           | 0.009           |
| Bold, Timid LP: temp | -14.712   | 3.154 | 4.664           | 0.001           |
| Temperature          | 2.266     | 2.249 | 1.186           | 0.179           |
|                      |           |       |                 |                 |
| AIC                  | 239.05    | -     |                 |                 |
| Residual SE          | 0.5404    |       |                 |                 |
|                      | (df = 31) |       |                 |                 |
|                      | I         |       |                 |                 |

2752

2753



2755

Figure 5.4: Model fit for the population level variance in dominance scores of phenotypic groups (i.e. bold high performers in red; bold intermediate performers in orange; timid high and intermediate performers in green; and timid and bold low performers in blue) of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* participating in competitive feeding duels across a thermal gradient (i.e. temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C).

# 2762 5.3.3 Probability of winning by each phenotypic group across a thermal gradient

Bold and timid low performers had the highest proportion of wins at 10 °C, bold intermediate 2763 and high performers had the highest proportion of wins at 16 °C, and bold intermediate 2764 performers had the highest proportion of wins at 21 °C (Figure 5.5). Bold high performers had 2765 a significantly higher probability of winning duel contests at optimal temperatures (p = 0.013, 2766 z = 1.982, df = 8), while bold intermediate performers had a significantly higher probability of 2767 2768 winning as temperatures increased (p = 0.013, z = 2.494, df = 12; Table 5.4). In contrast, the probability of winning by low performers was only significant at low temperatures (p = 0.011, 2769 2770 z = 2.532, df = 4; Table 5.4). Additionally, sex and mass were also significant influencers of the outcome of paired duels, where large males dominated over competitors with heightened 2771 aggressive behaviour (p = 0.020, df = 7; z = -2.326; Table 5.4). 2772

- 2773
- 2774
- 2775
- 2776
- 2777 2778

Table 5.4: The probability of winning by phenotype groups (i.e. behavioural phenotype: bold vs
timid; and physiological phenotype: high performer, intermediate performer, or low performer)
of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* participating in paired competitive feeding duels across a thermal
gradient (i.e. temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C).

| Random effect            | Variance         | SD   |         |                 |
|--------------------------|------------------|------|---------|-----------------|
| Individual               | 0.001            | 0.00 |         |                 |
| Fixed effect             | Estimate         | SE   | z-value | <i>p</i> -value |
| Intercept                | -0.804           | 2.29 | -0.351  | 0.726           |
| Temperature              | -0.004           | 0.31 | -0.014  | 0.989           |
| Temperature <sup>2</sup> | 0.104            | 2.09 | 0.050   | 0.960           |
| HP Bold                  | 0.510            | 0.65 | 0.789   | 0.430           |
| HP Timid                 | 1.283            | 1.21 | 1.144   | 0.253           |
| IP Bold                  | 1.561            | 0.63 | 2.494   | 0.013           |
| IP Timid                 | 1.025            | 0.62 | 1.656   | 0.098           |
| LP Timid                 | 0.191            | 0.01 | 1.461   | 0.144           |
| HP Bold: temp            | 0.380            | 0.19 | 1.982   | 0.047           |
| HP, IP Timid: temp       | 0.595            | 0.28 | 2.158   | 0.031           |
| IP Bold: temp            | 0.314            | 0.18 | 1.757   | 0.078           |
| LP bold, timid: temp     | 0.454            | 0.18 | 2.532   | 0.011           |
| Mass >1000 g             | 2.412            | 1.10 | 2.199   | 0.028           |
| Mass 1000 – 700 g        | -0.735           | 0.48 | -1.522  | 0.128           |
| Mass <700 g              | -0.501           | 0.52 | -0.967  | 0.334           |
| Male                     | -2.585           | 1.11 | -2.326  | 0.020           |
| Female                   | -0.261           | 0.39 | -0.677  | 0.499           |
|                          |                  |      |         |                 |
| AIC                      | 319.54           |      |         |                 |
| Residual SE              | 0.209            |      |         |                 |
|                          | ( <i>df</i> =19) |      |         |                 |
|                          |                  |      |         |                 |
|                          |                  |      |         |                 |
|                          | I                |      |         |                 |





Figure 5.5: The probability of winning for phenotypic groups (i.e. bold high performers in red;
bold intermediate performers in orange; timid high and intermediate performers in green; and
timid and bold low performers in blue) of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* participating in competitive
feeding duels across a thermal gradient (i.e. temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C).

# 2794 **5.4 Discussion**

2795

This study found that both physiological phenotype and behavioural phenotype are important 2796 in determining dominance in Chrysoblephus laticeps, with bold high and intermediate 2797 performers having the highest probability of winning dual contests at normal and warming 2798 temperatures. Both bold and timid low performers lost duels as temperatures increased, and as 2799 such, physiological phenotype may ultimately be more important than behavioural phenotype 2800 in determining dominance and competitive access to food resources as temperatures increase. 2801 There was less variation in the expression of physiological phenotypes between all individuals 2802 at cold temperatures. However, low performers were comparatively more dominant and just as 2803 2804 likely to win duels. These findings not only provide insight into the role of physiology and behaviour in the social structure of fishes, but also emphasize the importance of maintaining 2805 phenotype diversity in rapidly changing climates. 2806

2808 Rivas et al. (2020) and Bessa et al. (2021) suggested that changes to the ranking of dominance 2809 hierarchies in fish residing in thermally variable environments will primarily be facilitated by aerobic scope and body size. The findings of this study align with these suggestions and also 2810 with Killen et al (2014), who suggested that social dominance may be predicted by aerobic 2811 scope. Furthermore, both bold and shy high performers that occupied subdominant ranks have 2812 2813 the aerobic potential to adjust their behaviour to respond to thermal stress (Chapter 3 and 4). Hence, the largest male high performers are likely to be selected for upper dominance rank 2814 2815 positions, and may have the highest fitness potential through their energetic budget to sustain dominance over food, territory and mates across a thermal gradient (e.g. Härdling et al. 2001; 2816 Killen et al. 2021). 2817

2818

The increased dominance of low performers at the lowest test temperature was somewhat 2819 2820 surprising in this study and deserves consideration. It is important to emphasise that both bold and timid low performers had similar, or higher, physiological attributes at the lowest test 2821 temperature when compared with the intermediate and high performers (Chapter 3 and 4). This 2822 may be an adaptive strategy to allow them to obtain food resources as they are completely 2823 outcompeted at normal and high temperatures. As upwelling events are predicted to increase 2824 in frequency and intensity (Roualt et al. 2010, Szekeres et al. 2016; Bates et al. 2019), low 2825 performers may have a competitive advantage at low temperatures, but may experience very 2826 2827 high physiological costs at warmer temperatures in between upwelling events.

2828

2829 In the present study, high performers (HP) and intermediate performers (IPs) had the greatest proportion of wins. Owing to the similarities in performance between HPs and IPs, the latter 2830 may be motivated to compete for upper dominance positions at warm temperatures. Indeed, 2831 IPs had the greatest dominance at warm temperatures in this study at 21 °C, but appear to 2832 occupy subordinate positions at 16 °C. Increased competition for dominance is very likely at 2833 warm temperatures because the associated increase in aggressive interactions can destabilize 2834 the presiding social hierarchy (Leiser et al. 2004; Bessa et al. 2021; Dioguardi et al. 2021, 2835 2836 Killen et al. 2021). Here, IPs may be particularly motivated to compete for alpha dominance at high temperatures because subdominant positions can be the most disadvantageous position 2837 within the social hierarchy. This is because subdominant positions have considerable metabolic 2838

costs (i.e. increasing aggressive interactions to contend for dominance positions by raising RMR by 3.3 fold; Grantner and Taborsky 1998), without gaining the competitive access to resources and mates that are secured by the alpha dominant (Leiser et al. 2004; Bessa et al. 2021; Dioguardi et al. 2021, Killen et al. 2021). Given the similarities in performance between HPs and IPs, it is understandable that IPs were able to succeed at competing for dominance positions, particularly at high temperatures where the presiding social hierarchy is unstable.

2845

Thermal variability can be extensive enough to destabilize a social hierarchy by changing the rank order of performance phenotypes that determine dominance (Killen et al. 2021). Based on the findings of this study, there may be considerable changes to the social structure of *C. laticeps* in the rapidly changing thermal environment off the southern coast of South Africa. Warming and cooling are predicted to increase in frequency and intensity (Bates et al. 2019), particularly in dynamic upwelling zones where *C. laticeps* resides and this may have consequences for the social structure of the species.

2853

Warming temperature may raise the metabolic rates of group members, which will increase the 2854 2855 aggressive competitive interactions of individuals with broad aerobic scope (in agreement with Killen et al. 2014; Warren and McCormick 2019). This was observed in the present study. 2856 2857 Elevated temperatures may therefore increase the variation in the expression of physiological 2858 phenotype and individuals with the lowest aerobic scope will become more subordinate (i.e. ranked at the lowest subordinate position of the social hierarchy; Border et al. 2021; Miln et al. 2859 2021). Social defeat following the competition for resources can induce a reactive stress 2860 response accompanied by physiological changes of increased cortisol, lowered blood oxygen 2861 carrying capacity, lowered immune response, and reduced RNA synthesis to repair oxidative 2862 stress (Bessa et al. 2021; Wei et al. 2021; Zou et al. 2021). These competitive interactions for 2863 2864 dominance can exacerbate the effects of thermal stress on subordinates in an unstable 2865 hierarchy, which have a significantly higher number of stress hormones and reactive oxygen species than their counterparts in stable hierarchies (Dioguardi et al. 2021). 2866

2867

In contrast, there was low variation in individual performance and dominance at cooler temperatures of 10 °C in this study. This may suggest that cooler temperatures may increase group cohesion (i.e., as a function related of risk related to reductions in swimming ability). 2871 This conclusion was also reached by Killen et al. (2021) on their research on the effects of environmental gradients on physiological performance and social group behaviour. However, 2872 2873 severe declines in performance of the intermediate and high performers is likely as 2874 temperatures move away from ambient or warm temperatures during intense wind-driven 2875 upwelling events where temperatures can drop to 8 °C over several hours and cause cold shock 2876 (Goshen and Schumann 1995; Rouault et al. 2010; Bates et al. 2019; Duncan 2019). Such 2877 thermal extremes may further amplify differences in metabolic performance (Killen et al. 2014; Dioguardi et al. 2021). 2878

2879

2880 Based on these findings, there may be resource partitioning that is driven by physiology, as well as due to increasing upwelling. There is evidence that the high-intermediate performance 2881 2882 bold fish do not perform as well, are not as active and are less dominant at cold temperatures. Hence, with increased upwelling, it is possible that low performers may become more 2883 competitive with more opportunities for feeding. This is a key result in terms of resilience and 2884 maintenance of phenotypic diversity. However, it is bold high-intermediate performers that 2885 have the aerobic capacity and high rates of activity to sustain dominance at the warmer 2886 temperatures. These bold high performers are needed for the social group to respond to thermal 2887 variability (i.e. migratory species may rely on bold high performers to relocate the social group 2888 to thermally optimal species; Bessa et al. 2021, Killen et al. 2021; perhaps this may occur in 2889 2890 resident red roman during the settlement stage).

2891

This social instability can be accelerated through the direct removal of the dominant group 2892 2893 leader through angling (Louison et al. 2019). For example, Duncan et al. (2019) found that an 2894 exploited population of C. laticeps had fewer high performers, relative to a protected 2895 population, and this was attributed to the capture of the physiologically fittest fish by the fishery. The present study established the link between physiological performance and social 2896 dominance, particularly at high temperatures (where HPs and IPs dominated competitive 2897 interactions). This suggests that angling will likely remove dominant fish from the group, 2898 particularly at normal and elevated temperatures. Furthermore, as an example, an increase in 2899 aggressive interactions is particularly likely to occur when large individuals may contend for 2900 2901 the alpha position after the previous alpha male has been fished (Louison et al. 2019; Piefke et 2902 al. 2021). Once a dominance hierarchy becomes unstable (i.e. through an increase in aggressive

interactions contending for alpha dominance), physiological performance overtakes socialstatus in determining dominance rank (Border et al. 2021; Miln et al. 2021).

2905

Although angling may place additional stress on social hierarchical structure by selecting 2906 against bold personality traits and high-performance metabolic traits that are associated with 2907 dominance, the genetic potential of high performers can be conserved in nearby marine 2908 protected areas. Their offspring can then disperse into nearby fished areas to replace high 2909 performance phenotypes with the greatest fitness potential to respond to climate change (Ward 2910 et al. 2016). Without this mechanism, social groups may be without the hierarchical dominance 2911 of a bold high performer. This may have consequences for the social group as a bold high 2912 performing leader may facilitate the relocating able subordinates to suitable areas in response 2913 2914 to thermal change (Krause and Seebacher 2018; Sosna et al. 2019; Killen et al. 2021).

2915

This study found that low performers had the greatest decline in competitive behaviour and 2916 could not match the behaviour of group members across thermal conditions. Low performers 2917 lack the aerobic capacity to follow a high-performance leader across conditions where their 2918 performance may be further compromised. Without a high performance leader, low performers 2919 2920 may have reduced foraging opportunities and be limited in their response to climate change. Stress-compromised low performers may be limited to finite microrefugia along with other 2921 2922 homogenous shoal mates, with whom they are likely to experience increased competition owing to their shared high-energetic demands (Härdling et al. 2001; Killen et al. 2017; Warren 2923 2924 and McCormick 2019). In the context of climate-variability, low performers may fall away 2925 from social groups. Hence, the spatial protection of individuals with high performance traits associated with dominance is critical to prevent shifts in the rank dominance of phenotypic 2926 traits, which may alter the behavioural responses of a social group to climate change (Killen et 2927 al. 2017, 2021). 2928

2929

In conclusion, the results from this chapter emphasises the need to determine the effect of temperature on the individual physiological and behavioural phenotype of group members to predict how social hierarchies will change in response to thermal variability. Indeed, as temperatures become more variable, reef hierarchies may be restructured for dominance by high performers that are able to lead the social group across variable temperatures (Warren and 2935 McCormick 2019; Killen et al. 2021). In particular, this study highlights the importance of individual performance phenotype to predict the restructuring of dominance hierarchies in 2936 2937 territorial reef species facing climate change. This is because of the observed relationship 2938 between the physiology and behavioural phenotype of individuals. Experiments that combine 2939 individual physiological performance and behavioural assessments should be measured repeatedly in the same individual across an environmental gradient and repeated in a social 2940 2941 setting to predict the future climate success or vulnerability of reef fishes. Shifts in dominance will impact the ability of social groups to colonize new areas and should be combined with 2942 species-specific thermal tolerance data to predict future species distributions (Ward et al. 2018; 2943 Warren and McCormick 2019). Individual variability within populations, observed in this 2944 study, suggests that data on the relationship between dominance and temperature for multiple 2945 species would better illustrate how climate change may drive dominance shifts in the social 2946 networks of reef assemblages at particular geographic locations. Furthermore, the link between 2947 physiological performance and social dominance observed in this study suggests that the 2948 behavioural responses of fish social groups to climate change may be impacted by passive 2949 fisheries that select against high performance phenotypes associated with dominance (as has 2950 2951 been suggested by Duncan et al. 2019; Louison et al. 2019).

2952

2955 Appendix C

2956 Table C1: Total dominance scores (derived from the PCA) of *Chrysoblephus laticeps* individuals participating in paired competitive

2957 feeding duels across a thermal gradient (i.e. temperatures of 10 °C, 16 °C, 21 °C). Dominance scores were calculated via PCA by

collapsing the scores for aggression, tank position in proximity to the food source and feeding scores into a single principal component
 (PC1).

2960 \* Note: Duels numbers indicate paired duels for each temp treatment (not the order of duels conducted)

| Duel | Competitor<br>Fish ID | Performance<br>category | Behavioural<br>category | Fork<br>Length<br>(mm) | Sex      | Rank | Temperature<br>(°C) | Aggression<br>(%) | Tank<br>position<br>(%) | Feeding<br>(%) | Total<br>dominance<br>score<br>(PCA) | Winner<br>/ Loser |
|------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------|------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1    | 6                     | IP                      | Timid                   | 253                    | Intersex | 3    | 16                  | 0.10              | 100.00                  | 0.10           | -74.365                              | Loser             |
|      | 11                    | IP                      | Timid                   | 280                    | Female   | 3    |                     | 56.00             | 34.60                   | 0.10           | 6.755                                | Winner            |
|      | 6                     |                         |                         |                        |          |      | 10                  | 13.00             | 32.97                   | 0.10           | 67.314                               | Winner            |
|      | 11                    |                         |                         |                        |          |      |                     | 49.00             | 88.06                   | 0.10           | 3.291                                | Loser             |
|      | 6                     |                         |                         |                        |          |      | 21                  | 0.10              | 27.69                   | 0.10           | -81.422                              | Loser             |
|      | 11                    |                         |                         |                        |          |      |                     | 94.00             | 92.25                   | 0.10           | 41.844                               | Winner            |
| 2    | 6                     | IP                      | Timid                   | 253                    | Intersex | 3    | 16                  | 15.00             | 58.40                   | 0.10           | -48.806                              | Loser             |
|      | 9                     | HP                      | Timid                   | 249                    | Intersex | 3    |                     | 78.00             | 97.08                   | 48.00          | 52.339                               | Winner            |
|      | 6                     |                         |                         |                        |          |      | 10                  | 40.00             | 58.40                   | 0.10           | 27.198                               | Winner            |
|      | 9                     |                         |                         |                        |          |      |                     | 60.00             | 97.08                   | 0.10           | -12.385                              | Loser             |
|      | 6                     |                         |                         |                        |          |      | 21                  | 2.00              | 66.25                   | 0.10           | -67.369                              | Loser             |
|      | 9                     |                         |                         |                        |          |      |                     | 112.00            | 100.00                  | 0.10           | 71.611                               | Winner            |
| 3    | 1                     | HP                      | Bold                    | 364                    | Male     | 1    | 16                  | 22.00             | 100.00                  | 84.00          | 24.763                               | Winner            |
|      | 12                    | LP                      | Timid                   | 350                    | Male     | 4    |                     | 17.00             | 45.23                   | 0.10           | -53.840                              | Loser             |
|      | 1                     |                         |                         |                        |          |      | 10                  | 39.00             | 49.82                   | 0.10           | 32.485                               | Loser             |
|      | 12                    |                         |                         |                        |          |      |                     | 6.00              | 15.84                   | 0.10           | 82.955                               | Winner            |
|      | 1                     |                         |                         |                        |          |      | 21                  | 75.00             | 54.83                   | 0.10           | 9.346                                | Winner            |
|      | 12                    |                         |                         |                        |          |      |                     | 32.00             | 48.05                   | 48.00          | -31.967                              | Loser             |

| 4 | 9<br>11<br>9<br>11<br>9<br>11    | HP<br>IP | Timid<br>Timid | 249<br>280 | Intersex<br>Female | 33     | 16<br>10<br>21 | 100.00<br>56.00<br>98.00<br>65.00<br>0.10<br>100.00 | 61.91<br>90.91<br>95.58<br>100.00<br>60.74<br>100.00 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \\ 60.00 \\ 35.00 \\ 6.00 \\ 0.10 \\ 60.00 \end{array}$       | 46.401<br>35.874<br>-58.181<br>-20.645<br>-71.168<br>129.214   | Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner          |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|----------------|------------|--------------------|--------|----------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| 5 | 1<br>10<br>1<br>10<br>1<br>10    | HP<br>IP | Bold<br>Timid  | 364<br>329 | Male<br>Male       | 1<br>3 | 16<br>10<br>21 | 61.00<br>93.00<br>90.00<br>52.00<br>12.00<br>100.00 | 59.99<br>48.23<br>96.78<br>100.00<br>76.83<br>94.04  | $\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ 30.00 \\ 36.00 \\ 36.00 \\ 0.10 \\ 72.00 \end{array}$      | -7.069<br>30.986<br>-50.866<br>-14.907<br>-53.091<br>160.051   | Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner |
| 6 | 10<br>12<br>10<br>12<br>10<br>12 | IP<br>LP | Timid<br>Timid | 253<br>350 | Male               | 3<br>4 | 16<br>10<br>21 | 58.00<br>11.00<br>47.00<br>8.00<br>100.00<br>25.00  | 90.09<br>22.25<br>100.00<br>25.63<br>100.00<br>50.31 | 78.00<br>0.10<br>0.10<br>0.10<br>0.10<br>0.10<br>0.10                                | 46.663<br>-71.058<br>-3.786<br>76.049<br>70.685<br>-47.029     | Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Winner<br>Loser          |
| 7 | 15<br>27<br>15<br>27<br>15<br>27 | IP<br>IP | Timid<br>Bold  | 225<br>230 | Male<br>Male       | 3<br>2 | 16<br>10<br>21 | 51.00<br>88.00<br>100.00<br>9.00<br>39.00<br>100.00 | 20.02<br>99.30<br>88.08<br>93.13<br>79.24<br>97.08   | $\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \\ 0.60 \\ 72.00 \\ 0.10 \\ 72.00 \\ 0.10 \end{array}$        | -36.636<br>68.675<br>-81.122<br>41.45724<br>-11.651<br>157.682 | Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner |
| 8 | 15<br>24<br>15<br>24<br>15<br>24 | IP<br>IP | Timid<br>Timid | 225<br>215 | Male<br>Female     | 33     | 16<br>10<br>21 | 2.00<br>16.00<br>64.00<br>18.00<br>2.00<br>100.00   | 15.00<br>86.35<br>66.09<br>43.73<br>28.61<br>99.50   | $\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \\ 54.00 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \end{array}$ | -82.813<br>-5.206<br>-1.042<br>56.875<br>-79.048<br>135.344    | Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner |

| 9  | 28<br>24<br>28<br>24<br>28<br>24<br>28 | IP<br>IP | Timid<br>Timid | 249<br>215 | Intersex<br>Female | 3<br>3 | 16<br>10<br>21 | 28.00<br>91.00<br>100.00<br>23.00<br>0.10<br>100.00  | 97.35<br>46.28<br>100.00<br>62.27<br>100.00<br>38.65  | 54.00<br>0.10<br>0.10<br>0.10<br>0.10<br>0.10<br>0.10                            | 11.156<br>12.523<br>-87.433<br>42.567<br>-57.466<br>74.687    | Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner |
|----|--|----------|----------------|------------|--------------------|--------|----------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| 10 | 15<br>28<br>15<br>28<br>15<br>28       | IP<br>IP | Timid<br>Timid | 225<br>249 | Male<br>Intersex   | 333    | 16<br>10<br>21 | 34.00<br>100.00<br>57.00<br>145.00<br>0.10<br>100.00 | 31.57<br>0.10<br>82.50<br>98.46<br>26.11<br>100.00    | 0.10<br>98.95<br>0.10<br>0.10<br>0.10<br>0.10                                    | -45.782<br>70.893<br>-2.084<br>-99.543<br>-81.912<br>65.261   | Loser<br>Winner<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Loser<br>Winner |
| 11 | 27<br>28<br>27<br>28<br>27<br>28       | IP<br>IP | Bold<br>Timid  | 230<br>249 | Female<br>Intersex | 2<br>3 | 16<br>10<br>21 | 15<br>86.00<br>38.00<br>100.00<br>73.00<br>100.00    | 92.96<br>100.00<br>92.59<br>100.00<br>96.36<br>100.00 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.01 \end{array}$      | -30.934<br>37.797<br>12.226<br>-77.391<br>20.032<br>62.663    | Loser<br>Winner<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Loser<br>Winner |
| 12 | 27<br>24<br>27<br>24<br>27<br>24       | IP<br>IP | Bold<br>Timid  | 230<br>215 | Female<br>Female   | 23     | 16<br>10<br>21 | 70.00<br>14.00<br>35.00<br>75.00<br>75.00<br>25.00   | 100.00<br>93.94<br>93.48<br>52.66<br>100.00<br>84.14  | $\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 60.00 \\ 6.00 \end{array}$     | 25.479<br>-31.314<br>14.835<br>-5.552<br>34.037<br>-35.538    | Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser |
| 13 | 28<br>21                               | IP<br>HP | Timid<br>Bold  | 249<br>260 | Intersex<br>Female | 3<br>1 | 16<br>10<br>21 | 100<br>3.00<br>100.00<br>100.00<br>100.00<br>20.00   | 98.95<br>53.10<br>100.00<br>96.24<br>100.00<br>71.54  | $\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ 66.00 \\ 0.01 \\ 48.00 \\ 48.00 \\ 100.00 \end{array}$ | 52.213<br>-27.673<br>-102.580<br>-89.934<br>77.718<br>-29.114 | Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Winner<br>Loser          |

| 14 | 28<br>18<br>28<br>18<br>28<br>18             | IP<br>IP | Timid<br>Timid | 249<br>270 | Intersex<br>Female | 33     | 16<br>10<br>21 | 100.00<br>14.00<br>99.00<br>14.00<br>80.00<br>2.00  | 96.13<br>56.18<br>92.52<br>75.73<br>94.66<br>54.67  | $\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \\ 100.00 \\ 0.10 \\ 48.00 \\ 6.00 \\ 100.00 \end{array}$     | 60.536<br>1.528<br>-49.792<br>34.207<br>28.193<br>-54.140              | Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Winner<br>Loser          |
|----|--|----------|----------------|------------|--------------------|--------|----------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| 15 | 28<br>25<br>28<br>25<br>28<br>25<br>28<br>25 | IP<br>IP | Timid<br>Bold  | 249<br>260 | Intersex           | 3<br>2 | 16<br>10<br>21 | 54.00<br>100.00<br>100.00<br>100.00<br>100.00<br>51.00  | 72.85<br>78.56<br>99.52<br>78.27<br>78.36<br>74.85  | $\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \\ 48.00 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \end{array}$ | -6.641<br>66.778<br>-101.089<br>-97.640<br>166.165<br>-10.830          | Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser           |
| 16 | 13<br>26<br>13<br>26<br>13<br>26             | IP<br>HP | Timid<br>Bold  | 370<br>340 | Male<br>Male       | 3<br>1 | 16<br>10<br>21 | 42.00<br>7.00<br>81.00<br>5.00<br>78.00<br>7.00   | 92.70<br>76.63<br>90.66<br>29.00<br>85.38<br>62.79  | 100.00<br>18.00<br>0.10<br>0.10<br>0.10<br>0.10                                      | 45.317<br>-37.108<br>-30.561<br>77.426<br>22.120<br>-62.945            | Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Winner                   |
| 17 | 20<br>13<br>19<br>13<br>19<br>13<br>19       | IP<br>LP | Timid<br>Timid | 370<br>346 | Male<br>Male       | 3 4    | 16<br>10<br>21 | 7.00         62.00         0.01         73.00         5.00         90.00         6.00   | 93.00<br>0.01<br>100.00<br>15.00<br>100.00<br>58.31 | 100.00<br>33.42<br>100.00<br>0.10<br>100.00<br>0.10                                  | -02.943<br>63.261<br>-74.978<br>-50.880<br>84.390<br>56.827<br>-65.435 | Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Winner<br>Loser          |
| 18 | 19<br>17<br>19<br>17<br>19<br>17             | LP<br>IP | Timid<br>Bold  | 346<br>325 | Male<br>Intersex   | 4<br>2 | 16<br>10<br>21 | $     \begin{array}{r}       13.00 \\       100.00 \\       50.00 \\       66.00 \\       10.00 \\       34.00 \\       \end{array} $ | 84.15<br>81.50<br>70.57<br>34.73<br>61.16<br>91.92  | $\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \end{array}$  | -37.268<br>57.420<br>10.973<br>12.520<br>-60.167<br>-24.224            | Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner |
| 19 | 22   | IP       | Bold           | 340        | Male               | 2      | 16             | 49.00   | 100.00  | 100.00   | 56.456   | Winner  |

|    | 17<br>22<br>17<br>22<br>17       | IP       | Bold          | 325        | Intersex     | 2      | 10<br>21       | $ \begin{array}{r} 1.00 \\ 40.00 \\ 100.00 \\ 100.00 \\ 1.00 \end{array} $ | 77.28<br>100.00<br>46.69<br>100.00<br>69.48          | $\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \\ 0.01 \\ 100.00 \\ 100.00 \\ 0.10 \end{array}$             | -51.49<br>6.452<br>-58.726<br>100.096<br>-67.465             | Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser           |
|----|----------------------------------|----------|---------------|------------|--------------|--------|----------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| 20 | 26<br>22<br>26<br>22<br>26<br>22 | HP<br>IP | Bold<br>Bold  | 340<br>340 | Male<br>Male | 1<br>2 | 16<br>10<br>21 | 18.00<br>58.00<br>77.00<br>97.00<br>5.00<br>100.00                         | 58.83<br>52.008<br>53.57<br>100.00<br>15.00<br>86.81 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \end{array}$ | -45.913<br>65.090<br>-8.038<br>-52.856<br>-79.972<br>66.540  | Loser<br>Winner<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Loser<br>Winner |
| 21 | 26<br>19<br>26<br>19<br>26<br>19 | HP<br>LP | Bold<br>Timid | 340<br>346 | Male<br>Male | 1<br>4 | 16<br>10<br>21 | 18.00<br>2.00<br>42.00<br>18.00<br>17.00<br>6.00                           | 52.01<br>67.53<br>80.57<br>15.70<br>24.57<br>91.01   | $\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.10 \end{array}$ | -49.443<br>-55.644<br>14.137<br>70.817<br>-63.811<br>-55.285 | Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner          |
| 22 | 13<br>17<br>13<br>17<br>13<br>17 | IP<br>IP | Timid<br>Bold | 370<br>325 | Male<br>Male | 32     | 16<br>10<br>21 | 38.00<br>0.10<br>46.00<br>4.00<br>65.00<br>1.00                            | 100.00<br>39.33<br>91.58<br>44.54<br>100.00<br>54.17 | $100.00 \\ 0.01 \\ 84.00 \\ 0.10 \\ 100.00 \\ 0.10$                                 | 46.483<br>-71.918<br>-14.368<br>70.713<br>30.585<br>-72.218  | Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Winner<br>Loser          |
| 23 | 13<br>22<br>13<br>22<br>13<br>22 | IP<br>IP | Timid<br>Bold | 370<br>340 | Male<br>Male | 32     | 16<br>10<br>21 | 48.00<br>60.00<br>78.00<br>6.00<br>57.00<br>2.00                           | 95.13<br>54.80<br>100.00<br>46.99<br>100.00<br>53.04 | 100.00<br>0.10<br>100.00<br>0.10<br>100.00<br>0.10                                  | 51.912<br>-10.643<br>-55.050<br>67.460<br>21.144<br>-71.467  | Winner<br>Loser<br>Winner<br>Winner<br>Loser          |
| 24 | 22                               | IP       | Bold          | 340        | Male         | 2      | 16             | 21.00  | 78.91  | 100.00  | 19.507   | Winner  |

|    | 19 | LP | Timid | 346 | Male     | 4 |    | 5.00   | 71.78  | 0.10   | -50.778    | Loser  |
|----|----|----|-------|-----|----------|---|----|--------|--------|--------|------------|--------|
|    | 22 |    |       |     |          |   | 10 | 3.00   | 100.00 | 90.00  | 22.992     | Loser  |
|    | 19 |    |       |     |          |   |    | 0.10   | 31.82  | 0.10   | 81.010     | Winner |
|    | 22 |    |       |     |          |   | 21 | 100.00 | 93.63  | 0.10   | 123.627    | Winner |
|    | 19 |    |       |     |          |   |    | 6.50   | 76.72  | 0.10   | -59.174    | Loser  |
| 25 | 26 | HP | Bold  | 340 | Male     | 1 | 16 | 47.00  | 96.67  | 0.10   | -0.554     | Winner |
|    | 17 | IP | Bold  | 325 | Intersex | 2 |    | 3.00   | 72.97  | 0.10   | -51.942    | Loser  |
|    | 26 |    |       |     |          |   | 10 | 40.00  | 90.89  | 0.10   | 11.036     | Loser  |
|    | 17 |    |       |     |          |   |    | 35.00  | 62.35  | 0.10   | 30.320     | Winner |
|    | 26 |    |       |     |          |   | 21 | 13.50  | 45.89  | 0.10   | -61.043    | Loser  |
|    | 17 |    |       |     |          |   |    | 7.00   | 100.00 | 0.10   | -50.159    | Winner |
| 26 | 21 | HP | Bold  | 260 | Male     | 1 | 16 | 100.00 | 63.69  | 0.10   | 43.761     | Winner |
|    | 18 | IP | Timid | 270 | Female   | 3 |    | 27.00  | 49.10  | 0.10   | -42.940    | Loser  |
|    | 21 |    |       |     |          |   | 10 | 38.00  | 99.95  | 0.10   | 76.391     | Winner |
|    | 18 |    |       |     |          |   |    | 2.00   | 37.21  | 0.10   | 8.565      | Loser  |
|    | 21 |    |       |     |          |   | 21 | 87.00  | 93.26  | 0.10   | 34.461     | Winner |
|    | 18 |    |       |     |          |   |    | 0.10   | 46.23  | 0.10   | -75.669    | Loser  |
| 27 | 21 | HP | Bold  | 260 | Female   | 1 | 16 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 0.10   | 82.4867025 | Winner |
|    | 25 | IP | Bold  | 260 | Female   | 2 |    | 54.00  | 94.68  | 0.10   | 4.6462748  | Loser  |
|    | 21 |    |       |     |          |   | 10 | 94.00  | 70.03  | 0.10   | -33.522    | Loser  |
|    | 25 |    |       |     |          |   |    | 64.00  | 52.95  | 0.10   | 5.494      | Winner |
|    | 21 |    |       |     |          |   | 21 | 96.00  | 89.05  | 0.10   | 43.050     | Winner |
|    | 25 |    |       |     |          |   |    | 0.10   | 81.24  | 0.10   | -64.807    | Loser  |
| 28 | 18 | IP | Timid | 270 | Female   | 3 | 16 | 5.00   | 55.28  | 0.10   | -59.316    | Loser  |
|    | 25 | IP | Bold  | 260 | Female   | 2 |    | 100.00 | 96.23  | 0.10   | 62.367     | Winner |
|    | 18 |    |       |     |          |   | 10 | 6.00   | 39.93  | 0.10   | 70.971     | Winner |
|    | 25 |    |       |     |          |   |    | 21.00  | 57.75  | 0.10   | 46.845     | Loser  |
|    | 18 |    |       |     |          |   | 21 | 2.00   | 25.02  | 0.10   | -80.163    | Loser  |
|    | 25 |    |       |     |          |   |    | 0.10   | 41.20  | 0.10   | -77.230    | Winner |
| 29 | 14 | IP | Timid | 280 | Female   | 3 | 16 | 0.10   | 33.83  | 36.00  | -55.943    | Loser  |
|    | 17 | IP | Bold  | 325 | Intersex | 2 |    | 97.00  | 92.68  | 0.01   | 41.8572    | Winner |
| 30 | 18 | IP | Timid | 270 | Female   | 3 | 16 | 8.00   | 54.12  | 0.10   | -57.247    | Loser  |
|    | 14 | IP | Timid | 280 | Female   | 3 |    | 46.00  | 91.97  | 100.00 | 48.496     | Winner |
| 31 | 25 | IP | Bold  | 260 | Female   | 2 | 16 | 13.00  | 78.13  | 0.10   | -40.379    | Loser  |
|    | 14 | IP | Timid | 280 | Female   | 3 |    | 65.00  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 70.583     | Winner |

| 32   | 14 | IP | Timid | 280 | Female   | 3 | 16 | 23.00 | 44.03  | 0.10 | -49.120 | Loser  |
|------|----|----|-------|-----|----------|---|----|-------|--------|------|---------|--------|
|      | 26 | HP | Bold  | 340 | Male     | 1 |    | 71.00 | 89.18  | 0.10 | 16.922  | Winner |
| 33   | 14 | IP | Timid | 280 | Female   | 3 | 16 | 7.00  | 33.12  | 0.10 | -68.996 | Loser  |
|      | 17 | IP | Timid | 325 | Intersex | 2 |    | 52.00 | 100.00 | 0.10 | 5.837   | Winner |
| 2961 |    |    |       |     |          |   |    |       |        |      |         |        |

# 2962 Chapter 6

# 2963 Management of phenotypic diversity and promoting the climate 2964 resilience of fished stocks

# 2965 **6.1 Main findings**

2966 This is the first body of research to show how individual aerobic scope is linked to behavioural plasticity across a thermal gradient, and the first to assess how these traits influence social 2967 2968 dominance in an exploited fish species. A broad aerobic scope provided the scope to exibit 2969 behaviourally plastic responses across a thermal gradient. Individual performance was assessed 2970 by conducting a repeated measure on the same individual over a thermal gradient, as recommended by Killen et al (2021). This study found that using the repeated measures design was indeed 2971 2972 necessary. This was because some individuals that performed well at high temperatures performed poorly at lower temperatures and thus only individuals with a broad aerobic scope across the 2973 2974 thermal gradient were identified as the physiologically fittest individuals with the greatest resilience to thermal variability. 2975

In addition to the identification of high, intermediate, and low performers, some general patterns 2976 were observed. As temperatures increased from 16 °C to 24°C resembling the downwelling of 2977 warm surface waters, there was a significant deviation in the physiological performance of high 2978 2979 and intermediate-low performers. High performers did better than intermediate and low 2980 performers as temperatures increased. This highlights the importance of maintaining phenotypic diversity and the presence of high performers in fished populations, in order for these to survive 2981 climate change effects. In contrast, there was no significant deviation in the physiological 2982 2983 performance of any of the performance groups as temperatures decreased from 16 °C to 10 °C (i.e. cold temperatures indicative of upwelling events). Although intermediate and low performers 2984 2985 generally had higher levels of performance at the lowest temperatures, all phenotypes had reduced physiological performance when compared to optimal and high temperatures. Duncan et al. 2986 2987 (2019) suggested that these low temperatures of 10 °C are close to the lower limit of the tolerance range of C. laticeps. Indeed, they found that this species entered cold shock as temperatures 2988 2989 dropped to 8 °C. Based on the findings of this study, it is possible that the most important climatedriven selection pressure may be the predicted increase in the frequency and intensity of upwelling 2990 2991 events in the region (Duncan et al 2019) and this may select against the high physiological 2992 performers. This finding is not surprising as increasing thermal variability has been predicted to have a greater impact on fishes than large-scale warming (Bates et al. 2019). This is because 2993

environmental variability will select across the full thermal range (and performance capabilities)
of individuals and only those with broad aerobic performance may be able sustain the
physiological processes.

2997 There will be considerable consequences for reduced physiological performance of fishes in terms 2998 of their behavioural responses to a thermally variable environment. In terms of behaviour, bold personality traits have been linked to increased chances of survival when exposed to both abiotic 2999 (i.e. Paralichthys olivaceus exposed to a thermal gradient; Long et al. 2021) and biotic stressors 3000 (i.e. Neogobius melanostomus exposed to intraspecific competition; Behrens et al. 2019). 3001 However, this study suggests that the plastic expression of bold traits across an environmental 3002 gradient are determined by the aerobic scope. For example, individuals with reduced aerobic 3003 capacity for behavioural plasticity initially appeared bold at control temperatures of 16 °C, but 3004 were unable to maintain bold behaviour at extreme temperatures, which caused a shift to timidity. 3005 3006 Thermal variability may induce physiological stress and increase oxygen demand in fish with narrow aerobic capacity, causing the suppression of energetically expensive bold behavioural 3007 traits (i.e. aggression; Seth et al. 2013, Killen et al. 2013, or activity; D'Agostina et al. 2019). In 3008 3009 this study, low performers similarly suppressed the expression of bold behavioural traits in response to thermal stress outside of their narrow optimal temperature range. In contrast, high 3010 3011 performers did respond to temperature change with dramatically higher rates of activity, boldness or aggression. 3012

3013 Several studies have suggested that High Performance Metabolic Phenotypes (HPMPs) with a 3014 broad aerobic scope may also have a greater energetic capacity to remain active across a broader 3015 range of environmental conditions (Killen et al. 2017, Claireaux and Lefrancois 2007, Ferrer et al. 3016 2020) and facilitate plastic behavioural responses to climate stressors, such as exploring novel resources for dispersal (Castanheira et al. 2013, Beever et al. 2017, McGaugh et al. 2020). 3017 3018 However, this is the first study to provide empirical evidence for behavioural plasticity across a thermal gradient in a fish species and suggests that there is a link between aerobic scope and the 3019 3020 thermal behavioural plasticity in a fish species, with high performer able to sustain optimal rates of activity and rapidly recover from O<sub>2</sub> debt across thermal contexts. 3021

Individual variation in physiological performance and behaviour may impact the social rank order of resident temperate reef species residing in dynamic upwelling zones (Chapter 5). This is concerning given that warming and cooling are predicted to increase in frequency and intensity (Bates et al. 2019). Indeed, model results from Chapter 5 suggested that social dominance could 3026 be predicted by a combination of aerobic scope and bold behaviour, where bold high and intermediate performers occupied upper dominance ranks as temperatures increased. Additionally, 3027 3028 the findings showed that the largest high/intermediate performers are likely to occupy dominance 3029 rank positions and this may be attributed to their high physiological performance, which allows 3030 them to maintain dominance for food, territory and mates across a thermal gradient (Figure 5.4). Similarly, Killen et al. (2021; review on several social species) found that dominance was 3031 3032 attributed to individuals with high rates of swimming performance (i.e. a proxy for aerobic scope). In this study, the dominance of the intermediate/high performers was however not evident at the 3033 coldest temperatures (Figure 5.6), where the low performers were able to match their competitive 3034 3035 behaviour. This may suggest that periods of low temperature may be a period for food acquisition for the low performers and therefore for the maintenance of the diversity of the social structure of 3036 these populations. 3037

Resident fish populations may rely heavily on the presence of large dominant intermediate and 3038 high performers that have the aerobic capacity to respond to thermally variable conditions, and 3039 3040 the bold behaviour to explore thermally optimal areas (Bessa et al. 2021, Killen et al. 2021). 3041 However, size-selection by capture fisheries has been associated with a decrease in risk-taking behaviour in fished populations, suggesting that size-selective mortality can have consequences 3042 3043 for behavioural and physiological processes (Sbragaglia et al. 2021). This emphasises the importance of a physiological-based conservation approach to fisheries management, without 3044 3045 which biomass predictions may be unreliable due to unforeseen stock declines or range shifts 3046 (Ward et al. 2016, Killen et al. 2021, Sbragaglia et al. 2021). For the sustainable management of 3047 fished stocks, the spatial protection of the physiologically fittest individuals can restock nearby fished areas and preserve the phenotypic diversity needed for social groups to respond to climate 3048 change (Ward et al. 2016). 3049

3050

# **6.2** The relevance of this study to fisheries decision makers

# 3052 6.2.1. Fishery selection on heritable physiological and behavioural traits

3053

This study has highlighted the importance of physiological and behavioural traits in predicting the survival of fished populations to thermal variability. This is particularly important in the context of fisheries management, as both physiological and behavioural traits are under selection by fisheries. Based on the findings of Duncan et al (2019), it is likely that hook and line exploitation is more likely to remove the high and intermediate performers of *C. laticeps*, particularly at normal 3059 and elevated temperatures. When one considers the results of the present study, where boldness is linked to increased aerobic capacity, it appears that exploitation will likely selectively remove, the 3060 3061 intermediate and bold high performers of the C. laticeps population, which are also likely to be 3062 the dominant individuals in the social structure. This is likely to have negative impacts on the 3063 resilience of this species as dominance of the lower performance individuals may not be retained at warm temperatures and the social structure may break down, with potentially negative 3064 3065 consequences for reproductive success (Priede 1977). Fisheries may therefore drive fished populations to a lower resilient state through their primary selection of individual aerobic scope 3066 3067 traits.

One of the mechanisms driving fish populations to a lower resilient state is the selective removal 3068 of individuals with a broad aerobic scope because they have the energetic capacity to remain active 3069 and forage across environmental conditions (Portner et al. 2004, Claireaux and Lefrancois 2007, 3070 Killen et al. 2017) over a larger home range. This not only increases their susceptibility to, but 3071 also their likelihood of encountering passive fishing gear. This primary selection on aerobic scope 3072 physiology (Duncan 2019), may also be linked to a secondary selection on behaviour where the 3073 3074 boldest fish exhibit greater risk in approaching and biting fishing gear (Alos et al. 2012, Koeck et al. 2019). Indeed, bold personality traits have been linked to a broad aerobic scope (Seebacher et 3075 3076 al. 2013, Killen et al. 2014, Rupia et al. 2016), both of which are important to explore novel areas for dispersal (Warnock and Rasmussen 2014, Seebacher and Krause 2017). Additionally, 3077 3078 behavioural selection by fishing pressure has been shown to reduce the diversity of behavioural 3079 phenotypes in largemouth bass Micropterus salmoides (Cooke et al. 2007), and fished populations 3080 may have greater proportions of timid phenotypes (Arlinghaus et al. 2017). However, for fishinginduced evolution to occur on physiological (eg. Duncan et al. 2019) and behavioural traits (Cooke 3081 3082 et al. 2007, Arlinghaus et al. 2017, Moynes et al. 2019), these traits would need to be heritable.

3083

Researchers have recently isolated genes linked to the expression of metabolic traits associated 3084 with heat stress (Beemelmanns et al. 2020, Taboun 2020) and hypoxia (Zou et al. 2022), as well 3085 as behavioural traits (i.e. mirror elicited aggression; Baran and Streelman 2020, amongst others; 3086 Ariyomo et al. 2013, Edenbrow and Croft 2013, Laine et al. 2014). For example, Zou et al. (2021) 3087 found that bold and timid Paralichthys olivaceus differed in the expression of 144 genes related 3088 3089 to personality and metabolism. Long et al. (2021) found that offspring of the same species contained a mix of bold and timid phenotypes irrespective of maternal line, however behavioural 3090 3091 traits were linked to metabolic traits, which were partly heritable due to maternal effects. Both 3092 bold and timid offspring from the bold maternal line had the advantage of a higher metabolic rate 3093 and the ability to respond to environmental stressors (Long et al. 2021). In Crysoblephus laticeps, 3094 Muller (2022) found that larvae from populations that were protected from exploitation were 3095 physiologically more tolerant of climate stressors, relative to exploited populations. Therefore, by 3096 removing high-performance aerobic phenotypes and bold traits, hook and line fishing may reduce the resilience of fished populations to environmental variation. This suggests that a mechanistic 3097 3098 conservation approach (i.e. the conservation of heritable phenotypes) is necessary to promote the resilience of fish populations in the Anthropocene (Ward et al. 2016; Cooke et al. 2023). 3099

3100

## 3101 6.2.2. Physiological and behaviour-based conservation strategies for fisheries management

3102 The diversity of physiological and behavioural traits is needed for the resilience of fish populations to thermal variability, according to the findings of this study. In particular, it is important to 3103 3104 conserve large dominant intermediate and high performers that have the aerobic capacity to behaviourally respond to thermally variable conditions (Bessa et al. 2021, Killen et al. 2021). 3105 Hence, the implementation of physiological and behaviour-based conservation strategies is critical 3106 for the resilience of fished stocks to climate change (Maynard et al. 2010, Levy and Ban 2013, 3107 Beever et al. 2017). As physiological biomarkers are directly linked to survival and fitness 3108 endpoints, they allow fisheries scientists to detect early warning signs of the cause and effect 3109 behind stock declines attributed to thermal variability. These physiological assessments should be 3110 done rapidly before largescale changes to population demographics, such as those driven by 3111 behavioural distribution shifts, are observed (Killen et al. 2021 Brosset et al. 2021). The 3112 incorporation of physiology biomarkers will improve the reliability of fisheries biomass 3113 predictions (Sbragaglia et al. 2021) because of their known mechanistic basis but should be 3114 3115 incorporated at time scales that are relevant for management (Killen et al. 2021 Brosset et al. 2021). While laboratory techniques can provide useful physiological biomarkers, coupling these 3116 3117 data with physiological measurements of *in situ* metabolic rate, through field respirometry (Chabot et al. 2016) or electronic heart rate loggers (Metcalfe et al. 2016, Skeeles et al. 2020), while 3118 3119 collecting routine fisheries data would no doubt provide better resolution for stock assessment and management. 3120

*In situ* techniques offer the further advantage of accounting for behaviours such as activity,
exploration, and angling interactions (Ward et al. 2016, Killen et al. 2021 Brosset et al. 2021).
This kind of ecophysiological data can assist in predictions of individual fitness and changing

population demographics in the context of climate change (Ward et al. 2016, Killen et al. 2021).
By using these tools, researchers can link information on physiological tolerance limits with
predictive climatic models to understand future patterns of fish distributions and abundance. These
kinds of physiological-based approaches will add reliability to the management and conservation
of fish stocks in a climate change context and as the body of knowledge expands, can be
extrapolated across species residing in different geographic locations overtime (Killen et al. 2021).

3130

3131 Although physiological biomarkers have rarely been used in fisheries management to identify the cause of stock declines, the Canadian salmon fishery is one of the few examples where rigorous 3132 3133 physiological experimental protocol has been applied to fisheries management (Cooke and Connor 2010, Cooke et al. 2012, McKenzie et al. 2016). Following public outcry against Oncorhynchus 3134 3135 sp. mortalities during their summer upstream spawning migrations, scientists identified thermal thresholds (ie. through aerobic scope and cardic output) and monitored pre-spawning behaviour 3136 and spatial use. Behavioural- and physiological-based conservation strategies were used to 3137 implement the inclusion of cool-water refugia in modified upstream passageways, catch levels 3138 were limited to allow for sufficient spawning escapements, and access to fishing stock was 3139 prevented when water temperatures passed thermal thresholds (Farrell et al. 2008, Hasler et al. 3140 2012, Coristine et al. 2014). Additionally, fishing policy enforced gillnet boats to have recovery 3141 boxes on board, in which bycatch could be housed in aerated optimal conditions for 1-2 hours to 3142 assist in physiological recovery for post-release survival (this is compatible with in-field 3143 measurements that show a decrease cortisol and lactate with recovery time; Farrell et al. 2008). 3144

3145

The Canadian example illustrates the importance of the identification of thermal thresholds (i.e. 3146 beyond which aerobic performance is compromised) while accounting for the energy required for 3147 maintenance metabolism, growth, dispersal and reproduction in each ecological situation (Pörtner 3148 and Knust 2007, Bozinovic and Pörtner 2015). Such physiologically-based life cycle models can 3149 also be linked with climatic data to predict future population demographics, including range shifts 3150 or climate vulnerability. These kinds of predictions are not only important for the sustainable 3151 3152 management of fisheries, but they can also be used to identify the causal mechanisms behind stock declines or changes to the distribution of phenotypic traits. This information can also be 3153 incorporated into risk assessments, which are necessary for all species, but particularly vulnerable 3154 endemic species, such as many of the South African linefishes. 3155

# 3157 6.2.2. Incorporation a physiologically-based management approach into South African 3158 fisheries policy

3159 This study emphasises the need to conserve physiological and behavioural traits for the survival of exploited C. laticeps stocks facing thermal variability, which is predicted to increase in 3160 frequency and intensity in their home range. Indeed, South Africa is a climate-change hotspot with 3161 exploited fishery stocks, both of which have led to the need for climate-adaptive management 3162 (FAO 2000, Hobday and Pecl 2014). South African linefish stocks have shown some recovery 3163 after policy intervention following the state of emergency declared in 2000 (FAO 2000, Attwood 3164 et al. 2013, Parker et al. 2016). This emergency prompted a number of regulatory changes, 3165 including amendments to the bag and size limits for many linefish species. Despite these stricter 3166 measures, recovery has only been observed for a few target species (DAFF 2016, DEFF 2020). 3167 The poor status of many linefish species in South Africa is exacerbated by increasing thermal 3168 3169 variability along many parts of the coastline (Duncan et al 2018). Recognising this threat, Ortega-3170 Cisneros et al. (2018) reviewed the likely sensitivity of 40 of South Africa's commercial linefish species to change. They categorised C. laticeps as a medium-high sensitive species, attributing 3171 3172 much of their sensitivity to the intense pressure by the small-scale boat-based sector. However, since their methodology did not incorporate any physiological metrics, the true sensitivity to the 3173 3174 changing thermal environment has not been adequately assessed.

Duncan et al (2018) used growth, distribution and metabolic data to predict the future response of 3175 3176 C. laticeps to climate change. They concluded that C. laticeps is physiologically robust and will persist in its core range and in its most productive commercial fishing grounds, which have been 3177 defined as between False Bay and Arniston (Griffiths 2000, Kerwath et al. 2013b). Given that an 3178 understanding of the physiological limits of fish is key to predict their responses to climate change, 3179 3180 it is critical that information from this thesis (and other similar work) be incorporated into South African fisheries management structures. Indeed, the FAO (2012) recommended that climate 3181 management must be physiologically and behaviourally-based and this is critical, given the 3182 economic importance of the linefishery. 3183

The Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries' (DAFF) Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation Plan (CCAMP), for improving adaptive management of the linefishery to maximize economic benefit, has identified small-scale fishing communities as most vulnerable to climate change effects (DAFF 2016, Hampton et al. 2017). Small-scale fisher households rely heavily on 3188 fisheries resources, particularly sparid reef communities, and are limited in their ability to adapt to climate-driven changes in resource availability (DAFF 2016, Hampton et al. 2017). Future 3189 3190 assessments of fisher vulnerability, should however, not only consider where the fishers are vulnerable, but where the fish populations may be exposed to conditions beyond their 3191 3192 physiological limits. Using physiological metrics, Duncan et al. (2018) identified future range contraction of C. laticeps, and likely other similar sparid reef species, between the areas of 3193 3194 Arniston and Knysna, as well as east of St Francis. These areas are important for small-scale fisheries (Hampton et al. 2017) and may require the implementation of adaptation measures for 3195 3196 increasing fishing efficiency, such as an upgrade to vessels that can travel longer distances and track fish population distribution shifts. Other suggested adaptation measures include the 3197 promulgation of additional Marine Protected Areas (MPA's) and the imporved efficiency of 3198 existing MPA's (Hampton et al. 2017). This is important as climate-driven stock declines of C. 3199 laticeps are predicted in the Dwesa-Cebe, Amathole and Bird Island MPAs. The predicted declines 3200 of fish stocks in these MPA's are problematic for the most vulnerable small-scale communities 3201 that rely on these MPAs for the recruitment of fish stocks into nearby fishing areas (Duncan 2018). 3202

3203

South Africa has linked the sustainability of ocean resources and protection of marine services 3204 3205 under Operation Phakisa (Harris et al. 2014). Here, 21 new MPAs have been proposed with an ultimate goal of protecting 20 % of South Africa's coastal zone within the next 20 years 3206 3207 (Government of South Africa 2010, Harris et al. 2014). The goal is to conserve populations that 3208 can be resilient to future climate change. For example, C. laticeps populations residing from False Bay to Arniston and from Knysna to St Francis Bay are unlikely to be impacted by climate change 3209 until 2100 (based on metabolic data; Duncan 2018). This range also includes the major commercial 3210 fishing grounds of the species and several MPAs such as Tsitsikamma, Robberg, Goukamma and 3211 Betty's Bay (Harris et al. 2014, Duncan 2018). 3212

3213

3214 The maintenance of MPAs in the primary fishing area is important. The findings from this thesis 3215 have shown that the physiologically and behaviourally fittest individuals appear to have the greatest resilience to climate variability. When this information is combined with Duncan et al's 3216 (2019) findings which suggested that populations protected from explitation had improved 3217 3218 physiological performance when compared with those that were exploited and Muller's (2022) study that found that larvae from populations that were protected from exploitation were 3219 3220 physiologically more tolerant of climate stressors, it appears that these MPAs will be critical to preserve C. laticeps populations and the fisheries resources that they provide in this area. In 3221

addition, the promulgation of additional MPAs, to protect the bold, high performers in areas where *C. laticeps* may be susceptible in the future may be necessary. The incorporation of physiological
information into conservation strategies has been made elsewhere. For example, Maynard et al.
(2010) and Levy and Ban (2013) recommended that the principals of conservation physiology be
fundamentally incorporated into MPA design to preserve fisheries resources.

3227

3228 For resident fishes, such as C. laticeps, MPA's offer a unique opportunity to protect the physiologically and behaviourally fittest phenotypes. Depending on their egg and larval dispersal 3229 strategies, the adults of these fishes have the potential to contribute their offspring to the 3230 3231 surrounding exploited areas. This is considered critical for maintaining physiological diversity (Duncan et al 2019), genetic diversity and catchability in nearby fished areas (Cooke et al. 2007, 3232 Gingerich et al. 2007) where the resilient physiological and behavioural phenotypes have been 3233 removed (e.g. reduced aerobic scope and activity; Duncan et al. 2019, Alos et al. 2012, Enberg et 3234 al. 2012, Koeck et al. 2018). Understanding these concepts and incorporating them into climate 3235 adaptation plans should be an essential component of South Africa's fisheries policy. However, 3236 while the basic mechanism driving the selection of certain physiological phenotypes is understood, 3237 3238 much research is necessary to refine and improve our understanding of this process and how to 3239 improve the status quo.

3240

#### 3241 **6.3.** Limitations of this study

3242 Coupling physiological function with behaviour provides a better scope of ecological processes 3243 (Scott and Sloman 2004), however laboratory-based predications may differ from ecological 3244 reality. Domestication (including netting, capture, transport and husbandry) can change fish physiological and behavioural phenotypes (as reviewed by Sylvain et al. 2020). However, the 3245 extent of behavioural and physiological changes varies amongst species. Chrysoblephus laticeps 3246 individuals were very robust to laboratory conditions and began feeding the following day after 3247 introduction to the laboratory, inferring that domestication-induced behavioural changes may 3248 3249 occur to a lesser extent in this species.

One of the concerns in the present study was the consequences of exposure to very warm temperatures during the experiments. *Chrysoblephus laticeps* were initially exposed to 24°C following a standardised experimental design (Duncan et al. 2019). However, in the present study's repeated measured design, fish suffered poor health (including bacterial infection and mortality) after this exposure and the experiment had to be terminated. Following this, a new batch 3255 of individuals were subjected to a slightly lower thermal maximum (21 ° C). The detrimental effect of the 24 °C went unnoticed by Duncan et al. (2019) as they did not perform repeated measures 3256 3257 on the same fish. The need for repeated measures must be acknowledged when performing thermal 3258 physiological studies at an individual level, in comparison to a population level. Indeed, the 3259 exposure to high temperatures may result in a reduction in aerobic scope of an individual (Portner et al. 2004), or a bacterial infection caused by physiological stress or injury from capture (Steeger 3260 3261 et al. 1994, Lapointe et al. 2014, Watson et al. 2020, Sylvain et al 2020). In an ecological reality, several abiotic and biotic components (ie. sensory ability, perception of risk, or residency shifts to 3262 avoid unfavourable conditions; Giske et al. 1998, Stankowich and Blumstein 2005, Nilsson and 3263 3264 Ostlund-Nilsson 2008) may interact to impact physiological performance, and individual or social behaviour (Killen et al. 2021). These could not be evaluated in this study. 3265

Assessing the impact of temperature and phenotypic differentiation in the context of social 3266 3267 hierarchy can be challenging in a lab setting. In this study, the formation of social groups of three individuals per 1000 L tank was attempted, but high levels of aggression occurred to the point of 3268 mortalities. Hence, individuals were subjected to paired duels. Future studies should measure 3269 3270 complex social interactions beyond paired duals (for example, a typical harem of around eight individuals in a larger housing tank equipped with cameras to record behaviour), such as plastic 3271 3272 changes in the group behaviour of multiple subordinates following the removal of the dominant individual. This is because the behavioural response of individuals may vary between paired duels 3273 3274 versus a complex social setting. For example, losers of dyadic duels may have much higher cortisol 3275 when facing the dominant individual head on, as opposed to lower stress shared between multiple 3276 subordinates under a single dominant competitor in ecological reality (Rivas and White 2020). The physiological underpinning of sociality is under researched, but there is increasing 3277 understanding that the variation of physiological traits amongst individuals is a critical driver of 3278 3279 social organization (Seebacher and Krause 2017, Killen et al. 2021). There is a need to examine how individual physiology within a social group context can change social group responses to 3280 environmental variation (Jolles et al. 2017, Killen et al. 2021). For example, a social hierarchy 3281 can be shifted by an individual's behaviour within the group, and this will impact interactions 3282 between other group members and group function as a whole (Jolles et al. 2017, Killen et al. 2021). 3283 3284 While individual physiological performance should be measured repeatedly for the same individual across an environmental gradient as was done in this study, these measurements should 3285 be repeated within a social group context to predict the ecologically relevant future climate success 3286 or vulnerability of reef fishes. 3287

3288 Additionally, this study assessed ecologically relevant short-term tolerance to thermal variability, which is important as it is often argued that simulated laboratory conditions differ from the realized 3289 3290 niche, particularly in the context of the OCLTT theory (Oxygen Capacity Limited Thermal 3291 Tolerance; Jutfelt et al. 2018, Portner et al. 2018). Indeed, this study used the variability in 3292 metabolic phenotypes and height of performance curves to assess ecologically relevant short-term tolerance to extreme thermal variation. In particular, the OCLTT is under debate as to whether 3293 3294 optimum performance temperatures estimated in laboratory experiments correspond to temperatures where aerobic performance is maximised in ecological reality (Jutfelt et al. 2018, 3295 Portner et al. 2018). Hence, it has been argued that the OCLTT should not be applied to species 3296 3297 whose MMR increases steadily to reach maximum performance in natural conditions (Portner et al. 2018). This would include species from stable tropical environments that may capitalise on 3298 very high rates of maximum performance through a steep aerobic scope curve (Chown et al. 2010, 3299 Killen et al. 2014, Neubauer and Anderson 2019). 3300

3301

Payne et al. (2016) found that laboratory data on optimal performance temperatures matched in 3302 situ environmental thermal tolerance in several tropical and temperate species. They suggested 3303 3304 that thermal performance curves may be applied to an individual's realised thermal niche. Despite 3305 this, owing to the debate, optimal aerobic performance was not used to infer optimum temperature for C. laticeps in the present study, but rather the variability in metabolic phenotypes and height 3306 3307 of performance curves was used to assess short-term tolerance to extreme thermal variation (as suggested by Portner et al. 2018). Accordingly, the OCLTT may be more relevant to species that 3308 3309 may reach their oxygen limits in their ecological reality (Portner et al. 2018), such as those (including C. laticeps) living in highly variable coastal environments with frequent short-term 3310 3311 exposure to heat waves and upwelling events (Bates et al. 2018, 2019, Portner et al. 2018).

3312

The present study focussed on ecologically relevant short-term temperate variation, and the associated short-term thermal acclimation, rather than on long-term physiological acclimation. However, long term physiological acclimation is an important response to thermal variability through the broadening of thermal performance curves, or genetic adaptation (over several generations) so that performance curves are maximized in the new thermal environment (Donelson et al. 2012, Munday 2014, Chown et al. 2010, Donelson et al. 2019, Neubauer and Anderson 2019).

# 6.4. Future research priorities to understand the link between behaviour and physiology in the Anthropocene

3324

This study has highlighted the importance of linked physiological and behavioural traits in 3325 predicting the survival of fished C. laticeps populations to climate change. However, this research 3326 is in the early stages and there is currently limited information on how fisheries select for coupled 3327 behavioural and physiological traits for most species (Uus-Heikkila et al. 2008). Such information 3328 is important as physiological and behavioural plasticity are likely to govern the adaptive potential 3329 of fish populations. A focus of future studies should be to understand the coupled effects of 3330 3331 exploitation and climate stressors on fish physiology and behaviour (Gingerich et al. 2007) to optimise the governance of exploited angling species at risk of stock collapse, due to their 3332 ecological, economic and social importance (FAO 2012). The selection for behaviour and 3333 underlying physiological traits by fisheries is identified as one of the most crucial research areas 3334 3335 in the study of fishing-induced evolution (Uusi-Heikkila et al. 2008). Within this general research area, there are several future research priorities (outlined below) that are required to provide 3336 3337 information to maximize the survival and fitness of fished populations for effective management (McKenzie et al. 2016, Ward et al. 2016, Campillay-Llanos et al. 2021). 3338

3339

## **6.4.1.** Fishing selection on physiological and behavioural phenotypes.

As exploitation and climate change are expected to operate at the level of genotype through natural 3341 selection, whole-genome sequencing approaches to identify quantitative trait loci related to 3342 aerobic scope and behaviour are required. By comparing exploited and unexploited populations 3343 (for example those found inside and outside Marine Protected Areas) and populations inside and 3344 3345 outside environmental change hotspots, it may be possible to assess the genetic basis for the physiological and behavioural traits of interest, and to assess how directional selection for these 3346 3347 traits can vary under different pressures. For example, bold individuals may be favoured under 3348 climate stressors but selected against by exploitation.

3349

To gain a better understanding of the influence of exploitation, future research should aim to combine catchability experiments with telemetry to monitor behaviour, spatial use (e.g. Whitlock et al. 2015) and survival of released fish (Cooke et al. 2016). These biotelemetry studies should 3353 be extended to include physiological variables (e.g. heart rate or field metabolic rate; Metcalfe and Arnold 1997, Skeeles et al. 2020) and these can be related to environmental conditions in the wild. 3354 3355 These physiological data can not only be used to define critical thresholds (e.g. temperature and oxygen concentration), while accounting for energy used in vital metabolic processes (e.g. 3356 3357 locomotion, foraging) and biotic interactions (e.g. social competition, predation evasion), but will also provide information on the selective nature of exploitation. This information may be valuable 3358 3359 to inform fisheries policy that mitigates the effects of fishery-induced evolution for physiological and behaviour traits (McKenzie et al. 2016) in both catch and release and catch and kill contexts. 3360 Additionally, data obtained on the abundance and spatial use of individuals with certain 3361 behavioural and physiological traits could be used to determine the effectiveness of Marine 3362 Protected Areas for conserving the fittest phenotypes (Cooke et al. 2016, McKenzie et al. 2016, 3363 Ward et al. 2016). 3364

3365

# 6.4.2. Assessment of the plasticity and predictability of phenotypic trait expression, across a range of stressors of differing intensity.

It is crucial to predict how populations may respond to environmental variation by determining 3368 which physiological and behavioural phenotypic traits can be expressed across a range of 3369 environmental conditions (Careau et al. 2008). Within this context there is also a need to account 3370 for various life history stages as these may have different energy budgets that may determine their 3371 sensitivity to environmental change. These must also be assessed across populations, as local 3372 acclimation and adaptation may impact growth, survival, fitness and genetic drift. This thesis has 3373 collected important data on the importance of linked physiological and behavioural traits for the 3374 survival of fished populations to thermal variability. However, there is a lack of information on 3375 the expression of physiological traits on behavioural phenotypes across a range of stressors and 3376 species and area-specific research is required (McKenzie et al. 2016, Ward et al. 2016). 3377

To add to the data collected in this study on the expression of linked physiological and behavioural traits across a thermal gradient, future studies need to characterize the expression of physiological and behavioural phenotypic traits across interacting environmental gradients. These include temperature variability, pH, dissolved gases (hypoxia and hypercapnia) and salinity. To better understand trait plasticity and differentiate between high and low performers, it is critical to categorize the expression of phenotypic traits at both optimal and extreme environmental thresholds, where performance, survival or reproduction are impaired. For example, studies on how aerobic scope varies with temperature is lacking for numerous fish species. Assessing the expression of physiological and behavioural traits across interacting environmental gradients for numerous species is a large undertaking, which will require linking laboratory and field studies, as well as physiology-based models (McKenzie et al. 2016, Ward et al. 2016). However, these data can be used to produce mechanistic thermal envelope models, for the projection of the sensitivity of species to global change, in relation to functional indicators such as bioenergetics and life history traits (Holt and Jorgensen 2015).

3392

## 3393 6.4.3 Impact of fishing pressure on social hierarchy.

3394 This study found that physiological and behavioural traits determined social dominance, which can change under thermally variable conditions. Given that that these traits are under selection by 3395 3396 fisheries (Alos et al. 2012, Duncan 2019), fisheries are likely to impact the structure of social groups and their ability to respond to climate change (Killen et al. 2021). Future research on the 3397 fishery-induced selection on behavioural and physiological traits in a social group context is 3398 therefore important to preserve fish stocks and the catchability of these stocks. For example, 3399 3400 exploitation pressures in hook and line fisheries may cause prolonged fission of populations by removing bold individuals (Alos et al. 2012) that have the aerobic capacity for behavioural change 3401 3402 in the population (Killen et al. 2017, Seebacher and Krause 2017, Pörtner et al. 2018). Alternatively, trawl fishing has been shown to reduce group cohesion (and hence, catchability of 3403 these stocks) by removing fish likely to school (Hollins et al. 2019). Fishing-induced alterations 3404 to social group structure is an important modulator of locomotion, foraging, and predation-evasion 3405 3406 costs and may be problematic for many social species (Killen et al. 2017, Hansen et al. 2020).

3407

To better predict the consequences of fishing-induced alterations on the social structure of fishes, 3408 we need to improve our understanding of how physiology and behaviour (e.g. risk taking, 3409 foraging, locomotion, activity) act together to determine the structure of fish shoals. For example, 3410 fish may form groups with individuals maintaining similar swimming speeds and sharing similar 3411 metabolic costs within the environment (Killen et al. 2017, Seebacher and Krause 2017). 3412 Furthermore, there is a lack of information as to how individuals use sensory cues to identify 3413 appropriate social groups (with similar physiological phenotypes), although Metcalfe and 3414 3415 Thompson (1995) suggested that fish can identify the competitive ability of conspecifics and may choose to group with less competitive individuals. Killen et al. (2017) suggested that fish may also 3416 be able to identify the physiological traits of individuals, which may determine social group 3417

3418 structure. In particular, more information is needed on species that form harems (i.e. the largest 3419 male dominates over a harem of females; as is the case in *C. laticeps*), and how this type of 3420 hierarchy may change following the capture of the dominant male.

3421

A key to predicting the impact of fisheries-induced evolution on group structure is the 3422 development of an understanding of which physiological and behavioural phenotypes influence 3423 the susceptibility of fishes to capture. This can be done by measuring individual physiological and 3424 behavioural traits in fish, assigning these fish to social groups and subjecting groups to fishing 3425 simulations. An example of this kind of study was conducted by Hollins et al. (2019), who used a 3426 3427 trawl simulation in a swim tunnel to assess which physiological phenotypes were most likely to 3428 be captured. Future research will need to determine the susceptibility of physiological and 3429 behavioural phenotypes to capture by a range of active and passive fishing gears. Fishing-induced changes to group social structure can be simulated by assigning different phenotypic trait 3430 3431 compositions to social groups and assessing the cohesion of these groups under environmental variability. Changes to group cohesion can be assessed through the measurement of changing 3432 3433 behavioural interactions and aerobic capacity (e.g. swim tunnel experiments) within the group, 3434 under changing environmental conditions. Behavioural preference tests should be used to evaluate whether individuals favour associating with familiar or unfamiliar shoal mates, and this should be 3435 3436 related to the physiological phenotypes of shoal members. Models can be used to predict future rates of change to the social structure of fished populations by combining data on fishery-specific 3437 phenotypic trait selection with environmental data and annual catch rates. The prediction of the 3438 future structure of fished populations will be important for management and policy intervention. 3439

3440

# 3441 6.4.4. Long-term data collection to account for micro-evolutionary time scales

Long-term data sets will provide evidence for increases in fishing mortality and its associated 3442 impacts on life history characteristics such as the age or size at maturation. For example, Andersen 3443 3444 and Beyer (2015) using long-term datasets found that a late maturing life history strategy increased a populations' susceptibility to the effects of fishing. However, reference points as to how different 3445 3446 metabolic phenotypes influence catchability are still needed. Long-term data sets spanning multiple generations will assist in answering key questions on the heritability of different 3447 phenotypic traits associated with metabolism and swimming performance. Long-term, detailed 3448 records of the heritability of phenotypic traits are required to detect shifts in physiological and 3449
behavioural trait diversity caused by fishing-induced micro-evolutionary processes, together withtheir capacity to alter ecological interactions (Ward et al. 2016).

3452

Long-term monitoring of angling effort, catch rates and fished population structure is required. 3453 Furthermore, the recording of long-term environmental data (e.g. temperature, dissolved oxygen 3454 and pH) is equally important. Long-term environmental datasets can be related to changes in 3455 growth overtime, for example by obtaining otoliths from subsamples of harvested individuals 3456 (Morrongiello et al. 2012). Telemetry can be used to relate how individuals perform 3457 physiologically (e.g. electrocardiogram or acceleration; Metcalfe and Arnold 1997, Hunter et al. 3458 3459 2004) to prevailing environmental conditions in the wild. Physiological traits must be related to susceptibility to capture. The spatial tracking of tagged individuals, from which physiological data 3460 3461 is collected, can be used to identify when these individuals engage in anthropogenic interactions (e.g. harvest or catch-and-release fishing; Cooke et al. 2016). Together, this information would 3462 3463 provide an indication on the historical and contemporary physiological and behavioural phenotype structure of fish populations and could be used to model future scenarios. 3464

3465

## 3466 6.4.5. Measuring fitness to assess the heritability of physiological and behavioural 3467 phenotypic traits.

Inter-individual variation in metabolic traits has been attributed to a genetic basis in several taxa 3468 3469 (Zub et al. 2012, Mathot et al. 2013). Among fishes, several studies (Lahti et al. 2002, Seppänen 3470 et al. 2009, Metcalfe et al. 2016) have suggested inter-individual variation in metabolic traits may be under genetic control. Several genes have been linked to general metabolic processes (i.e. 3471 proteolysis and antioxidants) associated with heat stress in Salmo salar (Beemelmanns et al. 2020). 3472 With the genotype influencing the physiological and behavioural phenotypes, it is critical to gain 3473 3474 an understanding of heritability. Researchers have recently isolated genes linked to the expression of metabolic traits associated with heat stress (Beemelmanns et al. 2020, Taboun 2020) and 3475 3476 hypoxia (Zou et al. 2022), as well as behavioural traits (Abeliovich et al. 1993, Chen et al. 1994, 3477 Iguchi et al. 2001, Baran and Streelman 2020, amongst others; Ariyomo et al. 2013, Edenbrow 3478 and Croft 2013, Laine et al. 2014). As mentioned previously (see Section 6.3), Zou et al. (2021) found that bold and timid Paralichthys olivaceus differed in the expression of 144 genes related 3479 3480 to personality and metabolism. In particular, it is necessary to gain understanding of whether interindividual differences in stress responses, (i.e. attributed to the expression of physiological and 3481 3482 behavioural phenotypic traits) may be related to reproductive fitness. For example, Careau et al.

3483 (2020) is the first study to have quantified metabolic trait reaction norms in relation to fitness. This was done to understand the evolutionary importance of physiological and behavioural traits in 3484 3485 response to anthropogenic stressors. They found that differences in physiological and behavioural trait expression did not affect the reproductive fitness of male zebra finches (*Taeniopygia guttata*) 3486 3487 exposed to a novel environment. However, an understanding of the heritability of behavioural and physiological traits is needed among the fishes. Specifically, this research should focus on whether 3488 3489 differences in trait expression are related to fitness by determining different stress responses. The first study to do this was by Long et al. (2021), who found that P. olivaceus offspring contained a 3490 mix of bold and timid phenotypes irrespective of maternal line, however behavioural traits were 3491 3492 linked to metabolic traits, which were partly heritable due to maternal effects. Both bold and timid offspring from the bold maternal line had the advantage of a higher metabolic rate and the ability 3493 to respond to environmental stressors (Long et al. 2021). Epi-genetics is considered to be an ideal 3494 tool to examine the heritability of metabolic and behavioural phenotypic traits and the co-3495 3496 existence, of behavioural and metabolic phenotypic traits (Long et al. 2021).

In addition to employing epigenetic techniques, chemical tags can be used to express or prevent 3497 3498 the expression of genes to expose which genes may be linked to behavioural and physiological phenotypes, as well as which of these phenotypes can co-exist. To determine the evolutionary 3499 3500 consequences of variability in physiological and behavioural traits, future studies need to measure changes in trait frequency under simulated stressors overtime (Biro and Post 2008). Studies will 3501 3502 also need to account for the selective nature of fisheries on the relative frequency of physiological 3503 and behavioural phenotypic traits overtime. For example, Redpath et al. (2010) used two bred lines 3504 of largemouth bass Micropterus salmoides, with different vulnerability to angling and correlated these with metabolic traits. 3505

3506

3507 Critically, when any of the abovementioned research is conducted using wild fish populations, the method of capture has to be closely considered. This is because individuals with certain 3508 behavioural (eg. Alós et al. 2012) or physiological characteristics (eg. Duncan et al 2019) may be 3509 more susceptible to capture in a single gear and may therefore be overrepresented in 3510 experimentation. Researchers will have to reduce this potential bias and may be able to do this by 3511 3512 using a range of sampling methods (Biro and Dingemanse 2009), including active and passive techniques when capturing individuals for these experiments. While this may complicate 3513 experiments, researchers are urged to embrace this challenge and contribute to the sparse 3514

information base on how fisheries select for coupled behavioural and physiological traits (Uus-Heikkila et al. 2008).

3517

## 3518 **6.5 Conclusion**

In conclusion, physiological and behavioural traits are crucial to predict the survival of fished 3519 populations to thermal variability. The scientific community has the capacity to inform fisheries 3520 policy using data collected on the coupled effects of exploitation and climate stressors on fish 3521 metabolic physiology and behaviour. Fisheries scientists need to collaborate with government 3522 authorities to apply such knowledge to develop regulatory frameworks that optimize the 3523 management of exploited fishes and maintain the resilience of the complex socio-ecological 3524 systems associated with their fisheries (FAO 2012). It is critical to preserve physiological traits 3525 linked to behavioural plasticity as these traits are likely to govern the adaptive potential of fished 3526 populations and facilitate vital ecological and evolutionary processes (Ward et al. 2016). 3527 3528 Preserving the diversity of physiological and behavioural phenotypes in fished populations will promote climate-resilience and hence, falls within the scope of an ecosystem-based fisheries 3529 management approach (Schindler and Hilborn 2015, Ward et al. 2016). By implementing a 3530 management approach that considers the protection of physiological and behavioural diversity, 3531 fisheries managers will maximize ecosystem services in a rapidly changing climate (Schindler and 3532 Hilborn 2015). 3533

3534

3535

## 3536 **6.6 References**

3537

3540

3543

3547

<sup>Abeliovich A, Paylor R, Chen C, Kim JJ, Wehner JM, Tonegawa S (1993) PKCγ mutant mice exhibit mild
deficits in spatial and contextual learning.</sup> *Cell* 75:1263-1271

Adriaenssens B, Johnsson JI (2013) Natural selection, plasticity and the emergence of a behavioural syndrome in the wild. *Ecology letters* 16:47-55

Alioravainen N (2020) Behavioural conservation in hatchery-reared brown trout: phenotypic effects of
 controlled crossbreeding with wild fish. Doctoral dissertation, Itä-Suomen yliopisto, University of Eastern
 Finland

<sup>Almeida JA, Barreto RE, Novelli EL, Castro FJ, Moron SE (2009) Oxidative stress biomarkers and
aggressive behavior in fish exposed to aquatic cadmium contamination.</sup> *Neotropical ichthyology* 7:103108

3552 Alós J, Palmer M, Arlinghaus R (2012) Consistent selection towards low activity phenotypes when 3553 catchability depends on encounters among human predators and fish. PloS one 7:e48030 3554 Alton LA, Portugal SJ, White CR (2013) Balancing the competing requirements of air-breathing and 3555 display behaviour during male-male interactions in Siamese fighting fish Betta splendens. Comparative 3556 Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology 164:363-367 3557 3558 Andersen KH, Beyer JE (2015) Size structure, not metabolic scaling rules, determines fisheries reference 3559 3560 points. Fish Fish 16: 1-22 3561 Archard GA, Earley RL, Hanninen AF, Braithwaite VA (2012) Correlated behaviour and stress physiology in fish exposed to different levels of predation pressure. Functional Ecology 26:637-645 3562 3563 3564 Ariyomo TO, Carter M, Watt PJ (2013) Heritability of Boldness and Aggressiveness in the Zebrafish. 3565 Behaviour Genetics 43: 161-167 3566 3567 Arlinghaus R, Cooke SJ, Potts W (2013) Towards resilient recreational fisheries on a global scale through 3568 improved understanding of fish and fisher behaviour. Fisheries Management and Ecology 20:91-98 3569 Arlinghaus R, Klefoth T, Cooke SJ, Gingerich A, Suski C (2009) Physiological and behavioural 3570 consequences of catch-and-release angling on northern pike (Esox lucius L.). Fisheries Research 97:223-3571 3572 233 3573 3574 Arlinghaus R, Laskowski KL, Alós J, Klefoth T, Monk CT, Nakayama S, Schröder A. (2017) Passive gearinduced timidity syndrome in wild fish populations and its potential ecological and managerial 3575 3576 implications. Fish and Fisheries 18:360-373 3577 3578 Arlinghaus R, Mehner T, Cowx IG (2002) Reconciling traditional inland fisheries management and sustainability in industrialized countries, with emphasis on Europe. Fish and Fisheries 3:261-316 3579 3580 3581 Attwood C, Booth T, Kerwath S, Mann B, Marr S, Bonthuys J, Duncan J, Potts W (2013) A Decade After the Emergency: The proceedings of hte 4th LineFish Symposium. P. 288 WWF South Africa Report Series 3582 3583 - 2013/Marine/001 Aubin-Horth N, Deschênes M, Cloutier S (2012) Natural variation in the molecular stress network 3584 correlates with a behavioural syndrome. Hormones and Behavior 61:140-146 3585 3586 3587 Auer SK, Salin K, Metcalfe NB (2015) Aerobic scope explains individual variation in 3588 feeding capacity. Biology Letters 11: 20150793 3589 3590 Bailey LA, Childs AR, James NC, Winkler A, Potts WM (2022) Links between behaviour and metabolic physiology in fishes in the Anthropocene. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries: 1-25 3591 3592 3593 Baker P, Van Wie I, Braun E, Jimenez AG (2020) Thermal stability vs. variability: Insights in oxidative 3594 stress from a eurytolerant fish. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative 3595 Physiology 110767 3596 3597 Balduzzi S, Rücker G, Schwarzer G (2019) How to perform a meta-analysis with R: a practical tutorial. Evidence-Based Mental Health: 153-160 3598

| 3599 |   |
|------|---|
| 3600 | Baran NM, Streelman TJ (2020) Ecotype differences in aggression, neural activity, and behaviorally        |
| 3601 | relevant gene expression in cichlid fish. Genes, Brain and Behavior: p.e12657                             |
| 3602 |   |
| 3603 | Barrett L, Blumstein DT, Clutton-Brock TH, Kappeler PM (2013) Taking note of Tinbergen, or: the           |
| 3604 | promise of a biology of behaviour. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences |
| 3605 | 368:20120352  |
| 3606 |   |
| 3607 | Bates AE, Helmuth B, Burrows MT, Duncan MI, Garrabou J, Guy-Haim T, Lima F, Queiros AM, Seabra            |
| 3608 | R, Marsh R, Belmaker J (2018) Biologists ignore ocean weather at their peril. Nature 560:299-301.         |
| 3609 |   |
| 3610 | Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects 469 models using lme4.        |
| 3611 | Journal of Statistical Software 67:1–48   |
| 3612 |   |
| 3613 |   |
| 3614 | Bates AE, Cooke RS, Duncan MI, Edgar GJ, Bruno JF, Benedetti-Cecchi L, Côté IM, Lefcheck JS, Costello     |
| 3615 | MJ, Barrett N, Bird TJ (2019) Climate resilience in marine protected areas and the 'Protection Paradox'.  |
| 3616 | Biological Conservation 236: 305-314  |
| 3617 |   |
| 3618 | Behrens JW, von Friesen LW, Brodin T, Ericsson P, Hirsch PE, Persson A, Sundelin A, van Deurs M,          |
| 3619 | Nilsson PA (2020) Personality-and size-related metabolic performance in invasive round goby (Neogobius    |
| 3620 | melanostomus). Physiology and Behavior 215:112777   |
| 3621 |   |
| 3622 | Beemelmanns A, Zanuzzo FS, Xue X, Sandrelli RM, Rise ML, Gamperl AK (2020). The transcriptomic            |
| 3623 | responses of Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar) to high temperature stress alone, and in combination with      |
| 3624 | moderate hypoxia.   |
| 3625 |   |
| 3626 | Beever EA, Hall LE, Varner J, Loosen AE, Dunham JB, Gahl MK, Smith FA, Lawler JJ (2017) Behavioral        |
| 3627 | flexibility as a mechanism for coping with climate change. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment       |
| 3628 | 15:299-308.   |
| 3629 |   |
| 3630 | Bell AM (2007) Evolutionary Biology: Animal personalities. <i>Nature</i> 447:539-540                      |
| 3631 |   |
| 3632 | Bessa E, Sadoul B, Mckenzie DJ, Geffroy B (2021) Group size, temperature and body size modulate the       |
| 3633 | effects of social hierarchy on basal cortisol levels in fishes. <i>Hormones and behavior</i> 136: 105077. |
| 3634 | Bell AM, Sih A (2007) Exposure to predation generates personality in threespined sticklebacks             |
| 3635 | (Gasterosteus aculeatus). Ecology Letters 10:828-834  |
| 3636 |   |
| 3637 | Bell AM, Stamps JA (2004) Development of behavioural differences between individuals and populations      |
| 3638 | of sticklebacks, Gasterosteus aculeatus. Animal Behaviour 68: 1339-1348                                   |
| 3639 |   |
| 3640 | Binder TR, Wilson AD, Wilson SM, Suski CD, Godin JGJ, Cooke SJ (2016) Is there a pace-of-life             |
| 3641 | syndrome linking boldness and metabolic capacity for locomotion in bluegill sunfish? Animal Behaviour     |
| 3642 | 121:175-183   |
| 3643 |   |
| 3644 | Biro PA, Beckmann C, Stamps JA (2010) Small within-day increases in temperature affects boldness and      |
| 3645 | alters personality in coral reef fish. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 277:71-77  |

| 3646 |   |
|------|---|
| 3647 | Biro PA, Garland Jr T, Beckmann C, Ujvari B, Thomas F, Post JR (2018) Metabolic scope as a proximate            |
| 3648 | constraint on individual behavioral variation: effects on personality, plasticity, and predictability. The      |
| 3649 | American Naturalist 192:142-154   |
| 3650 |   |
| 3651 | Biro PA, Dingemanse NJ (2009) Sampling bias resulting from animal personality. Trends in Ecology and            |
| 3652 | Evolution 24: 66-67   |
| 3653 |   |
| 3654 | Biro PA, Post JR (2008) Rapid depletion of genotypes with fast growth and bold personality traits from          |
| 3655 | harvested fish populations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105:2919-2922                       |
| 3656 |   |
| 3657 | Biro PA, Stamps JA (2010) Do consistent individual differences in metabolic rate promote consistent             |
| 3658 | individual differences in behavior? Trends in Ecology and Evolution 25:653-659                                  |
| 3659 |   |
| 3660 | Bolker BM, Brooks ME, Clark CJ, Geange SW, Poulsen JR, Stevens MHH, White JSS (2009) Generalized                |
| 3661 | linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 24:           |
| 3662 | 127-135   |
| 3663 | 127 135   |
| 3664 | Bolnick DI Svanbäck R Fordyce IA Vang I H Davis IM Hulsey CD Forister MI (2003) The ecology                     |
| 3665 | of individuals: incidence and implications of individual specialization. <i>The American Naturalist</i> 161: 1- |
| 3666 | 28  |
| 3667 |   |
| 3668 | Borcard D. Gillet F. Legendre P. (2011) Numerical Ecology with R. Springer Science & Business Media             |
| 3669 | New York New York USA   |
| 3670 | New Tork, New Tork, OBA   |
| 3671 | Border SE Piefke TI Funnell TR Fialkowski RF Sawecki I Diikstra PD (2021) Social instability                    |
| 3672 | influences rank-specific patterns of oxidative stress in a cichlid fish Journal of Experimental Biology 224:    |
| 3673 | ieb237172   |
| 3073 | 500237172   |
| 3674 | Bozinovic F, Pörtner HO (2015) Physiological ecology meets climate change. Ecology and Evolution 5:             |
| 3675 | 1025-1030   |
| 3676 |   |
| 3677 | Brander K (2010) Impacts of climate change on fisheries. Journal of Marine Systems 79:389-402                   |
| 3678 |   |
| 3679 | Brooks ME, Kristensen K, van Benthem KJ, Magnusson A, Berg CW, Nielsen A, Skaug HJ, Maechler M,                 |
| 3680 | Bolker BM (2017) GlmmTMB balances speed and flexibility among packages for zero-inflated generalized            |
| 3681 | linear mixed modeling. The R Journal 9: 378-400   |
| 3682 |   |
| 3683 | Brown JH, Gillooly JF, Allen AP, Savage VM, West GB (2004) Toward a metabolic theory of ecology.                |
| 3684 | Ecology 85:1771-1789  |
| 3685 |   |
| 3686 | Brownscombe JW, Gutowsky LFG, Danylchuk AJ, Cooke SJ (2014) Foraging behaviour and activity of a                |
| 3687 | marine benthivorous fish estimated using tri-axial accelerometer biologgers. Marine Ecology Progress            |
| 3688 | Series 505:241-251  |
| 3689 |   |
| 3690 | Brosset P, Cooke SJ, Schull Q (2021) Physiological biomarkers and fisheries management. Reviews in Fish         |

3691 Biology and Fisheries 31: 797–819

- 3692 Buxton CD (1990) The reproductive biology of Chrysoblephus laticeps and C. cristiceps
- 3693 (Teleostei: Sparidae). Journal of Zoology 220:497-511.
- 3694

3701

3704

3707

3710

3713

3719

3722

3725

- Buxton CD (1993) Life-history changes in exploited reef fishes on the east coast of South Africa.
   *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 36:47-63.
- 3698 Campillay-Llanos W, Saldaña-Núñez V, Córdova-Lepe F, Moreno-Gómez FN (2021) Fish catch
   3699 management strategies: Evaluating the interplay between body size and global warming. *Natural Resource* 3700 *Modeling*: e12331
- Careau V, Mariette MM, Crino O, Buttemer WA, Buchanan KL (2020) Repeatability of behavior and
  physiology: no impact of reproductive investment. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*: 113403.
- Careau V, Thomas D, Humphries MM, Réale D (2008) Energy metabolism and animal personality. *Oikos*117:641-653
- 3708 Carmona-Catot G, Magellan K, García-Berthou E (2013) Temperature-specific competition between
  3709 invasive mosquitofish and an endangered cyprinodontid fish. *PLoS One* 8:e54734
- Carter AJ, Feeney WE, Marshall HH, Cowlishaw G, Heinsohn R (2013) Animal personality: what are
  behavioural ecologists measuring? *Biological Reviews* 88:465-475
- 3714 Castanheira MF, Herrera M, Costas B, Conceição LE, Martins CI (2013) Can we predict personality in
  3715 fish? Searching for consistency over time and across contexts. *PLoS One* 8:e62037
- 3716
  3717 Cattano C, Claudet J, Domenici P, Milazzo M (2018) Living in a high CO2 world: A global Meta-analysis
  3718 shows multiple trait-mediated fish responses to ocean acidification. *Ecological Monographs* 88:320-335
- Chabot D, McKenzie DJ, Craig JF (2016) Metabolic rate in fishes: definitions, methods and significance
  for conservation physiology. *Journal of Fish Biology* 88:1-9
- Chappell MA, Garland T, Rezende EL, Gomes FR (2004) Voluntary running in deer mice: speed, distance,
  energy costs and temperature effects. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 207:3839-3854
- Chen C, Rainnie DG, Greene RW, Tonegawa S (1994) Abnormal fear response and aggressive behavior in
  mutant mice deficient for alpha-calcium-calmodulin kinase II. *Science* 266:291-294
- Childs AR, Cowley PD, Næsje TF, Booth AJ, Potts WM, Thorstad EB, Økland F (2008) Do environmental
  factors influence the movement of estuarine fish? A case study using acoustic telemetry. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 78:227-236
- 3732
- Chown SL, Hoffmann AA, Kristensen TN, Angilletta Jr MJ, Stenseth NC, Pertoldi C (2010) Adapting to
  climate change: a perspective from evolutionary physiology. *Climate Research* 43:3-15
- 3735
  3736 Claireaux G, Webber D, Kerr S, Boutilier R (1995) Physiology and behaviour of free-swimming Atlantic
  3737 cod (*Gadus morhua*) facing fluctuating temperature conditions. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 198:493738 60
- 3738 3739

3740 Clarke RD (1992) Effects of microhabitat and metabolic, rate on food intake, growth and fecundity of two 3741 competing coral reef fishes. Coral Reefs 11:199-205 3742 3743 Clarke A, Johnston NM (2002) Scaling of metabolic rate with body mass and temperature in teleost fish. 3744 Journal of Animal Ecology 68:893-905 3745 3746 Clark TD, Messmer V, Tobin AJ, Hoey AS, Pratchett MS (2017) Rising temperatures may drive fishing-3747 induced selection of low-performance phenotypes. Scientific Reports 7:1-1 3748 3749 Clark TD, Sandblom E, Jutfelt F (2013) Aerobic scope measurements of fishes in an era of climate change: 3750 respirometry, relevance and recommendations. Journal of Experimental Biology 216:2771-2782 3751 3752 Clark TD, Messmer V, Tobin AJ, Hoey AS, Pratchett MS (2017) Rising temperatures may drive fishing-3753 induced selection of low-performance phenotypes. Scientific Reports 7:1-11 3754 3755 Claireaux G, Lefrançois C (2007) Linking environmental variability and fish performance: integration 3756 through the concept of scope for activity. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 362: 2031-2041 3757 3758 3759 Cockrem J (2007) Stress, corticosterone responses and avian personalities. Journal of Ornithology 3760 148:169-178 3761 3762 Coleman K, Wilson DS (1998) Shyness and boldness in pumpkinseed sunfish: individual differences are 3763 context-specific. Animal Behaviour 56:927-936 3764 3765 Colléter M, Brown C (2011) Personality traits predict hierarchy rank in male rainbowfish social groups. 3766 Animal Behaviour 81:1231-1237 3767 3768 Collins M, Knutti R, Arblaster J, Dufresne JL (2013) Long-term climate change: projections, commitments and irreversibility. In: Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner GK (eds) Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science 3769 3770 Basis. Cambridge University Press Cambridge, United Kingdom, and New York, USA 3771 3772 Cooke SJ, Brownscombe JW, Raby GD, Broell F, Hinch SG, Clark TD, Semmens JM (2016) Remote bioenergetics measurements in wildfish: opportunities and challenges. Comparative Biochemistry and 3773 3774 Physiology Part A: Molecular and Integrative Physiology. In press.cdoi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2016.03.022 3775 3776 Cooke SJ, Connor CMO (2010) Making conservation physiology relevant to policy makers and 3777 conservation practitioners. Conservation Letters 3: 159-166 3778 Cooke SJ, Hinch SG, Donaldson MR, Clark TD, Eliason EJ, Crossin GT, Raby GD, Jeffries KM, Lapointe M, Miller K, Patterson DA, Anthony P (2012) Conservation physiology in practice: how physiological 3779 3780 knowledge has improved our ability to sustainably manage Pacific salmon during up-river migration. 3781 Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society B 367:1757-1769 3782 3783 Cooke SJ, Madliger CL, Lennox RJ, Olden JD, Eliason EJ, Cramp RL, Seebacher F (2023) Biological 3784 mechanisms matter in contemporary wildlife conservation. iScience

- Cooke SJ, Sack L, Franklin CE, Farrell AP, Beardall J, Wikelski, M, Chown, S.L. 2013. What is
  conservation physiology? Perspectives on an increasingly integrated and essential science. *Conservation Physiology* 1.
- Cooke SJ, Suski CD (2005) Do we need species specific guidelines for catch-and-release recreational
  angling to effectively conserve diverse fishery resources? *Biodiversity and Conservation* 14:1195-1209
- Cooke SJ, Suski CD, Ostrand KG, Wahl DH, Philipp DP (2007) Physiological and behavioral
  consequences of long-term artificial selection for vulnerability to recreational angling in a teleost fish. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 80:480-490
- 3796 Cooke SJ, Twardek WM, Reid AJ, Lennox RJ, Danylchuk SC, Brownscombe JW Danylchuk AJ (2019)
  3797 Searching for responsible and sustainable recreational fisheries in the Anthropocene. *Journal of Fish*3798 *Biology*
- 3799

3788

- Coristine LE, Robillard CM, Kerr JT, O'Connor CM, Lapointe D, Cooke SJ (2014) A conceptual
  framework for the emerging discipline of conservation physiology. *Conservation Physiology* 2:1-12
- 3803 Crocker CE, Cech Jr JJ (1997) Effects of environmental hypoxia on oxygen consumption rate and
   3804 swimming activity in juvenile white sturgeon, *Acipenser transmontanus*, in relation to temperature and life
   3805 intervals. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 50:383-389
- 3807 Croft DP, Krause J, James R (2004) Social networks in the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*).
  3808 *Proceedings of the Royal Society* B 271:516-S519
- 3809

3813

3816

3819

3806

Cutts CJ, Adams CE, Campbell A (2001) Stability of physiological and behavioural determinants of
performance in Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*58:961-968

- Cutts CJ, Metcalfe NB, Taylor AC (1998) Aggression and growth depression in juvenilesalmon the
   consequences of variation in metabolic rate. *Journal of Fish Biology*52:1026-1037
- Cutts CJ, Metcalfe NB, Taylor AC (1999) Competitive asymmetries in territorial juvenile Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar. Oikos* 86:479-486
- Cutts CJ, Adams CE, Campbell A (2001) Stability of physiological and behavioural determinants of
  performance in Arctic char (*Salvelinus alpinus*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*58:961-968
- 3823
- 3824 DEFF (2020) Status of the South African marine fishery resources. Department of Environment, Forestry3825 and Fisheries, Cape Town.
- 3826

- 3827 D'Agostino D, Burt JA, Reader T, Vaughan GO, Chapman BB, Santinelli V, Feary DA (2020) The
  influence of thermal extremes on coral reef fish behaviour in the Arabian/Persian Gulf. *Coral Reefs* 39:733744
- 3831 DAFF (2016) Draft climate change adaptation and mitigation plan for South African agriculture, forestry,
  3832 and fisheries sectors. Department of Environmental Affairs, Fisheries and Forestries: 82.

- 3833
- Danylchuk SE, Danylchuk AJ, Cooke SJ, Goldberg TL, Koppelman J, Philipp DP (2007) Effects of recreational angling on the post-release behavior and predation of bonefish (Albula vulpes): the role of equilibrium status at the time of release. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 346:127-133
- 3838
- Day RL, MacDonald T, Brown, C, Laland KN, Reader SM (2001) Interactions between shoal size and
  conformity in guppy social foraging. *Animal Behaviour* 62: 917-925
- 3841
- de Abreu MS, Giacomini AC, Genario R, Dos Santos BE, Marcon L, Demin KA, Kalueff AV (2020). The
  impact of housing environment color on zebrafish anxiety-like behavioral and physiological (cortisol)
  responses. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 294:113499
- Be Staso J, Rahel FJ (1994) Influence of water temperature on interactions between juvenile Colorado
   River cutthroat trout and brook trout in a laboratory stream. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 123:289-297
- 3848

3854

- Dijkstra PD, Seehausen O, Metcalfe, NB (2013) Metabolic differentiation in an incipientspecies pair of
  cichlid fish. *Journal of Fish Biology* 82:1975-1989
- Dijkstra PD, Pierotti ME, Seehausen O, Metcalfe NB (2016) Metabolism, oxidative stress and territorial
  behaviour in a female colour polymorphic cichlid fish. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 70:99-109
- Dingemanse NJ, Wright J, Kazem AJN, Thomas DK, Hickling R, Dawnay N (2007) Behavioural
  syndromes differ predictably between 12 populations of three-spined stickleback. Journal of Animal
  Ecology 76:1128-1138
- 3858
- 3859 Dioguardi M, Vazzana M, Dara M, Vazzana I, Accardi D, Carbonara P, Cammarata M (2021) Social
  3860 hierarchy effects on stress responses of subordinate specimens in sea bream (*Sparus aurata*)
- 3862 Donelson JM, Munday PL, McCormick MI, Pitcher CR (2012) Rapid transgenerational acclimation of a
   3863 tropical reef fish to climate change. *Nature Climate Change* 2:30
- 3864

- Donelson JM, Sunday JM, Figueira WF, Gaitán-Espitia JD, Hobday AJ, Johnson CR, Leis JM, Ling SD,
  Marshall D, Pandolfi JM, Pecl G (2019) Understanding interactions between plasticity, adaptation and
  range shifts in response to marine environmental change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 374:20180186
- 3869
- 3870 Dorfman D (2005) Aggression and oxygen uptake in Siamese fighting fish. *Bulletin of the New Jersey* 3871 *Academy of Science* 50:11-14
- 3872
- 3873 Duncan MI (2018) A physiological study on a commercial reef fish to quantify the relationship between
  3874 exploitation and climate change resilience. PhD Thesis, Department of Ichthyology and Fisheries Science,
  3875 Rhodes University.
- 3876
- 3877 Duncan MI, Bates AE, James NC, Potts WM (2019) Exploitation may influence the climate resilience of
  3878 fish populations through removing high performance metabolic phenotypes. *Scientific Reports* 9:1-10

3887

- 3880 Dwyer GK, Stoffels RJ, Pridmore PA (2014) Morphology, metabolism, and behavior: responses of three
  3881 fishes with different lifestyles to acute hypoxia. *Freshwater Biology* 59:819-831
- Edenbrow M, Croft DP (2013) Environmental and genetic effects shape the development of
  personality traits in the mangrove killifish *Kryptolebias marmoratus*. *Oikos* 122:667-681
- 3885 EIFAC [European Inland Fisheries Advisory Commission]. (2008). EIFAC Code of Practice for
  3886 Recreational Fisheries. EIFAC Occasional Paper. Rome Italy: FAO.
- Eliason EJ, Farrell AP (2016) Oxygen uptake in Pacific salmon *Oncorhynchus* spp.: when ecology and
  physiology meet. *Journal of Fish Biology* 88:359-388
- Enberg K, Jørgensen C, Dunlop ES, Varpe Ø, Boukal DS, Baulier L, Eliassen S, Heino M (2012) Fishinginduced evolution of growth: Concepts, mechanisms and the empirical evidence. *Marine Ecology* 33:1-25.
- Enders EC, Wall AJ, Svendsen JC (2019) Hypoxia but not shy-bold phenotype mediates thermal
  preferences in a threatened freshwater fish, *Notropis percobromus. Journal of Thermal Biology* 84:479487
- 3896

- FAO (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations). 2000. *The State of the World Fisheries and Aquaculture*.
- FAO (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations) 2012. Technical Guidelines for
   *Responsible Fisheries: Recreational Fisheries*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United
   Nations, 176 pp
- Farrell AP, Hinch SG, Cooke SJ, Patterson DA, Crossin GT, Lapointe M, Mathes MT (2008) Pacific
  salmon in hot water: applying aerobic scope models and biotelemetry to predict the success of spawning
  migrations. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 81:697-708
- 3906
- Fausch KD (1984) Profitable stream positions for salmonids: relating specific growth rate to net energygain. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 62:441-451
- 3909
- Ferrer MA, Calduch-Giner JA, Díaz M, Sosa J, Rosell-Moll E, Abril JS, Sosa GS, Delgado TB, Carmona
  C, Martos-Sitcha JA, Cabruja E (2020) From operculum and body tail movements to different coupling of
  physical activity and respiratory frequency in farmed gilthead sea bream and European sea bass. Insights
  on aquaculture biosensing. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 175*:105531.
- 3914
- Finstad AG, Forseth T, Ugedal O, Naesje TF (2007) Metabolic rate, behaviour and winter performance in
   juvenile Atlantic salmon. *Functional Ecology* 21:905-912
- Fischer P (2000) An experimental test of metabolic and behavioural responses of benthic fish species to
  different types of substrate. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 57:2336-2344
- 3919 Forstner H, Wieser W (1990) Patterns of routine swimming and metabolic rate in juvenile cyprinids at three
- temperatures: analysis with a respirometer-activity-monitoring system. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B* 160:71-76

3922 Fox RJ, Donelson JM, Schunter C, Ravasi T, Gaitán-Espitia JD (2019) Beyond buying time: the role of 3923 plasticity in phenotypic adaptation to rapid environmental change 3924 3925 Freitas C, Olsen EM, Moland E, Ciannelli L, Knutsen H (2015) Behavioral responses of Atlantic cod to 3926 sea temperature changes. Ecology and Evolution 5:2070-2083 3927 3928 Frost AJ, Winrow-Giffen A, Ashley PJ, Sneddon LU (2007) Plasticity in animal personality traits: does 3929 prior experience alter the degree of boldness? Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 3930 274:333-339 3931 3932 Gale MK, Hinch SG, Donaldson MR (2013) The role of temperature in the capture and release of fish. Fish 3933 and Fisheries 14:1-33 3934 3935 García-Reyes M, Sydeman WJ, Schoeman DS, Rykaczewski RR, Black BA, Smit AJ, Bograd SJ (2015) 3936 Under pressure: climate change, upwelling, and eastern boundary upwelling ecosystems. Frontiers in 3937 Marine Science 2:109 3938 3939 Gilbert AL, Miles DB (2017) Natural selection on thermal preference, critical thermal maxima and locomotor performance. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 284:20170536 3940 3941 3942 Gillooly JF, Brown JH, West GB, Savage VM, Charnov EL (2001) Effects of size and temperature on metabolic rate. Science 293:2248-2251 3943 3944 3945 Gingerich AJ, Cooke SJ, Hanson KC, Donaldson MR, Hasler CT, Suski CD, Arlinghaus R (2007) 3946 Evaluation of the interactive effects of air exposure duration and water temperature on the condition and 3947 survival of angled and released fish. Fisheries Research 86:169-178 3948 3949 Giske J, Huse G, Fiksen O (1998) Modelling spatial dynamics of fish. Reviews in Fish Biology and 3950 Fisheries 8:57-91 3951 3952 Gomez DF, Cramp RL, Franklin CE (2020) Simultaneous exposure to nitrate and low pH reduces the blood 3953 oxygen-carrying capacity and functional performance of a freshwater fish. Conservation Physiology 3954 8:p.coz092 3955 Goschen WS, Schumann EH (1995) Upwelling and the occurrence of cold water around Cape Recife, 3956 3957 Algoa Bay, South Africa. South African Journal of Marine Science 16: 57-67 3958 3959 Gosling SD (2001) From mice to men: what can we learn about personality from animal research? Psychological Bulletin 127:45-86 3960 3961 3962 Götz A, Kerwath S (2013). Roman (Chrysoblephus laticeps). P. 357 in Mann, B. Q. (ed.). Southern African 3963 Marine Linefish Species Profiles (Special publication). Oceanographic Research Institute, Durban 3964 3965 Götz A, Kerwath SE, Attwood CG, Sauer WHH (2008) Effects of fishing on population structure and life history of roman Chrysoblephus laticeps (Sparidae). Marine Ecology Progress Series 362:245-259 3966 3967

3968 Grantner A, Taborsky M (1998) The metabolic rates associated with resting, and with the performance of 3969 agonistic, submissive and digging behaviours in the cichlid fish Neolamprologus pulcher (Pisces: 3970 Cichlidae). Journal of Comparative Physiology B 168:427-433 3971 3972 Griffiths MH (2000) Long-term trends in catch and effort of commercial linefish off South Africa's Cape 3973 Province: snapshots of the 20th century. South African Journal of Marine Science 22:81-110 3974 Gurney WSC, Nisbet RM (1979) Ecological stability and social hierarchy. Theoretical Population Biology 3975 16:48-80 3976 3977 Haddaway NR, Woodcock P, Macura B, Collins A (2015) Making literature reviews more reliable through 3978 application of lessons from systematic reviews. Conservation Biology 29:1596-1605. 3979 3980 Hansen MJ, Ligocki IY, Zillig KE, Steel AE, Todgham AE, Fangue NA (2020) Risk-taking and locomotion 3981 in foraging threespine sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus): the effect of nutritional stress is dependent 3982 on social context. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 74:1-12 3983 3984 Halpern BS, Lester SE, Kellner JB (2009) Spillover from marine reserves and the replenishment of fished 3985 stocks. Environmental Conservation 36:268-276 3986 Halsey LG, Killen SS, Clark TD (2018) Exploring key issues of aerobic scope interpretation in ectotherms: 3987 3988 absolute versus factorial. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries Science 28:405-415 3989 3990 Hampton I, Lamberth SJ, Pitcher GC, Pretorius M (2017) Report on the DAFF Workshop on fisheries vulnerability to climate change, 2-3 September 2015, Foretrust House, Cape Town. Cape Town, 39 pp 3991 3992 3993 Handelsman CA, Broder ED, Dalton CM, Ruell EW, Myrick CA, Reznick DN, Ghalambor CK (2013) Predator-induced phenotypic plasticity in metabolism and rate of growth: Rapid adaptation to a novel 3994 3995 environment. Integrative and Comparative Biology 53:975-988. 3996 3997 Hanekom N, Hutchings L, Joubert PA, Van der Byl PCN (1989) Sea temperature variations in the 3998 Tsitsikamma Coastal National Park, South Africa, with notes on the effect of cold conditions on some fish 3999 populations. South African Journal of Marine Science 8:145-153 4000 4001 Hansen MJ, Ligocki IY, Zillig KE, Steel AE, Todgham AE, Fangue NA (2020) Risk-taking and locomotion 4002 in foraging threespine sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus): the effect of nutritional stress is dependent 4003 on social context. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 74: 1-12 4004 4005 Härdling R, Smith HG, Jormalainen V, Tuomi J (2001) Resolution of evolutionary conflicts: costly 4006 behaviours enforce the evolution of cost-free competition. Evolutionary Ecology Research 3:829-44 4007 Harrison XA, Donaldson L, Correa-Cano ME, Evans J, Fisher DN, Goodwin CE, Robinson BS, Hodgson 4008 DJ, Inger R (2018) A brief introduction to mixed effects modelling and multi-model inference in ecology. 4009 *PeerJ* 6:e4794 4010 4011 Harley CDG, Hughes AR, Hultgren KM, Miner BG, Sorte CJB, Thornber CS, Rodriguez LF, Tormanek 4012 L, Williams SL (2006) The impacts of climate change in coastal marine systems. Ecology Letters 9:228-4013 241 4014

- Harris JM, Livingstone T, Phadima J, Kerry S, Fasheun T, Boyd A, Mfeka X (2014) Phakisa Initiative:
  fast-tracking establishment of an effective and representative network of Marine Protected Areas. P. 36
  Symposium of Contemporary Conservation Practice
- Harrison PM, Gutowsky LF, Martins EG, Patterson DA, Cooke SJ, Power M (2015) Personality-dependent
  spatial ecology occurs independently from dispersal in wild burbot (*Lota lota*). *Behavioral Ecology* 26:48392
  4022
- Hasler CT, Cooke SJ, Hinch SG, Guimond E, Donaldson MR, Mossop B, Patterson DA (2012) Thermal
  biology and bioenergetics of different upriver migration strategies in a stock of summer-run Chinook
  salmon. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 37:265–272
- 4026
- Hasenjager MJ, Hoppitt W, Dugatkin LA (2020) Personality composition determines social learning
  pathways within shoaling fish. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 287:20201871
  4029
- 4030 Hassenstein B, Reichardt W (1956) Systemtheoretische analyse der zeit, reihenfolgen und
  4031 vorzeichenauswertung bei der bewegungsperzeption des Rfisselk~ifers Chlorophanus. *Naturforsch*4032 11b:513-524
- 4033

Heinrich B, Bartholomew GA (1979) Roles of endothenny and size in inter- and intraspecific competition
for elephant dung in an African dung beetle, *Scarabaeus laevistriatus*. *Physiological Zoology* 52:484-496

- 4037
- Herrera M, Castanheira MF, Conceição LE, Martins CI (2014). Linking risk taking and the behavioral and
  metabolic responses to confinement stress in gilthead seabream *Sparus aurata*. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 155:101-108
- Hessenauer JM, Vokoun JC, Suski CD, Davis J, Jacobs R, O'Donnell E (2015) Differences in the metabolic
  rates of exploited and unexploited fish populations: a signature of recreational fisheries induced evolution? *PLoS One* 10:e0128336
- 4044
- Hobday AJ, Pecl GT (2014) Identification of global marine hotspots: Sentinels for change and vanguards
  for adaptation action. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 24:415-425.
- Hoegh-Guldberg O, Cai R, Poloczanska ES, Brewer PG, Sundby S, Hilmi K, Fabry VJ, Jung S (2014) The
  ocean. In: Barros VR, Field CB, Dokken DJ (eds) *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability*. Part B: Regional Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report
  of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New
  York, USA, pp 1655-1731
- 4052
- Hollins JPW, Thambithurai D, Van Leeuwen TE, Allan B, Koeck B, Bailey D, Killen SS (2019) Shoal
  familiarity modulates effects of individual metabolism on vulnerability to capture by trawling. *Conservation Physiology* 7: coz043
- 4056
- Hollins JPW, Koeck B, Crespel A, Bailey DM, Killen SS (2020) Does thermal plasticity affect
  susceptibility to capture in fish? Insights from a simulated trap and trawl fishery. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*

| 4 | 0 | 60 |
|---|---|----|

- Holt RE, Jørgensen C (2015) Climate change in fish: effects of respiratory constraints on optimal life
   history and behaviour. *Biology letters* 11:20141032
- 4063

4072

4075

4079

4082

4089

4092

4095

- Hsieh CH, Reiss CS, Hewitt RP, Sugihara G (2008) Spatial analysis shows that fishing enhances the
  climatic sensitivity of marine fishes. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 65:947-961
- Huang Y, Fu S, Cooke SJ, Xia J (2020) Is repeatability of metabolic rate influenced by social separation?
  A test with a teleost fish. *Biology Letters* 16: p.20190825
- Huey RB, Stevenson RD (1979) Integrating thermal physiology and ecology of ectotherms: a discussion
  of approaches. *American Zoology* 19:357-366
- Hunter E, Metcalfe JD, O'Brien CM, Arnold GP, Reynolds JD (2004) Vertical activity patterns of freeswimming adult plaice in the southern North Sea. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 279:261-273
- Huntingford FA, Andrew G, Mackenzie S, Morera D, Coyle SM, Pilarczyk M, Kadri S (2010) Coping
  strategies in a strongly schooling fish, the common carp *Cyprinus carpio. Journal of Fish Biology* 76:15761591
- 4080 Iguchi K, Matsubara N, Hakoyama H (2001) Behavioural individuality assessed from two strains of cloned
  4081 fish. *Animal Behaviour* 61: 351-356
- 4083 IPCC (2014) Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the
  4084 Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. P. (Core Writing Team, R.
  4085 K. Pachauri, and L. A. Meyer, Eds.). IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland. 151 pp
- 4086James NC, van Niekerk L, Whitfield AK, Potts WM, Go tz A, Paterson A (2013) A review of the possible effects4087ofclimatechangeonSouthAfricanestuariesandassociatedfish4088species. Climate Research 57:233–248
- James NC, Whitfield AK, Cowley PD (2008) Preliminary indications of climate-induced change in a warm temperate South African estuarine fish community. *Journal of Fish Biology* 72:1855-1863
- Johansen JL, Messmer V, Coker DJ, Hoey AS, Pratchett MS (2014) Increasing ocean temperatures reduce
  activity patterns of a large commercially important coral reef fish. *Global Change Biology* 20:1067-1074
- Johansson F, Orizaola G, Nilsson-Örtman V (2020) Temperate insects with narrow seasonal activity
  periods can be as vulnerable to climate change as tropical insect species. *Scientific Reports* 10:1-8
- Johnson T, Bennett A (1995) The thermal acclimation of burst escape performance in fish: an integrated
  study of molecular and cellular physiology and organismal performance. *Journal of Experimental Biology*198:2165–2175
- Jolles JW, Boogert NJ, Sridhar VH, Couzin ID, Manica, A. 2017. Consistent individual differences drive
  collective behavior and group functioning of schooling fish. *Current Biology* 27:2862-2868

Jonas I, Schubert KA, Reijne AC, Scholte J, Garland Jr T, Gerkema MP, Scheurink AJW, Nyakas C, van
Dijk G (2010) Behavioral traits are affected by selective breeding for increased wheelrunning behavior in
mice. *Behavior Genetics* 40:542-550

4108

Jutfelt F, Norin T, Ern R, Overgaard J, Wang T, McKenzie DJ, Lefevre S, Nilsson GE, Metcalfe NB,
Hickey AJ, Brijs J (2019) Oxygen-and capacity-limited thermal tolerance: blurring ecology and
physiology. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 221:jeb169615

- Kane AS, Salierno JD, Gipson GT, Molteno TC, Hunter C (2004) A video-based movement analysis system
  to quantify behavioral stress responses of fish. *Water Research* 38:3993-4001
- 4114
- Kerwath SE, Götz A, Attwood CG, Sauer WHH, Wilke CG (2007) Area utilisation and activity patterns of
  roman *Chrysoblephus laticeps* (Sparidae) in a small marine protected area. *African Journal of Marine Science* 29:259–270
- 4118

Kerwath SE, Winker H, Götz A, Attwood CG (2013b) Marine protected area improves yield without
disadvantaging fishers. *Nature Communications* 4:doi: 145 10.1038/ncomms3347

- 4121
- 4122 Keyser FM, Broome JE, Bradford RG, Sanderson B, Redden AM (2016) Winter presence and temperature-4123 related diel vertical migration of striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) in an extreme high-flow passage in the

4124 inner Bay of Fundy. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 1786:1777-1786

- 4125
- Killen SS, Cortese D, Cotgrove L, Jolles JW, Munson A, Ioannou CC (2021) The potential for
  physiological performance curves to shape environmental effects on social behavior. *Frontiers in Physiology*: 1995
- 4129

Killen SS, Marras S, McKenzie DJ (2011) Fuel, fasting, fear: routine metabolic rate and food deprivation
exert synergistic effects on risk-taking in individual juvenile European sea bass. *Journal of Animal Ecology*80:1024-1033

4133

Killen SS, Marras S, Ryan MR, Domenici P, McKenzie DJ (2012) A relationship between metabolic rate
and risk-taking behaviour is revealed during hypoxia in juvenile European sea bass. *Functional Ecology*26:134-143

- 4137
- Killen SS, Marras S, Metcalfe NB, McKenzie DJ, Domenici P (2013) Environmental stressors alter
  relationships between physiology and behaviour. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 28:651-658
- Killen SS, Marras S, Nadler L, Domenici P (2017) The role of physiological traits in assortment among
  and within fish shoals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*372:20160233
- 4144
  4145 Killen SS, Mitchell MD, Rummer JL, Chivers DP, Ferrari MCO, Meekan MG, McCormick MI (2014)
  4146 Aerobic scope predicts dominance during early life in a tropical damselfish. *Functional Ecology* 28:13674147 1376
- 4148
- Killen SS, Glazier DS, Rezende EL, Clark TD, Atkinson D, Willener AS, Halsey LG (2016) Ecological
  influences and morphological correlates of resting and maximal metabolic rates across teleost fish species.
- 4151 The American Naturalist 187:592-606
- 4152

- Killen SS, Esbaugh AJ, Martins N, Tadeu Rantin F, McKenzie DJ (2018). Aggression supersedes
  individual oxygen demand to drive group air-breathing in a social catfish. *Journal of Animal Ecology*87:223-234
- 4156
- Koeck B, Závorka L, Aldvén D, Näslund J, Arlinghaus R, Thörnqvist PO, Winberg S, Björnsson BT,
  Johnsson JI (2019) Angling selects against active and stress-resilient phenotypes in rainbow trout. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 76:320-333
- 4160
- Kolok AS, Hartman MM, Sershan J (2002) The physiology of copper tolerance in fathead minnows: insight
  from an intraspecific, correlative analysis. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 21:1730-1735
- 4163

- Ruxton G, Krause J, Cheng D, Kirkman E (2000) Species-specific patterns of refuge use in fish: the role
  of metabolic expenditure and body length. *Behaviour* 137:1113-1127
- 4167 Krause J, Seebacher F (2018) Collective Behaviour: Physiology Determines Position. *Current Biology*4168 28:R351-R354
- 41694170 Lahti K, Huuskonen H, Laurila A, Piironen J (2002) Metabolic rate and aggressiveness
- 4171 between brown trout populations. *Functional Ecology* 16:167-174
- 4172

Laine VN, Herczeg G, Shikano T, Vilkki J, Merila J (2014) QTL Analysis of Behavior in Nine-Spined
Sticklebacks (*Pungitius pungitius*). *Behaviour Genetics* 44:77-88

4175

Lapointe D, Vogelbein WK, Fabrizio MC, Gauthier DT, Brill RW (2014) Temperature, hypoxia, and
mycobacteriosis: Effects on adult striped bass *Morone saxatilis* metabolic performance. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms* 108:113–127. https://doi.org/10.3354/dao02693

Laubenstein TD, Rummer JL, Nicol S, Parsons DM, Pether SM, Pope S, Smith N, Munday PL (2018)
Correlated effects of ocean acidification and warming on behavioral and metabolic traits of a large pelagic
fish. *Diversity* 10:35

4182

- Laubenstein TD, Rummer JL, McCormick MI, Munday PL (2019) A negative correlation between
  behavioural and physiological performance under ocean acidification and warming. *Scientific Reports* 9:110
- 4186
- Leiser JK, Gagliardi JL, Itzkowitz M (2004) Does size matter? Assessment and fighting in small and large
  size-matched pairs of adult male convict cichlids. *Journal of Fish Biology* 64:1339-50
- 4189

- Lennox R, Cooke SJ (2014) State of the interface between conservation and physiology: a bibliometric
  analysis. *Conservation Physiology* 2:1-9
- Lenth RV, Love J, Herve M (2017) Package 'emmeans: Estimated marginal means: Estimated marginal
  means, aka least-square means. Cran, R Project.
- 4195
- Levy JS, Ban NC (2013) A method for incorporating climate change modelling into marine conservation
   planning: An Indo-west Pacific example. Marine Policy 38: 16-24
- 4198

4199 Lewin WC, Arlinghaus R, Mehner T (2006) Documented and potential biological impacts of recreational 4200 fishing: Insights for management and conservation. Reviews in Fisheries Science 14:305-367 4201 4202 Li CY, Tseng YC, Chen YJ, Yang Y, Hsu Y (2020) Personality and physiological traits predict contest 4203 interactions in Kryptolebias marmoratus. Behavioural Processes 173:104079 4204 4205 Long T, Yuan M, Yuan H (2021) Heritability of animal individuality in fish: Distribution, behavior, 4206 metabolism and stress response. Aquaculture 4207 4208 Louison MJ, Stein JA, Suski CD (2019) The role of social network behavior, swimming performance, and 4209 fish size in the determination of angling vulnerability in bluegill. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 4210 73:139 4211 4212 Lovegrove BG (2003) The influence of climate on the basal metabolic rate of small mammals: a slow-fast 4213 metabolic continuum. Journal of Comparative Physiology 173:87-112 4214 4215 Marty L, Dieckmann U, Ernande B (2015) Fisheries-induced neutral and adaptive evolution in exploited fish populations and consequences for their adaptive potential. Evolutionary Applications 8:47-63 4216 4217 Mathias ML, Nunes AC, Marques CC, Auffray JC, Britton-Davidian J, Ganem G, Gündüz I, Ramalhinho 4218 MG, Searle JB, Speakman JR (2006) Effects of climate on oxygen consumption and energy intake of 4219 chromosomally divergent populations of the house mouse (Mus musculus domesticus) from the island of 4220 Madeira (North Atlantic, Portugal). Functional Ecology 20:330-339 4221 4222 Mathot KJ, Martin K, Kempenaers B, Forstmeier W (2013) Basal metabolic rate can evolve independently 4223 of morphological and behavioural traits. Heredity 111: 175-181 4224 4225 Martins CI, Silva PI, Conceição LE, Costas B, Höglund E, Øverli Ø, Schrama JW (2011) Linking 4226 fearfulness and coping styles in fish. PLoS One 6:e28084 4227 4228 Marras S, Killen SS, Lindström J, McKenzie DJ, Steffensen JF, Domenici P (2015) Fish swimming in schools save energy regardless of their spatial position. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 69:219-226 4229 4230 4231 Maynard JA, Marshal PA, Johnson JE, Harman S (2010) Building resilience into practical conservation: 4232 identifying local management responses to global climate change in the southern Great Barrier Reef. Coral 4233 *Reefs* 29:381-391 4234 McCarthy ID (2001) Competitive ability is related to metabolic asymmetry in juvenile rainbow trout. Journal of Fish Biology 59:1002-1014 4235 4236 4237 McCormick MI, Weaver CJ (2012) It pays to be pushy: intracohort interference competition between two 4238 reef fishes: e42590 4239 4240 McGaugh SE, Weaver S, Gilbertson EN, Garrett B, Rudeen ML, Grieb S, Roberts J, Donny A, Marchetto 4241 P, Gluesenkamp AG (2020). Evidence for rapid phenotypic and behavioural shifts in a recently established 4242 cavefish population.*Biological* Journal of the Linnean Society 129:1143-161 4243

- McKenzie DJ, Axelsson M, Chabot D, Claireaux G, Cooke SJ, Corner RA, De Boeck G, Domenici P,
  Guerreiro PM, Hamer B, Jørgensen C. Conservation physiology of marine fishes: state of the art and
  prospects for policy (2016) 2016. Conservation physiology of marine fishes: state of the art and prospects
  for policy. *Conservation physiology* 4:p.cow046.
- McLean MF, Litvak MK, Stoddard EM, Cooke SJ, Patterson DA, Hinch SG, Welch DW, Crossin GT
  (2020) Linking environmental factors with reflex action mortality predictors, physiological stress, and postrelease movement behaviour to evaluate the response of white sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus*Richardson, 1836) to catch-and-release angling. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 240 p.110618
- 4253

- McLean S, Persson A, Norin T, Killen SS (2018) Metabolic costs of feeding predictively alter the spatial
  distribution of individuals in fish schools. *Current Biology* 28:1144-1149
- 4257 McNab BK (2002) The physiological ecology of vertebrates: a view from energetics. Cornell University4258 Press.
- 4259
- 4260 Metcalfe JD, Arnold G (1997) Tracking fish with electronic tags. *Nature* 387:665-666
- 4261
- Metcalfe JD, Le Quesne WJF, Cheung WWL, Righton DA (2012) Conservation physiology for applied
  management of marine fish: an overview with perspectives on the role and value of telemetry. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 367:1746-1756
- 4265 Metcalfe NB, Thomson BC (1995) Fish recognize and prefer to shoal with poor competitors. *Proceedings*4266 of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 259:207-210
- 4268 Metcalfe NB, Taylor AC, Thorpe JE (1995) Metabolic rate, social status and life-history
- 4269 strategies in Atlantic salmon. *Animal Behaviour* 49:431-436
- 4270

4267

- 4271 Metcalfe NB, Van Leeuwen TE, Killen SS (2016) Does individual variation in metabolic phenotype predict
  4272 fish behaviour and performance? *Journal of Fish Biology* 88:298-321
  4273
- 4274 Miln C, Ward AJ, Seebacher F (2021) Social rank and not physiological capacity determines competitive
  4275 success in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Royal Society Open Science* 8: 210146
- Miyoshi K, Hayashida K, Sakashita T, Fujii M, Nii H, Nakao K, Ueda H (2014) Comparison of the
  swimming ability and upstream-migration behavior between chum salmon and masu salmon. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 71:217-25
- 4280

- Morrongiello J, Thresher R (2015) A statistical framework to explore ontogenetic growth variation among
  individuals and populations: a marine fish example. *Ecological Monographs* 85:93-115
- Moynes EN, Lawrence MJ, Zolderdo AJ, Prystay TS, Abrams AE, Holder P, Cooke SJ (2019) Comparative
  behaviour of wild bluegill captured inside and outside of a long-standing aquatic protected area. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*
- 4287
- 4288 Muller C (2022) An eco-physiological investigation of fisheries-induced evolution: comparing the 4289 resilience of larvae from exploited and unexploited commercial reef fish populations to projected ocean 4290 acidification. Doctoral Thesis, Rhodes University

| 4291<br>4292<br>4293                 | Munday PL, Jones GP, Pratchett MS, Williams AJ (2008) Climate change and the future for coral reef fishes. <i>Fish and Fisheries</i> 9: 261-285   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| 4293<br>4294<br>4295<br>4296         | Munday, PL (2014) Transgenerational acclimation of fishes to climate change and ocean acidification. <i>F1000 Prime Reports</i> <b>6</b>  |
| 4290<br>4297<br>4298<br>4299         | Muñoz NJ, Farrell AP, Heath JW, Neff BD. Adaptive potential of a Pacific salmon challenged by climate change. Nature Climate Change (2015) <i>Nature Climate Change</i> 5:163-166   |
| 4300<br>4301<br>4302                 | Nadler LE, Killen SS, McClure EC, Munday PL, McCormick MI (2016) Shoaling reduces metabolic rate in a gregarious coral reef fish species. Journal of Experimental Biology 219:2802-2805   |
| 4303<br>4304                         | Nati JJH, Lindström J, Halsey LG, Killen SS (2016) Is there a trade-off between peak performance and performance breadth across temperatures for aerobic scope in teleost fishes? <i>Biology Letters</i> 12:20160191  |
| 4305<br>4306                         | Neat FC, Taylor AC, Huntingford FA (1998) Proximate costs of fighting in male cichlid fish: the role of injuries and energy metabolism. <i>Animal Behaviour</i> 55:875-882  |
| 4307<br>4308<br>4309<br>4310<br>4311 | Neubauer P, Andersen KH (2019) Thermal performance of fish is explained by an interplay between physiology, behaviour and ecology. <i>Conservation Physiology</i> 7:coz025  |
| 4312<br>4313<br>4314                 | Nilsson GE, O <sup>*</sup> stlund-Nilsson S (2008) Does size matter for hypoxia tolerance in fish? <i>Biological Reviews</i> 83:173-189   |
| 4314<br>4315<br>4316                 | Norin T, Malte H (2012) Intraspecific variation in aerobic metabolic rate of fish: relations with organ size and enzyme activity in brown trout. <i>Physiological and Biochemical Zoology</i> 85:645-56   |
| 4317<br>4318<br>4319<br>4320         | Norin T, Malte H, Clark TD (2015) Differential plasticity of metabolic rate phenotypes in a tropical fish facing environmental change. Functional Ecology (online) doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12503   |
| 4321<br>4322<br>4323<br>4324         | Ortega-Cisneros K, Yokwana S, Sauer W, Cochrane K, Cockcroft A, James NC, Potts WM, Singh L, Smale M, Wood A, Pecl G (2018) Assessment of the likely sensitivity to climate change for the key marine species in the southern Benguela system. <i>African Journal of Marine Science</i> 40:279-92 |
| 4325<br>4326                         | Øverli Ø, Sørensen C, Nilsson GE (2006) Behavioral indicators of stress-coping style in rainbow trout: Do males and females react differently to novelty? <i>Physiology &amp; Behavior</i> 87:506-512   |
| 4327<br>4328<br>4329                 | Pankhurst NW, Munday PL (2011) Effects of climate change on fish reproduction and early life history stages. Marine and Freshwater Research 62:1015-1026  |
| 4330<br>4331                         | Parker D, Winker H, Attwood CG, Kerwath SE (2016) Dark times for dageraad <i>Chrysoblephus cristiceps</i> : evidence for stock collapse. <i>African Journal of Marine Science</i> 38:341-349  |
| 4332<br>4333                         | Parmesan C (2006) Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. Annual Review Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 37:637-669   |
| 4334<br>4335<br>4336                 | Pauly D, Cheung WW (2018) Sound physiological knowledge and principles in modelling shrinking of fishes under climate change. <i>Global Change Biology</i> 24:15-26   |

- 4337
- Payne NL, Smith JA, van der Meulen DE, Taylor MD, Watanabe YY, Takahashi A, Marzullo TA, Gray
  CA, Cadiou G, Suthers IM. (2016) Temperature dependence of fish performance in the wild: Links with
  species biogeography and physiological thermal tolerance. *Functional Ecology* 30:903-912
- 4341 Pecl GT, Ward TM, Doubleday ZA, Clarke S, Day J, Dixon C, Frusher S, Gibbs P, Hobday AJ, Hutchinson
  4342 N, Jennings S.(2014) Rapid assessment of fisheries species sensitivity to climate change. *Climatic Change*4343 127:505-520
- 4344
- Petersen JK, Petersen GI (1990) Tolerance, behaviour and oxygen consumption in the sand goby, *Pomatoschistus minutus* (Pallas), exposed to hypoxia. *Journal of Fish Biology* 37:921-933
- Pichaud N, Ekström A, Breton S, Sundström F, Rowinski P, Blier PU, Sandblom E (2020) Adjustments of
  cardiac mitochondrial phenotype in a warmer thermal habitat is associated with oxidative stress in
  European perch, *Perca fluviatilis. Scientific Reports* 10:1-12
- 4350
- Piefke TJ, Bonnell TR, DeOliveira GM, Border SE, Dijkstra PD (2021) Social network stability is impacted
  by removing a dominant male in replicate dominance hierarchies of a cichlid fish. *Animal Behaviour* 175:
  7-20
- 4354
- Pimentel MS, Faleiro F, Marques T, Bispo R, Dionísio G, Faria AM, Machado J, Peck MA, Pörtner H,
  Pousão-Ferreira P, Gonçalves EJ, Rosa R (2016) Foraging behaviour, swimming performance and
  malformations of early stages of commercially important fishes under ocean acidification and warming. *Climatic Change* 137:495-509
- 4359

- 4360 Pinheiro J, Bates D, Debroy S, Sarkar D, R core team (2017) nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects4361 Models. R package
- 4363 Pörtner HO (2008) Ecosystem effects of ocean acidification in times of ocean warming: a physiologist's
  4364 view. *Marine Ecological Progress Series* 373:203-217
- 4365
- 4366 Pörtner HO, Bock C, Mark FC (2018) Connecting to ecology: a challenge for comparative physiologists?
  4367 Response to 'Oxygen-and capacity-limited thermal tolerance: blurring ecology and physiology'. *Journal*4368 of Experimental Biology 221:p.jeb174185
- 4370 Pörtner HO, Knust R (2007) Climate change affects marine fishes through the oxygen limitation of thermal
  4371 tolerance. *Science* 315:95-97
- 4372

4369

- 4373 Pörtner HO, Mark FC, Bock C (2004) Oxygen limited thermal tolerance in fish?: Answers obtained by
  4374 nuclear magnetic resonance techniques. *Respiratory Physiology & Neurobiology* 141:243-260.
- 4375

- 4376 Pörtner HO, Peck MA (2010) Climate change effects on fishes and fisheries: towards a cause-and-effect
  4377 understanding. Journal of Fish Biology 77:1745-1779
- 4379 Potts W.M, Götz A, James N (2015) Review of the projected impacts of climate change on coastal fishes
- 4380 in southern Africa. *Reviews in fish Biology and Fisheries 25*: 603-630
- 4381

4382 Poulos DE, McCormick MI (2014) Who wins in the battle for space? The importance of priority, 4383 behavioural history and size. Animal Behaviour 90:305-314 4384 Priede IG (1977). Natural selection for energetic efficiency and the relationship between activity level and 4385 4386 mortality. Nature 267: 610-611 Pruitt JN, Keiser CN, Banka BT, Liedle JS, Brooks AJ, Schmitt RJ, Holbrook SJ (2018) Collective 4387 4388 aggressiveness of an ecosystem engineer is associated with coral recovery. Behavioral Ecology 29:1216-4389 1224 4390 4391 Puckett KJ, Dill LM (1985) The energetics of feeding territoriality in juvenile Coho salmon (Oncorhynchus 4392 kisutch). Behaviour 92:97-111 4393 4394 R Core Team (2017) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical 4395 Computing, Vienna. 4396 4397 R'eale D, Reader SM, Sol D, McDougall PT, Dingemanse NJ (2007) Integrating animal temperament 4398 within ecology and evolution. Biological Reviews (Cambridge) 82:291-318 4399 4400 Redpath TD, Cooke SJ, Suski CD, Arlinghaus R, Couture P, Wahl DH, Philipp DP (2010) The metabolic 4401 and biochemical basis of vulnerability to recreational angling after three generations of angling-induced 4402 selection in a teleost fish. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 67:1983-1992 4403 4404 Reid D, Armstrong JD, Metcalfe NB (2012) The performance advantage of a high resting metabolic rate 4405 in juvenile salmon is habitat dependent. Journal of Animal Ecology 81:868-875 4406 4407 Reese CD, Harvey BC (2002) Temperature-dependent interactions between juvenile steelhead and 4408 Sacramento pikeminnow in laboratory streams. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 131:599-4409 606 4410 4411 Rhein M, Rintoul SR, Aoki S (2013) Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of 4412 Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. In: 4413 Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner GK, Tignor M, Allen SK, Boschung J, Nauels A, Xia VY, Midgley B (eds) 4414 Climate change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp 4415 255-316 4416 Rijnsdorp AD, Peck MA, Engelhard GH, Mollmann C, Pinnegar JK (2009) Resolving the effect of climate 4417 change on fish populations. ICES Journal of Marine Science 66:1570-1583 4418 Rivas MG, White KJ (2020) The plasticity of social status: stress hormones in a hermaphroditic fish. 4419 4420 Rodriguez-Santiago M, Nührenberg, Derry J, Deussen O, Garrison LK, Garza SF, Jordan A (2020) 4421 Behavioral traits that define social dominance are the same that reduce social influence in a consensus task. 4422 bioRxiv: 845628 4423 4424 Rodriguez A, Zhang H, Klaminder J, Brodin T, Andersson M (2017). ToxId: an algorithm to track the 4425 identity of multiple animals. Scientific Reports 7:14774

4428 4429 Romero LM, Dickens MJ, Cyr NE (2009) The reactive scope model - A new model integrating 4430 homeostasis, allostasis, and stress. Hormones and Behaviour 55:375-389 4431 4432 Ros AFH, Becker K, Oliveira RF (2006) Aggressive behaviour and energy metabolism in a cichlid fish, 4433 Oreochromis mossambicus. Physiology and Behavior 89:164-170 4434 4435 Rouault M, Penven P, Pohl B (2009) Warming in the Agulhas Current system since the 1980's. Geophysical 4436 Research Letters 36:12 4437 4438 Rouault M, Pohl B, Penven P (2010) Coastal oceanic climate change and variability from 1982 to 2009 4439 around South Africa. African Journal of Marine Science 32:237-246 4440 4441 Rousselet GA, Pernet CR, Wilcox RR (2017) Beyond differences in means: robust graphical methods to 4442 compare two groups in neuroscience. European Journal of Neuroscience 46:1738-1748 4443 4444 Ruiz-Gomez MD, Kittilsen S, Hoglund E, Huntingford FA, Sorensen C, Pottinger TG, Bakken M, Winberg 4445 S, Korzan WJ, Overli O (2008) Behavioral plasticity in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus 4446 mykiss) with divergent coping styles: When doves become hawks. Hormones and Behaviour 54:534-538 4447 Rupia EJ, Binning SA, Roche DG, Lu W (2016) Fight-flight or freeze-hide? Personality and metabolic 4448 phenotype mediate physiological defence responses in flatfish. Journal of Animal Ecology 85:927-937 4449 4450 Ruxton G, Krause J, Cheng D, Kirkman E (2000) Species-specific patterns of refuge use in fish: the role 4451 of metabolic expenditure and body length. Behaviour 137:1113-1127 4452 Sandblom E, Clark TD, Gräns A, Ekström A, Brijs J, Sundström LF, Odelström A, Adill A, Aho T, Jutfelt 4453 F (2016) Physiological constraints to climate warming in fish follow principles of plastic floors and 4454 concrete ceilings. Nature Communications 7:1-8 4455 4456 Satterfield D, Johnson DW (2020) Local adaptation of antipredator behaviors in populations of a temperate 4457 reef fish. Oecologia 1-14 4458 Sbragaglia V, López-Olmeda JF, Frigato E, Bertolucci C, Arlinghaus R (2020) Size-selective mortality 4459 induces evolutionary changes in group risk-taking behavior and the circadian system in a fish. Journal of 4460 4461 Animal Ecology 4462 4463 Sbragaglia V, Jolles JW, Coll M, Arlinghaus R (2021) Fisheries-induced changes of shoaling behaviour: mechanisms and potential consequences. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 4464

Rodriguez A, Zhang H, Klaminder J, Brodin T, Andersson PL, Andersson M (2018) ToxTrac: a fast and

robust software for tracking organisms. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 9:460-464

4465

4426

- Scantlebury M, Waterman JM, Hillegass M, Speakman JR, Bennett NC (2007) Energetic costs of
  parasitism in the Cape ground squirrel *Xerus inauris*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London*274:2169-2177
- 4469
- Schlegel RW, Smit AJ (2016) Climate change in coastal waters: time series properties affecting trend
  estimation. *Journal of Climate* 29:9113-9124

4475

4481

4484

- 4473 Schlegel RW, Oliver ECJ, Wernberg T, Smit AJ (2017) Nearshore and offshore co-occurrence of marine 4474 heatwaves and cold-spells. *Progress in Oceanography* 151: 189–205
- 4476 Schindler DE, Hilborn R (2015) Prediction, precaution, and policy under global change. *Science* 347:953– 4477 954.
- 4478 Schjolden J, Stoskhus A, Winberg S (2005) Does individual variation in stress responses and
- 4479 agonistic behavior reflect divergent stress coping strategies in juvenile rainbow trout?
- 4480 *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 78:715-723
- Schlegel RW, Oliver ECJ, Wernberg T, Smit AJ (2017) Nearshore and offshore co-occurrence of marine
  heatwaves and cold-spells. *Progress in Oceanography* 151:189–205
- Schumann EH, Cohen AL, Jury MR (1995) Coastal sea surface temperature variability along the south
  coast of South Africa and the relationship to regional and global climate. *Journal of Marine Research*53:231-248
- 4488

4491

4497

4500

4503

- Schumann EH (1999) Wind-driven mixed layer and coastal upwelling processes off the south coast of
  South Africa. *Journal of Marine Research* 57:671-691
- 4492 Scott GR, Sloman KA (2004) The effects of environmental pollutants on complex fish behaviour:
  4493 integrating behavioural and physiological indicators of toxicity. *Aquatic Toxicology* 68:369-392
  4494
- 4495 Seebacher F, Krause J (2017) Physiological mechanisms underlying animal social behaviour.
  4496 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 372:20160231
- Seebacher F, Ward AJW, Wilson RS (2013) Increased aggression during pregnancy comes at a higher
  metabolic cost. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 216:771-776
- 4501 Seebacher F, White CR, FranklinCE (2015) Physiological plasticity increases resilience of ectothermic
  4502 animals to climate change. *Nature Climate Change* 5:61-66
- 4504 Seppänen E, Tiira K, Huuskonen H, Piironen J (2009) Metabolic rate, growth and aggressiveness in three
  4505 Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* populations. *Journal of Fish Biology* 74:562-575
- 4507 Seth H, Gräns A, Sandblom E, Olsson C, Wiklander K, Johnsson JI, Axelsson M (2013). Metabolic scope
  4508 and interspecific competition in sculpins of Greenland are influenced by increased temperatures due to
  4509 climate change. *PLoS One* 8:e62859.
- 4510
- 4511 Shapiro SS, Wilk MB (1965) An analysis of variance test for normality (complete samples). *Biometrika*4512 52: 591-611
- 4513
- 4514 Schrödinger E (1944). What is life? The physical aspect of the living cell and mind. Cambridge: Cambridge4515 university press.
- 4516

- 4517 Shultz AD, Murchie KJ, Griffith C, Cooke SJ, Danylchuk AJ, Goldberg TL, Suski, CD (2011) Impacts of
  4518 dissolved oxygen on the behavior and physiology of bonefish: implications for live-release angling
  4519 tournaments. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 402:19-26
- 4520
- Sih A, Bell AM, Johnson JC, Ziemba RE (2004) Behavioral syndromes: an integrative overview. *Quarterly Review of Biology* 79:241-277
- 4523
- 4524 Sims DW, Mouth VJW, Genner MJ, Southward AJ, Hawkins SJ (2004) Low-temperature-driven early 4525 spawning migration of a temperate marine fish. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 73:333-341
- 4526 Skeeles MR (2019) Towards understanding how exploitation influences the wild energetic response of
  4527 marine fish to temperature variability. Doctoral dissertation, MSc thesis, Rhodes University, South Africa.
  4528
- 4529 Skeeles MR, Winkler AC, Duncan MI, James NC, van der Walt KA, Potts WM (2020) The use of internal
  4530 heart rate loggers in determining cardiac breakpoints of fish. *Journal of Thermal Biology* doi:
  4531 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2020.102524
- 4532
- 4533 Sloat MR, Reeves GH (2014) Individual condition, standard metabolic rate, and rearingtemperature 4534 influence steelhead and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) life histories.
- 4535 *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 71:491-501
- 4536

Sloman KA, Gilmour KM, Taylor AC, Metcalfe NB (2000) Physiological effects of dominance hierarchies
within groups of brown trout, *Salmo trutta*, held under simulated natural conditions. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* 22:11-20

- Sloman KA, Taylor AC, Metcalfe NB, Gilmour KM (2001) Effects of an environmental perturbation on
  the social behaviour and physiological function of brown trout. *Animal Behaviour* 61:325-333
- Smit AJ, Roberts M, Anderson RJ, Dufois F, Dudley SFJ, Bornman TG, Olbers J, Bolton IJ (2013) A 4542 4543 coastal seawater temperature dataset for biogeographical studies: Large biases between in situ and 4544 remotely-sensed data around the coast of South Africa. PLoS sets ONE 8:doi: 4545 10.1371/journal.pone.0081944
- 4546 Smith MM, Heemstra PC (1991) Smiths' sea fishes. Johannesburg, South Africa: Macmillan
- 4547 Somero GN (2010) The physiology of climate change: how potentials for acclimatization and genetic
  4548 adaptation will determine ' winners ' and ' losers '. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 213:912-920
- Sosna MM, Twomey CR, Bak-Coleman J, Poel W, Daniels BC, Romanczuk P, Couzin ID (2019)
  Individual and collective encoding of risk in animal groups. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*: 201905585.
- 4552
- Sousa LL, Queiroz N, Mucientes G, Humphries NE, Sims DW (2016) Environmental influence on the
  seasonal movements of satellite-tracked ocean sunfish *Mola mola* in the north-east Atlantic Environmental
  influence on the seasonal movements of satellite-tracked ocean sunfish *Mola mola* in the north-east
  Atlantic. *Animal Biotelemetry* 4: doi: 10.1186/s40317-016-0099-2
- 4557 Stankowich T, Blumstein DT (2005) Fear in animals: a meta-analysis andreview of risk assessment.
   4558 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 272:2627-2634
- 4559

- 4560 Steeger TM, Grizzle JM, Weathers K, Newman M (1994) Bacterial Diseases and mortality of angler4561 caught largemouth bass released after tournaments on Walter F. George Reservoir, Alabama-Georgia.
  4562 North American Journal of Fisheries Management 14:435-441
- Suski CD, Philipp DP (2004) Factors affecting the vulnerability to angling of nesting male largemouth bass
  and smallmouth bass. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 133:1100-1106
- 4565
  4566 Sutter DAH, Suski CD, Philipp DP, Klefoth T, Wahl DH, Kersten P, Cooke SJ, Arlinghaus R (2012)
  4567 Recreational fishing selectively captures individuals with the highest fitness potential. *Proceedings of the*4568 *National Academy of Sciences* 109:20960-20965
  - 4570 Svendsen MBS, Bushnell PG, Steffensen JF (2016) Design and setup of intermittent-flow respirometry4571 system for aquatic organisms. Journal of Fish Biology 88:26-50
  - 4573 Sydeman WJ, García-Reyes M, Schoeman DS, Rykaczewski RR, Thompson SA, Black BA, Bograd SJ
    4574 (2014) Climate change and wind intensification in coastal upwelling ecosystems. *Science* 345:77-80
  - 4576 Sylvain M, Pasquet A, El Mohajer L, Fontaine P. (2020) How domestication alters fish phenotypes. 4577 *Reviews in Aquaculture*
  - 4578 Szekeres P, Eliason EJ, Lapointe D, Donaldson MR, Brownscombe JW, & Cooke SJ (2016) On the 4579 neglected cold side of climate change and what it means to fish. *Climate Research* 69: 239-245
  - Taboun ZS (2020) Spatial and temporal genetic variation in an exploited coral reef fish: a cohort approach
    to examine the effects of line fishing. MSc Thesis, the University of Windsor, Ontario, Canada
  - 4582

4572

4575

Tan H, Polverino G, Martin JM, Bertram MG, Wiles SC, Palacios MM, Bywater CL, White CR, Wong BB
(2020) Chronic exposure to a pervasive pharmaceutical pollutant erodes among-individual phenotypic
variation in a fish. *Environmental Pollution* p.114450

- 4586
- Taniguchi Y, Rahel FJ, Novinger DC, Gerow KG (1998) Temperature mediation of competitive
  interactions among three fish species that replace each other along longitudinal stream gradients. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 55: 1894-1901
- 4590
- Teske PR, Forget FRG, Cowley PD, Von Der Heyden S, Beheregaray LB (2010) Connectivity between
  marine reserves and exploited areas in the philopatric reef fish *Chrysoblephus laticeps* (Teleostei:
  Sparidae). *Marine Biology* 157:2029-2042
- 4594
- 4595 Thomas DW, Morand-Ferron J, Careau V (2001) Physiological ecology of Mediterranean
- 4596 blue tits (*Parus caeruleus*) 1. A test for inter-population differences in resting metabolic rate and thermal 4597 conductance as a response to hot climates. *Zoology* 104:33-40
- 4598
- 4599 Tinbergen N (1963) On aims and methods of ethology. Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie 20:410-433
- 4600
- Torfi Mozanzadeh M, Marammazi JG, Yaghoubi M, Agh N, Pagheh E, Gisbert E (2017) Macronutrient
  requirements of silvery-black porgy (*Sparidentex hasta*): a comparison with other farmed sparid species. *Fishes* 2:5
- 4604

4605 Tudorache C, Schaaf MJ, Slabbekoorn H (2013) Covariation between behaviour and physiology indicators 4606 of coping style in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Journal of Endocrinology 219:251-258 4607 4608 Urbina MA, Forster ME, Glover CN (2011) Leap of faith: voluntary emersion behaviour and physiological 4609 adaptations to aerial exposure in a non-aestivating freshwater fish in response to aquatic hypoxia. 4610 Physiology and Behavior 103:240-247 4611 4612 Uusi-Heikkila S, Wolter C, Klefoth T, Arlinghaus R (2008) A behavioural perspective on fishing-induced 4613 evolution. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 23:419-421 4614 4615 Vaz-Serrano J, Ruiz-Gomez MDL, Gjøen HM, Skov PV, Huntingford FA, Øverli Ø, Höglund E (2011) 4616 Consistent boldness behaviour in early emerging fry of domesticated Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar): 4617 decoupling of behavioural and physiological traits of the proactive stress coping style. Physiology & 4618 Behavior 103: 359-364 4619 4620 Vedor M, Queiroz N, Mucientes G, Couto A, da Costa I, Dos Santos A, Vandeperre F, Fontes J, Afonso P, 4621 Rosa R, Humphries NE (2021) Climate-driven deoxygenation elevates fishing vulnerability for the ocean's 4622 widest ranging shark. Elife 10:e62508 4623 4624 Von Holst E (1936) Vom dualismus der motorischen und der automatisc-rhythmischen funktion im 4625 ruckenmark und vom wesen des automatischen rhythmus. Pfliigers Archiv fur die gesamte Physiologie 4626 237:356-378 4627 4628 Von Holst E, Mittelstaedt H (1950) The principle of reafference: Interactions between the central nervous 4629 system and the peripheral organs. Die Naturwissenschften 37:464-476 4630 4631 Ward TD, Algera DA, Gallagher AJ, Hawkins E, Horodysky A, Jørgensen C, Killen SS, McKenzie DJ, 4632 Metcalfe JD, Peck MA, Vu M(2016) Understanding the individual to implement the ecosystem approach 4633 to fisheries management. Conservation Physiology:4 4634 Warnock WG, Rasmussen JB (2014) Comparing competitive ability and associated metabolic traits 4635 between a resident and migratory population of bull trout against a non-native species. Environmental 4636 Biology of Fishes 97:415-423 4637 4638 Warren DT, Donelson JM, McCormick MI, Ferrari MCO, Munday PL (2016) Duration of exposure to 4639 elevated temperature affects competitive interactions in juvenile reef fishes. PLoS One 11:e0164505 4640 4641 Warren DT, McCormick MI (2019) Intrageneric differences in the effects of acute temperature exposure 4642 on competitive behaviour of damselfishes. PeerJ: 7 e7320 4643 4644 W Watson J, Hyder K, Boyd R, Thorpe R, Weltersbach MS, Ferter K, Cooke SJ, Roy S, M Sibly R 4645 (2020)Assessing the sublethal impacts of anthropogenic stressors on fish: An energy-budget approach. Fish 4646 and Fisheries 4647 4648 Wei Y, Zhang X, Yuan H, Lu W (2021) The individuality affects the hormone secretion of the caudal 4649 neurosecretory system (CNSS) and correlation with respiratory metabolism, spontaneous activity and 4650 appetite in olive flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus). Aquaculture:737684

4657

4660

4663

- 4652 Whitfield AK (2005) Preliminary documentation and assess- ment of fish diversity in sub-Saharan African 4653 estuaries. *AfricanJournal of Marine Science* 27:307-324
- Whitlock RE, Hazen EL, Walli A, Farwell C, Bograd SJ, Foley DG, Castleton M, Block BA (2015) Direct
  quantification of energy intakein an apex marine predator suggests physiology is a key driver of migrations. *Science Advances* 1:e1400270
- Williams TD (2008). Individual variation in endocrine systems: moving beyond the 'tyranny of the Golden
  Mean'. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 363: 1687-1698
- Wilson ADM, Brownscombe JW, Sullivan B, Jain-Schlaepfer S, Cooke SJ (2015) Does angling technique
  selectively target fishes based on their behavioural type? *PLoS One* 10:e0135848
- 4664 Wirtz P, Davenport J (1976) Increased oxygen consumption in blennies (*Blenius pholis* L.) exposed to their 4665 mirror image. *Journal of Fish Biology* 9:67-74
- Wong MY, Buston PM (2013) Social systems in habitat-specialist reef fishes: key concepts in evolutionary
  ecology. *BioScience*: 453-463
- Wong B, Candolin, U (2015) Behavioral responses to changing environments. *Behavioral Ecology* 26:665673
- 4671

4668

- 4672 Yamamoto T, Ueda H, Higashi S (1998) Correlation among dominance status, metabolic
- 4673 rate and otolith size in masu salmon. *Journal of Fish Biology* 52:281-290
- Zou H, Shi M, Li R, Zhang X, Lu W (2021) Comparative transcriptomic analysis of in the hindbrain of
  olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) considering individual behavior-type and oxygen metabolism. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics and Proteomics*: 100799
- 4677

Zub K, Piertney S, Szafrańska PA, Konarzewski M (2012) Environmental and genetic influences on body
mass and resting metabolic rates (RMR) in a natural population of weasel *Mustela nivalis*. *Molecular Ecology* 21:1283-1293