

## Competition for attachment of aquaculture candidate probiotic and pathogenic bacteria on fish intestinal mucus

N G Vine<sup>1</sup>, W D Leukes<sup>2</sup>, H Kaiser<sup>1</sup>, S Daya<sup>3</sup>, J Baxter<sup>4</sup> and T Hecht<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Ichthyology and Fisheries Science, Rhodes University, Grahamstown, South Africa

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Biotechnology, Rhodes University, Grahamstown, South Africa

<sup>3</sup> Department of Pharmacy, Rhodes University, Grahamstown, South Africa

<sup>4</sup> Department of Statistics, Rhodes University, Grahamstown, South Africa

### Abstract

Probiotics for aquaculture are generally only selected by their ability to produce antimicrobial metabolites; however, attachment to intestinal mucus is important in order to remain within the gut of its host. Five candidate probiotics (AP1-AP5), isolated from the clownfish, *Aequidodon parvum* (Lacépède), were examined for their ability to attach to fish intestinal mucus and compete with two pathogens, *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Vibrio alginolyticus*. Two different radioactive isotopes were used to quantify competition between pathogen and probiotics. Attachment of the candidate probiotics was enhanced by the presence of the candidate probiotic. However, the addition of the candidate probiotic after the pathogen resulted in reduced pathogen attachment. Only AP5 caused lower attachment numbers of *V. alginolyticus* when added before the pathogen. When AP5 was added first, the average attachment change was 41% compared with 72% when added after *V. alginolyticus*, suggesting that the probiotic is displaced but that enhanced attachment of the pathogen does not occur. Conversely, when *V. alginolyticus* was added first, followed by AP5, attachment change was 57% while AP5 had 92% attachment change when added second. This implies that the pathogen was displaced by the candidate probiotic and therefore it appeared that, based on the ability of probiotic AP5 to attach to mucus, the growth of the pathogen in

the digestive tract might be suppressed by the candidate probiotic's presence.

**Keywords:** *Aeromonas* attachment, competition, intestinal mucus, probiotics, *Vibrio*.

### Introduction

The worldwide increase in bacterial resistance to antibiotics (van der Woude & Neal 2000) has stimulated investigations into the use of probiotics. In aquaculture, antibiotics are discharged into the environment causing the occurrence of resistant bacteria on fish farms (Ardji, Jo & Egusa 1980; DeBoeck 1995; Kory, NisGubhainn & Smith 1997; Mizuno & Zemelman 2002) or in the sediment below net cages (Bjorklund, Bondestam & Bjorklund 1990). With higher regulations on the use of antibiotics in aquaculture, the use of probiotics has increasing potential (Austin, Stuckey, Robertson, Effendi & Giffith 1995; Ruiz, Roman & Sanchez 1996; Barker 1998; Gasteira & Leal 1998; Gibson, Woodworth & George 1998; Rongpipat, Phairaphak, Piyasakornkietkul, Manonrak, Sirirat, Wannapa, Samran & Panarat 1998; Shim, Van Duffel, Dux, Swager & Soggeleer 1998; Gasteira 1999; Giam, Mekhienon, Spanggaard, Huber & Madron 1999; King & Birkbeck 1999; Szejma & Vadrin 1999; Gomez Gil, Roque & Turnbull 2000). Probiotics make up part of the resident microflora and contribute to the health or well-being of their host (Gasteira 1999). To remain within their host, they must either attach to the intestinal tract or grow fast enough to prevent them from being flushed out by the movement of

Correspondence: N G Vine, Department of Ichthyology and Fisheries Science, PO Box 94, Rhodes University, Grahamstown 6140, South Africa.  
(e-mail: n.vine@ru.ac.za)