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According to the programme, one of my tasks is to consider ways in which constructive and effective contributions can be made to the problems that were identified in the earlier sessions of this Conference. The programme also lists some questions. I want to address myself particularly to the question of the most effective way for interaction to occur within a university between the academics, the students, and the administration. The last-mentioned are a university's civil service; or, as I prefer to call them, the Vice-Chancellor and his team. This is a very general description of the ground that I wish to cover. I believe, however, that I can most clearly bring my own point of view to the fore if I state a number of propositions under the following four broad headings: academic standards, university autonomy, and social problems; the idea of a university college; university research and community problems; and some ideas about university administration.

I

Academic standards, university autonomy and social problems

I agree wholeheartedly with Professor Gerrit
Viljoen that the primary service that a university can offer to its community is to be a university that maintains a high standard of academic excellence. The university should not be conceived of as a branch of a country's social service apparatus: nor should it be called upon to solve virtually all the social ills that we, in these days, have inherited. I think people who adopt this point of view selfconsciously or unselfconsciously operate with a model of the university that is somewhat akin to the place that the medieval church played in the middle ages. Perhaps it is evidence of a general lessening of the position of faith that in our day the faith that was transferred to the medieval church has a tendency to be transferred to the university. We look therefore upon the university as some sort of universal saviour.

I also agree with all those people who believe that the university must keep its objectivity and emotional distance from the problems that it handles. If the university is to be able to carry out its proper functions, then it must be allowed its appropriate degree of autonomy; that is, the autonomy which it needs to carry out these functions. If one destroys this autonomy - or even if one attenuates it to the extent that I suspect some people wish to do - then we are really destroying the university as we know it today. A corollary of this is that those people who advocate a radical change in what a university should be trying to do must, in my view, endeavour to convince, rather than to bludgeon, a university's deliberative bodies into bringing about the changes that they would like to see. Furthermore, they ought to convince them by the normal processes of academic argument. This is important because, if they do succeed in compelling change rather than engendering change, what we shall have before
us is a new and equally restrictive orthodoxy. In other words, we must avoid a state of affairs that, in principle, is no different from the orthodoxies which the critics claim are presently imposed upon universities by an unholy combination of government and big business.

My second major point is about a university's social responsibility. I do not wish to question the need for action on urgent problems. The point has been very eloquently made by various people. In fact, I think it is almost a matter of common cause that these problems are real, and that it is imperative to have some action in these areas. For example, we have considered the need for crash courses to produce more teachers; the need for good adult education and vocational training; the need for courses that will enable university students to make good any deficiencies that they have experienced at earlier stages of their education; and so on. Several people have dwelt at great length on the cardinal problem of the shortage of teachers, a problem that needs to be solved before we can make up the enormous educational backlog that exists in this country and in all third world countries.

One of the points that we have missed and that has not been emphasized is that, perhaps, some much maligned technology can come to our assistance in this problem area. A good deal of progress has been made in the field of educational technology. We have television tapes and playback machines and computer terminals where we have developed some quite sophisticated software for assisting in the teaching process. I think a good deal can be done with this type of equipment. Although I am sometimes accused of doing so in certain quarters, I am
not advocating the complete replacement of teachers by hardware. I do believe, however, that when hardware has been properly applied it certainly enhances the scope and effectiveness of teachers. We should not overlook this aspect of modern technology as a help towards a solution of our problems. On the other hand, I am not suggesting that we go quite as far as the author of one of the papers in a festschrift to Professor B.F. Skinner, the famous Harvard behavioural psychologist. At the head of his chapter in this book he quoted the following little rhyme:

Word has come down from the Dean
That with the aid of a teaching machine
Young Oedipus Rex could have learned about sex
Without ever bothering the Queen.

That is perhaps taking the virtues of technology a little too far.

II

The idea of a university college

Both Professor F.R.N. Nabarro and Professor Gerrit Viljoen have drawn our attention to the fact that universities are already doing a great deal to address themselves to the various problems that we have considered. Nonetheless I am sure that one must question very closely any suggestion that there should be a massive reallocation of resources into this direction from the more traditional pursuits of a university. Where are these resources? Has any university in South Africa got this level of resource to spare and to spend on making this type of new undertaking a major focal point of its activities? Against this background I want to reinforce a point that Professor Viljoen has made, but which I had also intended
to raise. He drew our attention to the particular part which the junior or community college plays in the spectrum of American educational institutions. I think that a major effort should be made to persuade the powers that be that there is a very solid case for introducing this type of institution into South Africa. This would be a very practical step that we could take towards a solution of many of the problems we have discussed.

About seven or eight years ago I visited one of these institutions in America, the Miami-Dade Community College in Florida. I have some very vivid memories of that visit. One of the points that was made very forcibly to our visiting party by the person who took us around, was that their students are useful to the employer on day one. He seemed to think that this was a very considerable virtue of his institution. Amongst other things, they provided opportunities for vocational retraining. If people were in occupations which were becoming technologically obsolescent, they had an opportunity here to retrain for some other form of employment. The College offered both day and evening classes. It was possible in certain subjects to transfer a carefully controlled proportion of the credits that could be obtained at the Community College to a university. I think that this is a very important point in the whole idea of a community college.

Another important characteristic of a community college is that it does almost no research. As a result such colleges can be more "efficient" than a normal university because the teachers have to carry something like a 20 hour contact load per week. This, of course, is only one way of looking at these colleges which exist in a particular context where other factors also contribute to
their usefulness and importance. On the other hand, we ought not to lose sight of the fact that when it comes to the kind of social problem with which we have grappled in this Conference, then these community colleges can be much more cost effective than a university can be. I would say that because of this loading of teaching at the expense of doing research, an institution of this kind must be at least twice as cost effective as a university.

I therefore want to emphasise the importance of the question, 'Should our universities become seriously involved in the business of tackling all the social problems that have been discussed in this Conference?' My own feeling is that if we really debated the question and thoroughly thrashed out what was involved, then we would probably come to the conclusion that this question deserves a negative answer. On the other hand, I think that a community college can do the work that we have tended to allocate to the university far more effectively than our universities can. I therefore believe that this point should be considered very seriously; it is a very constructive and positive contribution to the debate about a university's social responsibilities. One can envisage that in the Witwatersrand, for example, there would be a series of these colleges in all the major centres like Springs, Brakpan, Benoni and Randfontein. If any of the students in these colleges have in fact done work that is judged to be of a university standard, then they could migrate to the universities. If this is what they want, then they could complete a university type education in the central focal point. I think that an embryo of such a college already exists in Boksburg. I am referring, of course, to St. Anthony's, which is probably ten times as cost effective as any university could be in the field in
which it operates. For all these reasons, therefore, I believe that we ought to explore the whole idea of a community college in much more detail, and with much more seriousness, than has so far been the case.

III

University research and community problems

A great deal has been said about the need to focus a university's programmes so that they have greater applicability to local problems. I think there is a great deal of merit in much that has been said on this point. Only a few centres in South Africa can afford to go in for really big science. The country can only afford to have a few centres where millions of rand are spent on accelerators, coveys of electron microscopes, and all the other apparatus that goes with fundamental investigations into the more expensive branches of science. Nevertheless, applied research is important. Once again I want to argue that universities themselves should be persuaded, rather than bludgeoned, into this kind of action.

At Rhodes University we have quite consciously directed a good many of our research interests towards the needs of the community. For example, we have been studying the peculiar biological habits of ticks; the problems of the leather industry, of pineapple farmers, and of fish culture. We have worked on the microbiological conversion of noxious wastes, the control of jointed cactus, and the production of alcohol - not for human consumption, of course. A good many of these problems are of direct relevance to the communities which support us. Furthermore,
we have not neglected the applied side of cultural studies. We are making a major effort in the direction of the teaching of English as a second language. Some of the courses that we offer are, at least to some degree, orientated towards such topics as the question of an indigenous English literature in Africa, Nguni history, African music and praise poems, and the like. At another level we have gone into the question of how the poorer sections of the Grahamstown community actually survive as distinct from how, theoretically, they are supposed to survive. Similarly, we hope to discover how migrant labourers actually view their circumstances rather than how we think that they view their circumstances.

I therefore agree that those who argue, on the basis of the normal ways that a university tries to persuade people, that research ought to be more closely focussed on the problems that affect our own communities are making an important point. However, what I think is entirely out of court in a university environment is the kind of argument that one sometimes hears and that wants to conclude that research is a luxury which we will have to do without for the next ten years because of these very pressing practical problems. To ask academics and other university personnel to cut out research is rather like asking a monk to cut out prayer. You really are trying to destroy one of the central strands of his existence. And so I would maintain that in the university environment research is not a luxury; it is a very basic necessity.
Some ideas about university administration

I have made some comments on various problems that have already been discussed. I now want to turn to the other task that was given to me and to make a few remarks about university administration and about the interaction between the various parts, the various components, that go to make up our academic communities. In thinking about the questions in this area I have, in my own mind, always used the American constitution as a model. As you all know, there you have two legislative chambers and then you have an executive president. I think this is quite a fruitful model to use in the university sphere. We have a Senate and a Council. They form a bicameral legislative system and, although they do not have overall control of everything, they do have their respective portfolios. In addition, I think it is a profitable way of looking at things to regard the Vice-Chancellor or Principal as the chief executive officer; as someone who, in a minor key, has a role which is analogous to that of the American President.

As I therefore see it, if the Senate and the Council are working properly, their duties are legislative and not executive in nature. Either of these two bodies can initiate legislative programmes. In addition, as in the case of the United States government, the President, or his analogue, the Vice-Chancellor, can also initiate legislative proposals. Before these legislative proposals can be regarded as the official policy of the university, however, they have to be debated and passed by the appropriate legislative apparatus. I believe that as
long as the various components of the system stick to their particular roles, then we have a university that works very satisfactorily.

Unfortunately, it is one of the great failings of most university's Senates that they tend to forget that they are required to be legislators who lay down broad policy principles. What they tend to do instead is to become involved in the minutiae of the executive action that must flow from these principles. Perhaps the other side of this same coin is that they show a distressing lack of ability to delegate responsibility. I think that if Senates could take their courage in their hands and appoint people that they really trust, if they could appoint a Vice-Chancellor whom they can trust, then they can give him a policy and let him get on with its implementation. Similarly, Senates ought to delegate matters of detail to Faculty Boards, and Faculty Boards in their turn ought to delegate matters of detail to Departments. Once these bodies, and especially the Senate or a Faculty Board, have laid down the policy, they must not interfer in detail with the carrying out of these policies by the Vice-Chancellor and the Deans, respectively. I think that a great deal of the unhappiness that occurs in a university is due to the lack of willingness on the part of these academic bodies to do what I have just described. In fact, university Councils perform this task very much better, perhaps because they contain a good proportion of businessmen who understand and know how essential it is to delegate responsibility. They lay down the policy, and they very seldom question the actual executive decisions that flow from that policy; unless, of course, they believe that the executive decisions have in fact overreached the policy decision.
There has also been a good deal of discussion about students and members of the wider community having some form of representation on the deliberative bodies of the university. I do not think that 'representation' is the right word to use in this context; what we are talking about is membership. If we regard these people as representatives rather than as members, then we are suggesting that there are mandates that their communities can impose on the people who serve on the university's deliberative bodies. On the other hand, membership is something that is very different from representation. A member must act independently and in his own right. He must consider the issues on their merits and must not feel bound by a mandate from a constituency. Now if we understand the matter in this light, then I can see positive advantages rather than disadvantages from having students sit on a university's Senate and, possibly, even on its Council. In fact, some time ago the Rhodes University Senate agreed that it should have three student members and that they should be full members of Senate. Unfortunately, this decision could not be implemented without an amendment to our University Act. I am sure that you are all aware that no amendment to a University Act will get through Parliament unless it enjoys the blessing of the Minister of National Education. In our case, the Minister of National Education pointed out to us that the idea of student membership on a university's Senate or Council was against the gospel according to Van Wyk de Vries. We therefore found ourselves up against a solid wall, and all that we were able to achieve was to have student observers with speaking rights in Senate.

The idea of having black community leaders on a university's Council is an excellent one, and there does
not seem to be any legal impediment to its implementation. The way to accomplish this is to persuade either the alumni, or the donors, or some other body that has the right to elect members to your university's Council to nominate this type of leader for election. We tried at Rhodes University recently, but the particular nominee was not the person who won the election. There is thus no principle that prevents this from happening, and I think that I would tend to agree that this would be a very valuable contribution to a university's relationship with a particular community. Serving on a university's Senate or Council has an enormous educative effect on people because they soon see that the decisions of these bodies are not arbitrary and that minds have been applied to the problems concerned. They therefore come away with a much greater appreciation of the full gamut of considerations that had to be borne in mind when the Senate or the Council reached the conclusions which they did reach. Finally, and as far as the membership of university Councils are concerned, I think that most universities are still somewhat male chauvinist. One member of the Rhodes University Council is a woman. In general, it is extremely rare to have women members on a university's Council.

I would like to work towards a conclusion by commenting on the need for administrative efficiency. In these days of diminishing resources, it is absolutely essential that the university should run as efficiently as possible without destroying the essential academic fabric. Fortunately, there is a great deal that can be accomplished in matters such as maintenance, conservation of energy, financial organization, purchasing arrangements, cleaning, catering, the deposition of clerical labour, and the like. I would say that in a typical South African university,
careful thought with respect to these matters can save anything between 10 and 15 per cent of the university's available resources. I therefore think that it is very short sighted to dismiss all of this as an unwelcome intrusion of American management methods into the university. I do not believe that this aspect of a university's life can be cavalierly dismissed. On the contrary, it is absolutely essential that the university's resources should be husbanded so that they can be applied where they are needed most.

V

Summary and conclusion

By way of summary and conclusion I want to suggest that the most effective way in which we are going to achieve change in the university environment is by continuing the dialogue which we have been having during this Conference. In this dialogue we have the Nabarros, the Viljoens, and possibly the Hendersons ranged on the one side; and on the other we have the Prices and the Tutus and that ilk. This kind of careful dialogue and discussion and sifting of ideas will eventually bring us to conclusions that will make some significant difference. There is a great tendency on the part of reformers to be impatient. This is understandable. One simply has to accept that when one is dealing with a large organization there is a time constant of something like two years involved in all attempts to bring about change. The idea of a time constant belongs to the technical terminology used by electronic engineers. What it boils down to is this: if you stimulate an organization like a university, you can ex-
pect results only two years or so afterwards. I can re-
member many occasions, both at the University of the Wit-
watersrand and at Rhodes University, where the initial re-
ception to an idea that I had raised was extremely hostile.
Two years later the idea was passed and regarded as ortho-
dox. If you are disappointed at the long time that it
takes for a university to accept new ideas, then it is
worth remembering that this is positively indecent haste
when one compares it with how long it takes for government
departments and other organizations to make their deci-
sions.

Finally, it is absolutely essential when one is
presenting arguments to back up the rhetoric with a solid
battery of facts. I can remember a discussion that I had
about seven or eight years ago with a fairly radical stu-
dent. It changed my outlook about quite a few things be-
cause he actually had the facts that he needed to support
his argument. He began by making what I thought was
simply a rhetorical statement when he claimed that the
real income of black mine workers had remained constant
between 1911 and 1970. I told him that I simply did not
believe him, and that he was indulging in empty rhetoric.
When he was able to pull out a table of the actual facts
I found that far more impressive than any rhetoric that he
had marshalled before. Similarly, when the idea of stu-
dent representation on Senate was first raised at Rhodes
University, I prognosticated that a great many members of
the Senate would find it a distasteful idea. Nonetheless,
I told the students to do their homework, to present a
reasoned case, to give us a comparison of what was done at
other South African universities and at other universities
in the English speaking world. Because they did produce
a closely reasoned and well presented case, they were able
to dispose of the opposition to this matter very speedily. I therefore believe that in the final analysis we must remember to be persistent; results have already come and they will continue to come. Do not be despondent if battles are lost as long as the war is won.